# AN INTRODUCTION TO CLASSICAL SANSKRIT

AN INTRODUCTORY TREATISE OF THE HISTORY OF CLASSICAL SANSARIT LITERATURE

ΒY

# GAURINATH BHATTACHARYYA SHASTRI MA

Premchand Roychand Student Lecturer in Sanskrit at the Pr sidency College and at the University of Calcutta

MODERN BOOK AGENGY 10 College Square Calcuttr -1943 Published by U C Bhattacharyya, 10, College Square, Calcutta

> ed by A N Mukherjee, M I PRESS, Glev Street, Calcutta

# To the sucred memory of my parents

## **PREFACE**

The impetus to the writing of the pre ents ork came from my students at the Presidency Golf (c. Glentta. The panents of suitable text books on the subject intend d for D for a and I of C radiate students of Indian Universities via felt by my all many college life and in writing this book. I have always borne in mind the difficulties which our student facil in tackling the subject. The work therefore does not pretend to be very ambitions.

In the preparation of the book I have freely consulted the two monum ntal works of M. Winternitz and V. 13. In the therefore I immunder a deep lebt of gratitude. I must also acknowledge my indebtednes to all those authorities who oworks have been mentioned in the References.

In preparing the press copy my pupil I refessor Sar tendra nath Bhanja Salutyasa tri havya Lurumatirtha MA rendered invaluable service. Snother pupil of mine. Mr. Tarakuath Cho at M.A. has prepared the major part of the Index. Ms. ex. colleques Professor Uponifrinth Cho al MA Th D Professor Subodichandra Sengueta MA PRS In D had the kindnes the fermer to find out for me a few references and the latter to read a considerable portion of the week while in the pre s - My teachers Mahimahor ulhy iya Haranchandra Shastri Professor Sadananda Bliaduri M.A. Ph.D. and Professor Somnath Maitra M 1 have helped me much by offering valuable sugge tions from time to time I must also acknowledge the advice given so freely by my friend and cellergue Prefessor Taraknath Sen M A Lastly I must mention the deep interest which was taken by my cousin Pandit Ashokanath Shastri Ved intatirtha MA PRS in seems the work through

The occasion makes me tomomber with deep and reverent

gratitude, those of my teachers at whose feet I had the privilege of studying the subject—the late Professor Rakhaldas Banerjee, MA, of the Benares Hindu University, and Professor Nilmony Chakravarty. MA, late Senior Professor of Sanskrit, Presidency College, Calcutta

I am thankful to my publishers, the Modern Book Agency, Calcutta, and to the authorities of the M. I Press, Calcutta, for the kind interest they have taken in the printing and publication of my book

Calcutta,

January, 1943

Author

# ANALYSIS OF CONTENTS

	PAGES
Introductory	1-22
Origin of Indian writing-Vedic and Classical	
Indian languages their relationship-Prikrit	
-Was Sanskrit a spoken language?	
Chapter One The Great Epics	2337
Ramīyana Origin and story—Character—Spurious	
element—Antiquity—Relation to Buddhism—	
Greek influence—Allegorical interpretation—	
Mythological interpretation	
Mahāhhārata General character and story-Git :-	
Three stages-Age-Literary and inscrip	
tional evidence—Which of the two Epics is	
earlier ?	
Chapter Two The Purinas	3843
Introduction-Age and antiquity-Character and	
value-Name and number of Puranas-	
Devimīhātmya—Name and number of	
Upapuranas	
Chapter Three The Tantras	4447
Meaning contents and classification-Relation to	
Vedic literature—Antiquity—Home—Works	
Chapter Four Kilya in inscriptions	48 - 50
Renaissance Theory of Max Muller-Girn'ii and	
Nīsik inscriptions—Conclusions	
Chapter Five Early Buddhist works in Sanskrit	51 - 74
Introduction—Works belonging to Mahay ina and	
Hinay ina schools (i) Poetical (ii) Philoso	
phical (iii) Avadīna	

	PAGES
Chapter Seventeen Politics Introduction—Works on Politics	180—181
Chapter Eighteen Frotics Introduction—Works on Frotics	182183
Chapter Nineteen Medicine History of medical literature—Larliei and later medical works	184—186
Chapter Twenty Astronomy Mathematics and Astrology  History of Astronomy—Works on Astronomy—  Works on Mathematics—Works on Astrology	187191
Chapter Twenty One Miscellaneous Sciences Archery—Sciences of elephants and horses—Sciences of lowels stealing cooking music dancing and punting	192-191
Chapter Twenty Two Philosophy Orthodox systems Nyāya Vai caika Stinkhya Yooa Mimiinist and Vedinta—Heterodox systems Buddhism Jainism and Materia lism—Miscellaneous works on philosophy	190—237
Appendix History of the Study of Sanskrit in the West	1

# **ABBREVIATIONS**

<b>ABORI</b>	Annals of the Bhan-	KL	Karnas intana
	darkar Oriental Re	KS	Kana ütra
	search Institute	MB	Malabha 41
151	1st idhyani	Mbh	Mahabiarati
Hai	Harsacarita	V )	$\Delta ir t^{\dagger} ta$
nos	Harvard Oriental Series	Raq Rüm RV	Raghuvam'a Rãmayana Ravela
L1	Indian Antiquary	SBE	Sicied Books of the
IR\S	Journal of the Royal	_	East, Oxford
	Asiatic Society	51)	Sakityadarpana A
$K\bar{a}d$	Kādambarī (M R Kale 2nd edition)	SI Vās	Šīšupālavādīrā Vasavā lattā

# ERRATA

Pp 119 &c	Line 1	For 'Chapter Six' read 'Chapter Eight' and emend all subsequent chapter numberings accordingly
P 193	Line 13	For 'Sanmukhakalpa' road 'Sanmukhakalpa'

# AN INTRODUCTION TO CLASSICAL SANSKRIT

### INTRODUCTORY

### A

### ORIGIN OF INDIAN WRITING

The immemorial practice with students of Sanskrit literature has been to commit to memory the various subjects of their study, and this practice of oral tradition has preserved the ancient Vedic texts. This fact has led scholars to surmise that writing was perchance unlinown in the earliest period of Tudran civilization and that the later forms of the alphabet were not of pure Indian growth

Introduc tion

The earliest references to writing in Sanskiit literature are to be found in the Dharmasutra of Vasisha, which, as Dr Buhler thind s was composed about the eighth century BC. There are, however, some scholars who would like to assign a much later date to the worl, viz, the fourth century BC. There we obtain clean evidence of the widely spread use of writing during the Vedic

Evidence of V dic and Sutra works period, and in Ch XVI 10, 14-15, mention is made of written documents as legal evidence Further, the Astadhyāyī of Pānini contains such compounds as 'lipilara' and 'libilara' which evidently mean 'writer' [III ii 21] The date of Panini, however is not fixed Professor Goldstucker wants to place him in the eighth century BC, while the general body of scholars holds that his age is the fourth century BC In addition to the few references set forth above, it may be said that the later Vedic works contain some technical terms such as 'aleara,' 'hānda,' 'patala,' 'qrantha' and the like, which some scholars quote as evidence of the use of writing. But there are others who like to differ in their interpictations of these terms

Evidence of Brāhmanical works The aforesaid references do not help us much in determining the genume Indian growth of writing, masmuch as none of the works in which they are found can be safely dated earlier than the period of inscriptions. In the same way, evidences in the Brahmanical works such as the Epics, the Puranas, the Kavyas and the like, are of little or no help. Among them, the Epics are by far the oldest, but it is difficult to prove that every word of their text goes back to a high anti-

quity One fact is however, undemable, virthat the Epies contain some archine expressions such as, 'lilk, 'lelka, lelkala' 'lekkana, but not 'lipi, which, as many scholars thinl, is after all a foreign word. This may suggest that writing was known in India in the Epic age.

There are two other facts which also suggest the same thing. It is believed that the Aryans were in an advanced state of eivilization—there was a high development of trade and monetary transactions and that they carried on minute researches in gram mar, phonetics and levicography. Do not the above facts presuppose the I nowledge of the art of writing among the ancient Indians? Nevertheless, one will have to addince positive evidence, without which nothing can be tallen for granted. So we turn to the Buddhist works

There are quite a large number of passages in the Ceylonese Tipidala, which bear witness to an acquaintance with writing and to its extensive use at the time when the Buddhist canon was composed "Lekha and "lelhala are mentioned in the Bhillhum Pacattiya 2, ii and in the Bhillhum Pacattiya 49, ii In the former, writing has been highly

Evidence of Indian civi

Evidence of Buddhist writings

praised In the Jatakas, constant mention is made of letters. The Jatakas know of proclamations We are also told of a game named ahearthām which the Buddhist monk is forbidden to participate. This game was in all probability one of guessing at letters In the rules of Vinaya, it has been laid down that a ciminal, whose name has been written up in the King's porch must not be received into the monastic order same work, writing has been mentioned as a luciative profession Jātaka No 125 and the Mahavagga, I 49 bear witness to the existence of elementary schools where the manner of teaching was the same as in the indigenous schools of modern India All these references prove the existence of the art of writing in pre-Buddhistic days

Piprāwā vase inscription The earliest written record is the Pipiawa vase inscription which was discovered sometime ago by Colonel Claxton Peppe This inscription is written in Brahmi character and is in a language which does not conform to any of the standard Prakrits Some of the case-endings tend towards Magadhi No compound consonant has been written They have been either simplified or divided

by epenthesis No long vowel, excepting two es, have been used. The inscription has been differently interpreted. According to some scholars the relics that were enshrined were the relics of Buddha while others maintain that the relics were those of the Sakyas who were massacied by Viiulakas on of Prisenajit, King of Kosala. In any case the inscription belongs to the early part of the fifth century is c

Next in order of antiquity comes the Sobgaura copper plate which, as Di Smith thinds, may be dated about half a century prior to Asoka! The characters of the document according to Dr Smith are those of the Brahmi of the Maurya period and his statements, according to Di Buhler, are incontestable as everyone of them is trace able in the Edicts. About the proper import

Sobgaura Copper plate

<sup>1</sup>The English translation of Dr Buhler's version is given below

The order of the great officials of Srīvasti (issued) from (their camp at) Mānavistikata—These two store houses with three partitions (which are situated) even in famous Vainsagrīma require the storage of loads (bhīraka) of Black Pameum parched grain cummin seed and Amba for (times of) urgent (need) One should not take (anything from the grain stored)—IA Vol XXV pp 261—66

of the inscription none is sure. Dr Smith says that he cannot find out any meaning from it. The value of the inscription rests on the fact that it is an evidence for the assumption that in the third century is c, the use of writing was common in royal offices and that the knowledge of written characters was widely spread among the people.

Inscriptions of Asoka, Nahapāna and Rudradāman

The inscriptions of Asoka, are found almost all over India and are written in two different scripts, viz, Biāhmī and Kharosthī Two of these inscriptions that of Shahbazgaihī and Mānsehiā, are written in The rest are written in Brahmi latter The language of early Indian inscriptions is not Sanskiit, but veinaculai, which is known as Piäkiit. In the inscriptions of Aśoka, local varieties are to be found Those in the north-western part of India incline more towards Parsaci, than those found in the eastern part It is interesting to note that all the Indian inscriptions from the earliest times down to the second century AD, are in Prakrit The earliest inscription in Sanskiit is the Nasik Cave No X inscription of Nahapana, which was written, in all probability, in the year 41 of the Saka era, corresponding to 119 AD But there

are scholars who do not life to call this inscription the earliest in Sinsfirit and in their opinion the well known limited inscription of Rudradian in dited 150 viblends the list of Sinsfirit inscription Sanskrit gradually encroched upon Prid rit in the field of epigraphy and it vis from the fifth century vib. that Prid rit disappeared from the field of inscription.

As for the history of the type sempts Briling and Ish costlet mentioned above Dr. Bobler thinks that the latter was derived from the Arumne or Phonician character used by the elects of the Person Impire The north western parts of India came under the Achamemin or Person rule about the sixth century by. And it is in those parts of India that inscriptions and coms in Ish trosthi character have been discovered Dr. Buhler has taken sufficient pams to show how from some borrowed letters the full alphabet of the Sanstat language came into being. There are some scholars who have gone so fir is to suggest a meaning of the word Kharosthi Thus it is held that the name Isharosthi has been derived from the shape of letters which generally resemble the hp of an ass Professor Levi

Kharesth1

thinks that the word is derived from the name of the inventor, Kharostha, an inhabitant of Central Asia

Brāhmī South and North Semitic origin

There are several theories regarding the origin of the Brahmi character According to Di Taylor and others, the Brahmi character was borrowed from a Southern Arab tribe This theory has not gained any popularity The theory started by Dr. Weber and illustrated by Dr. Buhler is generally accepted Di Weber was the first man to discover that some of the old Indian letters are practically identical with certain Assyrian letters and several letters in some inscriptions of the ninth and the seventh centuries BC, found in Assyria About onethird of the twenty-three letters of the North Semitic alphabet of that period is identical with the oldest forms of the corresponding Indian letters Another one-third is somewhat sınıılaı, while the lest can with gieat difficulty be said to correspond to letters of the Indian alphabet Di Buhlei took advantage of this theory of Di Weber, and he next proceeded to show that as a result of the prolonged contact between Indian merchants, mostly, Diavidans, and Babylonians in the eighth and the seventh centuries BC, the former availed themselves of the opportunity to bring the Assyrian art of writing over to India, which later on was enlarged to suit the requirements of the Indian people Nearly a thousand years later this form of writing came to be styled as Brahmi. It has been said that originally the letters were written from right to left as a single coin has been discovered in a place named. If in on which the legend runs from right to left But as the Brahmans believed the right hand direction to be seried they changed the direction and began to write from left to right

According to Professor Rhys Davids the Indian letters were developed neither from the Northern nor from the Southern Semitic alphabet, but from the pre Semitic form current in the Euphrates valley. But this theory is not accepted on the ground that this supposed pre Semitic form of writing has yet to be explored.

Sir Alexander Cunningham had wanted to derive each letter from the indigenous hieroglyphic, but his theory was discarded on the ground that no such hieroglyphic could be found in India. But the recent exervations at Mahen jo daro and Harappa Pre Semitic

Hierogly phic origin have brought to light, an original Indian hieroglyphic, and a further examination of the theory once started by Sn A. Cunningham may be undertaken

# REFERENCES

Buhler, G (1) Indian Paleography

(11) The Origin of Brāhmī Alphabet

(iii) The origin of the Kharosthi Alphabet (IA Vol XXIV)

(iv) Indian Studies III

Cunningham, A The Coins of Ancient India

Cust, R N On the origin of the Indian alphabet JRAS Vol XVI (New series)

Lévi, S (i) Indian Writing (IA Vol XXXIII)

(ii) Kharostia and the Kharostrī wiiting (IA Vol XXXV)

Mitia, P New Light from Pie-historic India
(IA Vol XLVIII)

Shamasastry, R A theory of the origin of the Devanagari alphabet (IA Vol XXXV)

Taylor, I The Alphabet

Thomas, E Princep's Essays, Vol II

 $\mathbf{B}$ 

# VEDIC AND CLASSICAL INDIAN LANGUAGES—THEIR RELATIONSHIP

Introduction Indian tradition knows Sanskiit as the language of the gods, which has been the

dominant language of India for a period covering over four thousand years. Viewed from its rich heritage of literature, its fascinating charm of words, its flexibility of expression in relation to thought. Sanskrit occupies a singular place in the literature of the world.

The Sanskritlanguage is gonerally divi ded into Vedio and Classical In the Vedic language was written the entire sacred literature of the Aivan Indians Within this Vedic language several stages may be care fully distinguished, and in course of its tran sition from the one to the other it mindually grew modern till it ulturately merged in Classical Sanslart But when we pass on from the Vedic lyrics to the lyries of Classical Sanskrit we seem to enter a 'new world Not only are the grammar vocabulary, metre and style different, but there is also a marked distinction in respect of matter and spirit Thus the Classical Sanskat period is mail ed by a change of religious outlool and social conditions Vedic literature is almost entirely religious but Classical Sanslait has a profane aspect as well which is not in any way inferior to the religious aspect. The religion in the Epic period has become different from

Vedic and Classical difference in matter and spirit what it was in the Vedic age. The Vedic Nature-worship has been superseded by the cult of Brahma, Visnu and Siva, and it is in. the Epic period that we find for the first time the incarnations of Visnii who has come to be looked upon as the Supreme Derty New gods and goddesses unknown to the Vedas have arisen, and Vedic gods have either been forgotten or reduced to a subordinate position India is, indeed, the only god who still maintams high status as the lord of heaven Vedic literature in its earlier phase was marked by a spirit of robust optimism but Classical Sanskiit literature has a note of pessimism owing probably to the influence of the doctune of karman and transmigration of soul The naive simplicity of Vedic literature is strikingly absent in Classical Sanskut where the introduction of the supernatural and the wonderful is full of exaggeration So kings are described as visiting India in heaven and a sage cleating a new world by means of his great spiritual powers The tribal organization of the state has lessened much in importance in the Epic period where we find the rise of many territonal kingdoms

In respect of form also Classical Sanskiit

differs considerably from Vedic Thus the four Vedas and the Brahmanas are marked with accents (udatta, anudatta and svarita) which only can help us in finding out the meaning of different words Thus, for instance, the word 'Indiavatiu' with one kind of accent will mean 'India senemy and the same word with a different kind of accent will imply 'enemy of India But in Classical Sanskit literature, accent has no part to play

Difference in form (i) accent

Phonetically Vedic and Classical languages are identical, but grammatically they differ. The change in gramma is not generally due to the introduction of new for mations of inflections but to the loss of forms. In respect of mood, the difference between Classical and Vedic Sanskart is specially very great. In the Vedas the present tense, has besides its indicative inflection.

(ı ) grammar

<sup>1</sup> Certain grammatical forms which occur in Vedic language disappear in Classical. Thus in declension a number of forms has been dropped —(i) the nominative and accusative dual forms of -a stems ending in— $\bar{u}$  eg naru (ii) the nominative plural form of -a stems ending in— $\bar{u}$ sah eg  $det\bar{u}$ sah (iii) the instrumental plural form of -a stems ending in -ebhih og detebhih etc

a subjunctive (requisition), optative (wish) and an imperative (command). The same three moods are found, though with much less frequency, as belonging to the perfect and they are also made from the agusts (lun) and the future has no moods. In Classical Sanskiit, the present tense adds to its indicative an optative and an imperative But the subjunctive (let) is lost in Classical Sanskut 1 In the Vedic period no less than fifteen forms of infinitive were used<sup>2</sup> of which only one (tum) survives in the Classical period Vedic Sanskiit differs from Classical Sanskiit in respect of the use of prefixes (upasaryas) Thus in Classical Sanskiit the upasarga must invariably piecede the root and should form a part of it But the use of upasargas was unrestricted in Vedic Sanskiit It was used before the root and after it and was also sometimes separated from the root itself 3 Compounds of more than two words, which are rare in the Vedas and the Biahmanas, are frequent in Classical Sanskut

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  adya jīvānā, śatam jīvātī śaradah, etc., as found in the Veda

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Ast III iv 9

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> ā hi suena i ajasā vaitamūno, etc

The aforesaid changes in respect of forms were mainly due to the efforts of grammarians who exercised considerable influence on the development of the language. The vocabulary also underwent many changes. It was largely extended by derivation, composition and compilation. Many old words that could not be found in Vedic literature came to be added in Classical Sanskrit and many new words were borrowed.

(111) Voca bulary

Vedic language again differs from Classical with regard to the use of metres. Beside the principal seven metres of the Vedas (gayatri, usuth anu tubh, bihati, pankti, tri tubh and jagati). Classical Sanskrit presents a limitless variety of metres.

(1V) Metre

# REFERENCES

Ghate V S Lectures on the Rgveda All Lectures on the Rgveda Language

Macdonell A A (i) A History of Sanskrit Language

Literature

(ii) A Vedic Grammar (iii) Vedic Mythology

Weber A The History of Indian Literature
Whitney W D A Sanskrit Crammar

Williams M A Practical Grammar of the Sans krit Language

Winternitz'M A History of Indian Literature Vol I

C

# PRĀKRIT

Antiquity

The beginnings of the Piakiits go back to a period of great antiquity. Even at the time when Vedic hymns were composed, there existed a popular language which differed from the literary dialect. In the Vedic hymns, there are several words which cannot be phonetically other than Piakiit Buddha and Mahavira preached then doctimes in the sixth century BC, in the language of the people in order that all might understand them The language of the Buddhist texts which were collected during the period between 500 BC and 400 BC, was Magadhi The extant Buddhist texts of Ceylon, Buima and Siam are in a form of popular language to which the name Pali has been given. There is difference of opinion amongst scholars regarding the place and origin of Pali. The only inscription, the language of which is akin to Pali, is the Hati-Gumpha inscuption of Kharavela, dated the 160th year of the Maurya era

Relation of Sanskrit to Prākrit Patanjalı says that Sanskııt was a spoken language, but it was confined to the cultured section of the people. The popular dialect

of India was known by the general name of Präkrit. From the distribution of languages in Sanskrit dramas it appears that the masses while speaking Präkrit could inder stand Sanskrit. It has been said in Bhilata's Nātyavāstra that Prākint and Sanskrit are different branches of one and the same language. In the earliest known forms of Prakrit, there are passages which can be easily translated into Sanskrit by the application of simple phonetic rules.

According to European scholars, Prakrit, which represents the Middle Indian period of the Indo Aryan languages, may again be sub divided into three stages (1) Old Prakrit or Pali, (2) Middle Piakrit, and (3) late Piakrit or Apabhramsa They would lil e to say that if Prakrit had been a langu age derived from Sanskiit, Prakrit would have taken the name Samskrta Moreover. there are many words and forms in Prakrit which cannot be traced in Classical Sanskut If, however, by the word Sanskrit is included the language of the Vedas and all dialects of the old Indian period, it will be correct to assume that Plakrit is derived from Sanskrit But the word Sanskrit is generally used to refer to the Pāmm Patamah Ingunge

European view Orthodox

Indian giammaiians, however, would say that the name Plaklit is delived from the word prakets, which means 'the basic form', viz, Sanskrit Further, in Prakrit there are three classes of words, eg, (1) 'tatsama' words which are identical in form and meaning in both Sanskiit and Piākiit, eg, dava, hamala, (ii) 'tadbhava' words that are derived from Sanskirt by application of phonetic rules, eg, ajjautta < āryaputra, paricumbia < paneumbya, and (111) "desin" words that are of indigenous origin and the history of which cannot be accurately traced, eg, chollanti, canga A careful examination of Piākiit vocabulary ieveals the fact that the majority of Piakrit words belong to the second class, words belonging to the other classes are comparatively small in number The derivatives are in most cases the result of phonetic decay

Varieties of Präkrit The following are the more important literary Piākrits Mahārāstir, Saurasenr, Māgadhr are the dramatic Piākrits, while Aidha-Māgadhr, Jaina-Mahārāstir, Jaina-Saurasenr are the Piākrits of the Jaina canon The last is the Apabhramsa

#### REFERENCES

Bhandarkar R G Wil on Philological Lectures (Lecture III)

Vararuci Prokrtantakasa

Woolner \ C In roduc ion to I rikn't

T)

## WAS SANSKRIT A SPOKEN LANGUAGL?

A section of I property scholars would believe that in spite of the vast extent of European Sanslant Interature, Sanskart, was never used in actual speech. It was a purely literary and artificial language and the language that was spoken even in ancient times was Priknt

But there are evidences to show that to all intents and purposes, Sinstrit was a hing language indthat it was spoken by at view least a large section of the people 1 tymolorists and grammarians like Yasha and Panin describe Classical Sanskrit us Bhasa-the speech, as distinguished from Vedic Sauslant,1 and it will not probably be incorrect to succest that this description serves to draw out the

Mr I n o t 7 II n 6 t 7 A t III n 108 ctc

special character of Classical Sanskiit as a living speech Moleovel, there are many sūtias in the Astādhyāyī of Pānini which are meaningless unless they have any reference to a living speech 1 Yaska, Panini and even Kātyāyana have discussed the peculiarities in the usages of Easterners and Northerners<sup>2</sup> Local variations are also noticed by Katyayana, while Patanjali has collected words occurring in particular districts.3 Patañjali again tells us that the words of Sanskiit are of ordinary life and describes an anecdote in which a grammarian converses with a charioteer and the discussion is carried on ın Sanskut 4

From all that has been said above, it is clear that Sanskrit was a living speech in ancient India But the question which still remains to be discussed is whether Sanskut was the veinacular of all classes of people in the society of of any particular section of sections Patanjali says that the

Extent of lanskrit as spoken anguage

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Ast VIII iv 48, etc Also Ganasutias, Nos 18, 20, 29

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Nn II 11 8 Ast IV 1 157 & 160

<sup>3</sup> Cf Vārttika, "sarve deśāntare" referred to in the Paspašāhnika, MB

<sup>4</sup> MB under Ast II iv 56

the language spiten in the days of Pinnii could be may ered if it was heard from the learned Brilinguas of the day (to it who could speak correct Suistrit without any special tuition. It is gathered from the Surdiratordiof h Lomogarithis h Im use spilen by the twicel in extewas Smiler. It is the dim the Kom restra of Vitairma that men of the chould speak bolim Surla and they migular of the province and the in sus the Saistric vis not the spolen language of each and early section of the 14 opts in the Bock 8.2 Him n Is in the Chine triveller (eventh e n ury AD) tells us der the lan, un, em which officall debres were arranged was Sanslar and not any provincial dialect the Paneitar tra informs us that the medium of in truction for the young boys of the rulin, cli was Saustrit and not my vermionly

We may draw from this the conclusion that Sanslart was the vernacular of the educated people but at was understood in still wider sections. Our conclusions may find support from the evidence of the dramatic literature, where we observe

Conclusions

<sup>1</sup> MB und r 44 M mt 109 1 I m V xxx 18

<sup>\*</sup> AS to 20

Biāhmanas, kings and that ministers speak Sanskut while women and all the common people use Piakiit, except that nuns and courtesans occasionally converse in Sanskiit Uneducated Biahmanas are intioduced speaking popular dialects But it is highly significant that the dialogues between Sanskiit-speaking and Piākiit-speaking persons are very frequent and this suggests that in real life Sanskrit was understood by those who would not speak it themselves This statement may be further corroborated by the fact that common people would gather to hear the recital of the popular Epics in the palaces of kings and in temples, they would not attend such functions unless they could understand the content of the recital

# REFERENCES

Bhandarkar, R G Wilson Philological Lectures (Lecture VII)

Keith, A B A History of Sanskrit Literature

Macdonell, A A A History of Sanskrit Literature

Pathak, K B The Age of Pānini and Sanskrit

as a spoken language (ABORI

Vol XI)

Rapson, E J JRAS 1904

# CHAPTER ONE

## THE GREAT EPICS

### A

# RAMAYANA

The Indian tradition makes Valmiki, the author of the Ramayana, the first poet Origin (adihavi) who is reported to have been deeply moved by the piteous wailings of the female curley when her husband was killed by the dart of a forester Valunki's feelings found an expression through the medium of metre,1 and at the bidding of the divine sage Narada who brought messages from Brahmā he composed the immortal Rama Epic which tells the story of Prince Rama, the dutiful and devoted son of King Dasaratha of Ayodhya, who was banished from his lingdom for fourteen years through the jea lousy of his step mother Kulkeyi who secured possession of the throne for her son Bharata So Rama and Sita, his beloved wife accom panied by the third prince Laksmana went to the forest There the adventures of the banished prince Sita sabduction by Ravana King of Lanka, the help given to Rama

<sup>1</sup> Ram I n 15 Also of Rag XIV 70

by Hanumat, a chief of the monkeys, the destruction of Ravana and his party, the fire-ordeal of Sita to prove her chastity these and many other incidents have been described in all the glowing colours of poetry

Character

The Rāmāyana which is essentially a poetic creation has influenced the thought and poetry of later centuries in course of which new matters were added to the original composition The work, in its piesent form and extent, comprises seven books and contains 24000 verses approximately But it must be remembered that the text of the Epic has been preserved in three recensions, the West Indian, the Bengal and the Bombay, and curiously enough each recension has almost one third of the verses occurring in neither of the other two Of the three, the Bombay recension is believed to have preserved the oldest form of the Epic, for here we find a large number of archaic expressions which are rare in the Bengal and the West Indian recensions According to Professor Jacobi, the Rama-Epic was first composed in the Kośala country on the basis of the ballad poetry recited by the rhapsodists In course of time there naturally arose difference in the tradition of the recitations made by professional story-tellers, and this differ ence adequately explains the variations in the three recensions when they had been assuming their definite forms in the different parts of the land

Internal evidence proves almost conclu sively that the whole of the Ramayana as it is found to day was not written at one time It is said that of the scien books in the Ramayana, the last one and portions of the first are interpolations In the first place, there are numerous passages in the genuine books which either make no refer ence to the incidents in the first bool or contain statements which contradict those to be found in the first book Secondly, in the first and third cantos of the first book we find two tables of contents, the first of which does not mention the first and the seventh books Thirdly, the style and lan guage of the first bool do not bear compari son with that of the five genuine bools (II Fourthly, the frequent interruption of the narrative in the first and the seventh books and the complete absence of any such interruption in the other five books cannot but suggest that the two books were

Spurious

composed by subsequent poets of less emmence and talent than the author of the genuine books Lastly, the character of the hero as drawn in the first and the seventh books differs from what we find in the remaining books. Thus in those two books Rama is not a mortal hero which he is in the other five books, but a divine being worthy of reverence to the nation

Antiquity

It has been already observed that the ourginal work of Valmiki assumed different forms as with years inapsodists introduced into it newer elements. It is, therefore, very difficult, if not absolutely impossible, to fix any specified age for the whole poem Winternitz says that the transformation of Rama from a man to the Universal God through a semi-divine national hero, cannot but take a sufficient length of time It should be noted, however, that not only the Rāma-legend but the Rāmāyana of Vālmīki also was known to the Mahābhārata which contains the Rāmopākhyāna in the Vanaparvan, of course, in a condensed form On the other hand, the poet or the poets of the Rāmāyana nowhere refer to the Bharatan These facts have led scholars like story Professor Jacobi to presume a very early

existence of the Rama Epic<sup>1</sup> though it still remains a disputed point whether it was earlier than the original story of the Mahabharata the passage in the Vanaparian containing the reference to the Ramayana being absent in that very early form of the Bharatan Tpic Dr Winternitz believes that "if the Mahabharata had on the whole its piesent form in the 4th Century A D the Ramayana must have received its final form at least a century or two earlier

From a study of Jutala literature at would appear that the stories of some of the Jatalas naturally remind us of the story of the Ramayana though it must be admitted that we seldom observe any literal agreement between the two. To cite an instance, the Davaratha jutala relates the story of the Ramayana in a different way where Rama and Suara teesembed as brother and sister. But it is highly significant that while the Jatalas give us immunerable stories of the demon world and the animals

Relation to Buddhism

<sup>1</sup> Scholars like Jacobi Schlegel M Wilhams Jolly and others point out that the Ramayana is earlier than the Mahābhārata because the burning of widows does not occur in it but it is mentioned in the Mahābharata.

they never mention the names of Ravana and Hanumat and the monkeys. It is not, therefore, improbable that prior to the fourth or the third centuries BC, when the Buddhist Tipitaka is believed to have come into existence, the Rāmāyana in its Epic form was not available though ballads dealing with Rama were known to exist Traces of Buddhism cannot be found in the Rāmāyana and the solitary instance where the Buddha is mentioned is believed to be an interpolation 1 Di Webei, however, suggests that the Rāmāyana is based on an ancient Buddhist legend of Prince Rama He thinks that the hero of the Rāmāyana, is essentially a sage in spirit and not merely a hero of war and that in Rāma we observe the glorification of the ideal of Buddhist equanimity Di Winternitz also approves of the idea of explaining the extreme mildness and gentleness of Rama by 'Buddhistic undercurrents' But we must say that by thinking in this way Di Webei has ignored the fact that a poet like Vālmīki could easily diaw his inspulation from his own heritage Our con-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Lassen on Weber's Rāmāyana (IA Vol III)

elusion, therefore, is that there was no direct influence of Buddhism on the Ramayana

It is certain that there is no Greel influence on the Ramayana as the genuiue Ramayana betrays no acquaintines with the Greeks Dr. Weber, however, thinks that the Ramayana is based on the Greek legend of Helen and the Irojin will But an examination of the contents of the Ramayana shows that the expression yauana occurs twice in the passages of the Ramayana which are evidently interpolations.

Greek influence

Professor Lassen was the first scholar to give an allegorical interpretation of the Ramayana. In his opinion the Epic represented the first attempt of the Aryans to conquer Southern India. According to Dr. Weber it was meant to account for the spread of Aryan civilization to South India and Ceylon.

Allegorical interpreta tion of the Epic

Professor Jacobi gives us a mythological interpretation and says that there is no allegory in the Epic. Thus he points out that in the Rgveda, Sita appears as the field furrow and involed as the goddess of agriculture. In some of the Grhyasütias Sita is the genuine daughter of the plough field and is a wife of Parjanya or India. In

Mythologi cal interpre tation the Rāmāyana also Sītā is represented as emerging from the plough-field of Janaka Rāma can be identified with India and Hanumat with the Maruts, the associates of India in his battle with demons. But we would only add that to read allegory or mythology in a first rate work of art is without any justification.

### REFERENCES

Davids, R Buddhist India

Hopkins, E W The Great Epics of India

Jacobi, H Das Rāmāyana

Macdonell, A A History of Sanskrit Literature Smith, V A Oxford History of India

Weber, A (1) On the Rāmāyana (IA Vol III)

(11) The History of Indian Literature

Williams, M Indian Wisdom

Winternitz, M A History of Indian Literature, Vol I

# MAHĀBHĀRATA Dr Winternitz describes the Mahabha

a ata as a whole literature and does not look upon it as one poetic production which the Ramayana essentially is The nucleus of the Mahabharata is the great war of eighteen days fought between the Kaurayas the hundred sons of Dhrtarastra and the Paudayas the five sons of Pandu The poet narrates all the circumstances leading up to the war In this great Kuruksetra battle were involved almost all the kings of India joining either of the two parties. The result of this war was the total annihilation of the Kauravas and their party, and Yudhisthira the head of the Pandavas, became the sovereign monarch of Hastinaphra But with the progress of years new matters and episodes relating to the various aspects of human life, social econo mic, political, moral and religious as also fragments of other heroic legends and legends containing reference to famous lings, came to be added to the aforesaid nucleus and this phenomenon probably continued for centuries till in the early part of the Christian era the Epic gathered its present shape which is said

eneral aracter to contain a hundred thousand verses. It is, therefore, that the *Mahābhārata* has been described not only as a heroic poem, but also as a 'repertory of the whole of the bard poetry'. The Epic in its present form is divided into eighteen books with a supplement called the *Harwam* 4 1

The famous Śrīmad-Bhagavadgītā is a chapter of the Bhīsmaparvan and contains eighteen sections. The Gītā is a simplification in verse of the crude doctrines in Hindu philosophy and is a book specially meant for the dwellers of the society rather than for one who has renounced it. The book is no doubt one of the finest fruits of Indian philosophy and has gained world-wide recognition in the hands of philosophers. The theme of this book is the advice, given by Śrī-Krsna for consoling depressed Arjuna, mainly dwelling on the doctrines of karman, jñāna and bhahti

It is extremely difficult for us to separate at this distant date the chaff from the real. However, in the first book of the *Mahābhā-rata* there is a statement that at one time

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> It is not definitely known whether this division into eighteen books is purely traditional, there being a somewhat different form of division as surmised from the writings of Albērūnī

the Epic contained 24000 verses while in another context we find that it consisted of 8800 verses. These statements may definitely lead one to conclude that the Fpic had undergone three principal stages of development before it assumed its present form

It is impossible to give in one line the exact date of the Mahabharata To determine the date of the Makabharata we should determine the date of every part of this Epie In the Vedas there is no mention of the incident of the giert Kninksetia battle In the Brahmanas, however, the holy Kurn field is described as a place of pilgrininge where gods and mortals celebrated big sacii ficial feasts. We also find the names of Jana meiava and Bharata in the Brilinanas So also the name of Pariksit is a pulci of Kuru land is found in the Athanareda We find frequent mention of the Kurus and the Pañcālas in the Yannieda The Kuthala samhita mentions the name of Dhrtarastia, son of Viettravirya In the Saul hyayana Cantasutra we find the mention of a war in Kuru land which was fatal for the Kaurayas But the names of the Pandayas do not occur therein The Gilyasutia of Asyalayana gives the names of Bhurata and

Age of the

Mahābhārata in a list of teachers and books Pāmm gives us the derivation of the words Yudhisthia, Bhūma and Vidura and the accent of the compound Mahābhārata Patañjah is the first to make definite allusions to the story of the battle between the Kamayas and the Pāndayas Although the Buddhist Tipitaha does not mention the name of the Mahābhārata, the Jātakas betray a slight acquaintance with it

Literary and inscrip tional evidence

Moreover, it is proved by literary and inscriptional evidence that already about 500 AD, the Mahabharata was no longer an actual Epic but a sacred book and a religious discourse. It was on the whole essentially different from the Epic as it is found to-day Kumanlabhatta quotes passages from the Mahābhārata and regards it as a Smrti work Both Subandhu and Bana knew it as a great work of art<sup>1</sup> and Bana alludes to a recital of the Mahābhārata? It must be admitted on all hands that though an Epic Mahābhārata did not exist in the time of the Vedas, single myths, legends and poems included in the Mahabharata reach back to the Vedic period The Mahabharata has also

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Vās p 37 & Hai p 2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Kād p 104

drawn many moral narratives and stories of saints from its contemporary 'ascetic poetry. An Epic Mahabharata, however, did not exist in the fourth century BC, and the transfor mation of the Epic Mahabharata into our present compilation probably took place between the fourth century BC and the fourth century AD In the fourth century AD, the worl was available in its present extent, contents and character, though small alterations and additions might have continued even in later centuries

To the strictly orthodox Indian mind, the Ramayana appears to have been composed earlier than the Mahabharata Indians believe that of the two incurnations of the Loid, Rama and Krsaa, the former was born earlier Westein enties do not attach any importance to this belief, for it is argued by them that the hero of the genuine portion of the Ramayana which is older does not appear as an incurnation but as an ordinary mortal hero. Professor Jacobi

<sup>1</sup> There are a few passages in the genuine books e g the one in Bk VI where ShT enters into the pyro wherein Rima is described as a divine being Critics feel no hesitation in calling such passages interpolations. Two Epics which is also thinks that of the two poems, the Rāmāyana is the earlier production, and he bases his theory on the supposition that it is the influence of the Rāmāyana which has moulded the Mahābhārata into a poetic form 1 Dr Winternitz does not attach any real importance to this theory and criticizes it by saying that the Mahābhārata, even in its present form, retains several characteristics of older poetry while the poem of Valmiki reveals such peculiarities as would place him nearer to the age of Court-Thus it has been pointed out epics that such expressions like "Bhīsma spake" "Sañiaya spake" which the poet of the Mahābhārata, uses to introduce a character, are reminiscent of ancient ballad poetry 2 But in the Rāmāyana the speeches are intioduced in verses and therefore in a more polished form The theory of Professor Jacobi may be further contested on the ground that

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> According to Mr Hopkins, the  $R\bar{u}m\bar{u}yana$  as an art-product is later than the  $Mah\bar{u}bh\bar{u}ata$  (Cf Cambridge History, I p 251)

The mixture of prose with poetry which we notice in the *Mahūbhūrata* is a fact that proves its antiquity. This view of Professor Oldenberg is not accepted by Dr. Winternitz

from a perusal of the two Epics, the reader will unmistakably carry the impression that while the *Mahabharata* describes a more war lile age the *Ramayana* depicts a comparatively refined civilization

#### REFERENCES

Bhandarkar R G On the Mab ibb rata (IA Vol I)

Goldstucker T The Mahābhārata
Hopkins E W The Great Epics of India

Macdonell A A A History of Sanskrit Literature
Telang K T SBE Vol VIII
Vaidya C V Mah Tharata a critical study

Weber A The History of Indian Literature

Williams M Indian Wisdom

Winternitz M A History of Indian Literature
Vol I

### CHAPTER TWO

### THE PURĀNAS

Introduc-

The origin of the Puranas must be traced to that time of religious revolution when Buddhism was gaining ground as a formidable foe of Brahmanic culture. Great devotees of Brahmanic religion were anxious for the preservation of the old relics of Hindu culture, and Vyasa, the great compiler, the greatest man of his time, was born to meet the demand of the age. The most important point to be remembered in this connection, is that the entire Vedic culture lies at the back-ground of the age of Buddhism and the Puranas

Age

It was at one time believed by European scholars that not one of the eighteen Puranas is earlier than the eleventh century AD But this belief has been discarded on the discovery of a manuscript of the Shandapurāna in Nepal written in the sixth century AD Further, Banabhatta in his Harracarita mentions that he once attended a recitation of the Vāyupurāna Kumarila (750 AD) regards the Puranas as the sources of law Sankara (ninth century AD) and Rāmanuja (eleventh century AD) refer to the Puranas

as sacred texts for their dependence on the The famous traveller Alberuni Vedas (1030 AD) also gives us a list of the eighteen Puranas

The word Purana means 'old narrative In the Brahmanas, the Upamisads and the Antiquity Buddhist texts, the word is found to be used in connection with Itihasa Some scholars hold that the Purmas mentioned in these places do not refer to the works we But the references found have before us in the Dharmasutias of Gautania and Apas trinbr (works belonging in all probability to the fifth or the fourth century BC) suggest that there were at that early period worls resembling our Puranas The close relation ship between the Mahabharata and the Pura nas is another point in support of the inti guity of the latter The Mahabharata which calls itself a Purana, has the general charac ter of the latter, and it is not highly improbable that some integral parts of the Puranas are older than the present reduction of the Mahabharata The Lalitavistara not only calls itself a Purana but has also much in common with the Puranas The Vayupurana is quoted literally by the Harmania The genealogical survey of all the Puranas reveals

the fact that they generally stop with the accounts of the Andhia Bhrtya and Gupta kings and that later kings like Harsa are not mentioned So it may be suggested that the Puranas were written during the rule of the Gupta kings On the other hand, the striking resemblance between the Buddhist Mahayana texts of the first century AD, and the Puranas, suggests the fact that the latter were written early in the beginnings of the Christian era The characteristics of the Puranas are also found in books like the Saddharmapundarika and the Mahavastu Di Winternitz has, however, concluded that the earlier Puranas must have come into being before the seventh century AD

Character

According to Indian tradition every Purana should discuss five topics, (1) sarga creation, (11) pratisarga the periodical annihilation and renewal of the world, (111) vamsa genealogy of gods and sages, (1v) manvantara the Manu-periods of time re, the great periods each of which has a Manu (primal ancestor of the human race) as its ruler, and (v) vamsānucarīta the history of the dynasties the origin of which is traced to the Sun and the Moon But all these five characteristics are not present in

every Purana, and though in some they are partially present, we notice a wide diversity of topics in them. Thus we find many chapters dealing with the duties of the four eastes and of the four avamas, sections on Brahmanical rites, on particular ceremomies and feasts and frequently also chapters on Sankhya and Yoga philosophy. But the most strilling peculiarity of all the Puranas is their sectain character as they are dedicated to the cult of some deity who is treated as the principal God in the book. So we come across a Purana dedicated to Visnu another to Siva and so on

Unique is the importance of the Puranas from the standpoint of history and religion. The genealogical survey of the Puranas is immensely helpful for the study of political history in ancient India, and yet it is a tasl for the scholar to glean germs of Indian history, hidden in the Puranas. Dr. Smith says that the Vianupurana gives us invaluable informations about the Maurya dynasty. The Matsyapurana is most dependable in so far as the Andhra dynasty is concerned, while the Vanupurana gives us detailed descriptions about the reign of Candragupta I. As the object of the Puranas was to popularize

Value

the more difficult and highly philosophical preaching of the Vedas through the medium of historical facts and tales, we naturally find in them Hinduism in a fully developed form So the student of religion cannot pass it by The Puranas are not also wanting in literary ment, and they abound in numerous passages which speak of the highly artistic talent of their makers

Name and

The Puranas or the Maha-puranas, as we have them to-day, are eighteen in number, and there are also minor Puranas (Upapuranas) which all again number eighteen. The eighteen Maha-puranas are

(1) Brahma, (2) Padma, (3) Vrsīnu, (4) Šīva, (5) Bhāgavata, (6) Nārada, (7) Mārhandeya, (8) Agnī, (9) Bhavīsya or Bhavīsyat, (10) Brahmavarvarta, (11) Līnga, (12) Varāha, (13) Shanda, (14) Vāmana, (15) Kūrma, (16) Matsya, (17) Garuda and (18) Brahmānda

Devīmāhātmya The Devimāhātmya which is popularly known as the 'Candī' or the 'Saptaśatī', is a section of the Mār handeyapurāna According to Di Winternitz, its date is not later than the sixth century a D The book which contains thin teen chapters and seven hundred mantias, is a glorification of the Primal

I ner, v (1972 Sept) who do conds among all conditions to the state from the total total the worlds of hearp of the condition total 1 m the pass the domain Madha Kantabar Maha esira Sumbha and Ne umbha mear other. The best recent furrious rehales function of the Hamba

The eighten I proporties which have been teld by differences one --

om & nuclist of Ups putins

(1) Strath mars (2) Nort who is 1 Luga (4) Strill iron (5) Abor, (6) Northo (7) the two Nondilectorus (8) Lemos (9) Kapile (10) Larino (11) Sanda (12) Kahl V (13) Malectri (11) Kalli (15) Det (10) Porisiri (17) More and (18) Physlara ar Sarya (19)

#### RETURN NOLS

Bhan Jarkar R G A perior the Farly Hi fory of In Fa JJDPASA 1 NA 1992)

Partier I I IPI VIN 1919
Partier I J Cantrile Hiera VII
Vilon II H Jeans on San Arit II ratin
Win mitz M Allicory of Indian It after
Vol I

The above he of the paramas gaves by Raphu nandans estat in from the Sil Islandarius and in the gaves a different h

### CHAPTER THREE

### THE TANTRAS

Meaning,
-contents
and class -

The expression Tantia which generic name for works belonging to 'Āgama', 'Tantia' and 'Samhitā', iefeis to theological treatises discussing the codes of discipline and worship among different sects of religion along with their metaphysical and inystical points of view A complete Tantia generally consists of four parts, the themes treated of being (1) knowledge (jñāna), (11) meditation (yoga), (111) action (kr ujā) and (w) conduct (car yā) Though it is not possible to draw any special line of demarcation among Agama, Tantia and Samhita, still it is usual to refer to the sacred books of the Sarvas by the expression Agama, while Tantia stands for the sacred literature of the Saktas and Sam-The Saktahita for that of the Vaisnavas Tantias are mainly monistic in character, while the Vaisnava-Tantias generally advocate dualism, or qualified monism Saiva-Tantias are divided into three schools of monism, qualified monism and dualism

The Tantias came to replace the Vedas

when in later times it was found that per formance of a sacrifice according to Vedic rites was practically impossible owing to their rigid orthodoxy. Thus the Tanta's pre cribic easier and less complicated methods which would suit not only the ligher classes but also the Südras and the feminime foll of the society who had no access to Vedic cere monies. It would therefore not be wise to think that I intric literature is opposed to Vedic literature, and this point would be made abundantly ele ir when it is found that the rigidly orthodox Vedic scholars write original works and comment meson. Lanti is

Relation to-Vedic literature

The earliest manuscripts of Tantris date from the seventh to the muth century a date from the seventh to the muth century a date from the seventh to the sixth century a date beel to the fifth of the sixth century a date beel to the fifth of the sixth century a date of the the fifth of the sixth century a date of the the fifth of the sixth century a date of the fifth of the sixth century a date of the fifth of the sixth century a date of the fifth of the fi

Antiquity

The home of Agrame literature scens to be Kashnur, while that of Tantic literature is Bengal Sainhita literature

Home

as it is known, originated in different parts of India, in Bengal, South India and the Siamese country

Works on Āgama Among works belonging to Agamic literature of Kashimi the most important are the following

Mālinīvijaya, Svacchanda, Vijūānabhai iava, Ucchusmabhaiiava, Anandabhaiiava, Migendia, Matanga, Netia, Naišvāsa, Svāyambhuia and Rudiayāmala

Works on Pratyabhijñā Closely associated with Againic literature is Pratyablija literature. Some of the most important works of this literature are.

Śnadisti of Somananda (850-900 AD) Pratyabhijnākārikās of Utpala (900-950 AD) Mālinīvijayottararārttika, Pratyabhijnāvimaršinī, Tantrāloka, Tantrasāra and Paramārthasāra of Abhinavagupta (993-1015 AD) and Pratyabhijnāhidaya of Ksemaiāja (pupil of Abhinavagupta)

Works on Samhitā Among works belonging to Samhitā literature the most important is the Ahir-budhnyasamhitā which was composed in Kāshmii in the fifth century and İstara-samhitā, Pauskarasamhitā, Paramasamhitā, Sāttvatasamhitā, Bihadbiahmasamhitā and Jūānāmitasārasamhitā are other well-known works of this branch of Sanskirt literature

Among works belonging to Tantra iterative, mention may be made of the Works on following —

Mahānırıana, Kularnava, Kulacuda manı, Prapañcasara (of Sankara), Tantra raja, Kölurilasa, Jhanarnara, Saradatılaka, Varırasyarahasya (of Bhaskara), Tantrasara (of Krsnananda) and Pranatosini

#### REFERENCES

Avalon A Tantrik Texts
Chattern J C Kashmir Shaivis.n
Winternitz M A History of Indian Laterature
Vol I

### CHAPTER FOUR

## KĀVYA IN INSCRIPTIONS

Renaissance theory

Early in the beginnings of Sanskiitic studies in Europe, Professor Max Muller propounded the theory of the 'Renaissance of Sanskiit literature, which remained highly popular for a considerable length of time This theory, set forth with much profundity, sought to establish that Biahmanic culture passed through its dark age at the time when India was continuously facing foreign invasions. The calliest revival of this culture is to be found in the reign of the Guptas which is a golden page in the annals of Indian In spite of all its ingenuity the culture theory has been generally discarded by recent researches and discovery Evidences are now at our disposal to prove the falsity of the assumption and the inscriptions of the early centuries of the Christian era unmistakably show that the study and development of Sanskiit Kavya was never impeded

Girnār inscription Thus the inscription of Rudiadaman at Ginar dated 150 AD, is written in prose in the full-fledged Kavya style with conformity to the rules of grammar.

Though traces of epic licence can be found in the inscription, still the writer is a gifted master in the use of figures of speech As an example of alliteration may be cited the phrase abhyastanamno Rudradamno Though there are long compounds still the elearness and the hierdity of the style is no where forsiken What is more significant is that the author is conversant with the science of poetics and discusses the ments attributed by Dandin to the Vaidarbha style.

Still another inscription which is den vable from a record of Sur Pulumayr at Nesik is written in Prakrit prose The date of this Name inscription is not far removed from the The author who is undoubtedly familiar with Sanskiit, uses enormous senten ees with long compounds Alliterations and even mannersms of later Kavvas are found in this inscription

It may be therefore concluded that the works of Asynghosa, the great Buddhist poet, are not the earliest specimens of Sansknt Kavya Either these caller Kavyas are now lost to us unfortunately, or anthors hile Kahdasa have completely echpsed the glory of their predecessors Thus of the three

inscription

### 50 AN INTRODUCTION TO CLASSICAL SANSKRIT

diamatists referred to by Kalidasa, the diamas of only one are now known to us

### REFERENCES

Keith, A.B. A. History of Sanskrit Literature

Macdonell, A. A. A. History of Sanskrit Literature

Muller, Max. A. History of Ancient Sanskrit

Literature

#### CHAPTER FIVE

# EARLY BUDDING WORKS IN

The prucity of authentic landmarks in the domain of early Indian history is a stupendous stambling block to the gateway to the study of the history of Sauskrit literature. A colossal darkness that envelops the period of Sanskrit literature in the beginnings of the Christian era, makes it extremely difficult if not hopelessly impossible, to ascertain the age in which a particular writer lived and wrote his worl. The chronology of Indian literature is shronded in such paniful obscurity that oriental scholars were long uponant of the vist literature produced in Sanslirit, by Buddhist writers

The thought of the Mahayana school of Buddhism was expressed in a language which was not Pale the extraordinarily rich and Butina, but which was putly Sanslart and Butina, but which was putly Sanslart and partly adulect to which Professor Senart has given the designation Mid Sanslart, or which Professor Pischel likes to call the Gatha

Introduc

Buddhist Sanskrit literature includes Mahāyāna and Hina yāna works dialect 1 This literature of the Mahayana school is called Buddhist Sanskiit literatime. But it should be mentioned in this connection that Buddhist Sanskiit literature is not synonymous with the nich literature of the Mahayana school alone, but it has a still wider scope including as it does the literature of the Hinavana school as masmuch as the Sarvastivadius, a sect of the Hinayana school, possess a canon and a fauly vast literature ın Sanskııt The Sanskut canon, however, is not available in its entirety, but its existence is proved on the evidence of the seveial quotations from it in such works as the Mahāvastu, the Divyāvadāna and the Lalitaustara This Sanskut canon shows close affinity to the Pali canon, and it is suggested that both of them are but translations of some original canon in Magadhi, which is lost to us

Mahāvastu ıts date The most important work of the Hinayana school is the Mahāvastu, the book of the Great Events This Mahāvastu, a book belonging to the school of the Loko-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>It may be observed in this connection that the Nāsik Cave inscription No X of Nahapāna is written in this dialect

tturvadins a sub division of the Mahasan ghikas, shows after the introduction the following title Aryamahasanghil anam Loko ttaravadınam madhyadesil anam pathena vi nayapıtal asya mahavastu adı This may fur nish us with a chie to determine the date of its composition In order to ascertain this it is to be found out when the Loko ttaravadin sect of the Mahasanghikas sprang up In this connexion, it would be necessary to fix the date of Buddha's death Scholars ne divided in their opinions as to the exact year when Buddha died Professors Max Muller and Cunningham make it 477 BC while Mr Gopala Aiyer likes to fix it at 483 BC But more probable is Dr Smith's theory according to which Buddhadied in 487 BC It is said that Asoka was coronated in 269 BC and that this coronation took place some two hundred and eighteen years after the death of Buddha But if the account of the Southern Buddhists is to be believed this year was either 544 or 543 BC Now the open ing lines of the fifth chapter of the Mahavamsa will throw light on the age when the Maha sänghikas came into being 1 There it is

<sup>1</sup> Eko va theravado so adivassasate ahu añña

stated that during the first century after the death of Buddha, there was but one schism among the Theras Subsequent to this period, other schisms took place among the pieceptois From the whole of those sinful priests, in number thousand, who had been degraded by the Theras (who had held the second convocation) originated the schism among the pieceptors called the Mahāsānghika It is described in this connextion that as many as eighteen schisms lose and all of them in the course of a couple of centuries after the death of Buddha But, the difficulty is that there is no mention of the Lokottaiavādins in the Mahāvamsa In the appendix of the translation of the Mahāvamsa, it has been said that the Lokottaiavadins do not appear in the tradition of the Southern Buddhists They are mentioned immediately beside the Gokulikas In Rock hill 182, the Lokottaiavadins are to be found

canyavādā tu tato onam ajāyisum | Tehi sangītikāiehi theiehi dutiyehi te | mggahitā pāpabhikkhū sabbe dasasahassikā | Akams'ācāniyavādam Mahāsanghikanāmakam | just in the place where the Goknikks are expected Moreover, in two other contexts the Goknikks and not the Lokottaravadins are mentioned. Thus, it is better to identify the two and in that case, the Lokottaravadins seem to have sprung up at least in the third century u.c. That being so, the Maharastu which has been described to be the first work of them seet, could not have been written liter than that period

But a fresh difficulty makes its appear ance Tho Maharastu is not a composite whole Different parts of it have been coin posed at different periods and this accounts for the numethodies arrangement of facts and ideas in the worl Besides, the Maha astu is not a piece of artistic literatine It has rightly been called 'a labyrinth in which we can only with an effort, discover the thread of a coherent account of the life of Buddha The contents are not in the least properly arranged and the reader may come across the repetition of the same story, over and over ag in But the importance of the work can never be undervalued in view of the fact that it has preserved numerous traditions of respectable intignity and ver sions of texts occurring in the Pali canon

Mshāvestu its charac The Mahāvastu has yet another claim to its importance, for in it the reader discovers a storehouse of stories. It is a truth that nearly half of the book is devoted to Jātakas and stories of like nature. Most of the narratives remind us of the stories of Purānas and the history of Brahmadatta may be cited as an instance. To conclude, the Mahārastu, though a work of the Hīnayāna school, betrays some affinity to the Mahāyānistic thought. The mention of a number of Buddhas and the conception of Buddha's self-begottenness, are ideas associated with the Mahāyāna school.

Lalitavistara its character The literature of the Mahayana school of Buddhism is extremely iich. Though originally a work of the Sarvastivadin school attached to the Hinayana, the Labitavistara is believed to be one of the most sacred Mahayana texts, masmuch as it is regarded as a Varpulyasūtra. That the work contains the Mahayanistic faith may easily be inferred from the very title of the work which means 'the exhaustive narrative of the sport of the Buddha'. A critical study of the work reveals, however, that it is but a 'redaction of an older Hinayana text expanded and embellished in the sense of

the Mahayana a biography of the Buddha representing the Sarvistividin school It is also affect that the present I alitaerdara is not the work of a single inthor it is rither 'an anonymous compilation in which both the old and the young framents have found their places. Such bonn the case it is hardly proper to regard the work as a good old source for the I nowledge of Buddhism. The reader finds in it the aridical development of the Buddha leaend mats carbest beginnings. Hence there is hardly any significance in the statement of Professor Valle, Poussur when he says that the Inlitaristara represents the popular Buddhism The book however is of great importance from the standpoint of literary lustory masmuch as it has supplied in ite rials for the monumental ope of Assurhosa entitled the Buddhacarda

To determine the date of composition of the work it would be necessary to bear in mind that the worl is a lapphyasutra In the Vaipulyasūtras we find sections in a reduction of prose followed by one in veise, the latter being in substance, only a repeti-

tion of the former. The idiom of prose portions is a lind of Sanskrit while that of

verses, Gathas, a verled Prakrit somewhat clumsily Sanskiitized as much as the exigencies of the metic have permitted Professor Kein thinks that the prose passages are undoubtedly translations of a Prakrit text into Sanskut The question, therefore, auses why and when has the original idiom been replaced by Sanskiit? It is known that in India it has been the common fate of all Prakrits that they have become obsolete whilst the study and practice of Sanskrit have been kept up all over the country as the common language of science and literature, and also as a bond between Aryans and Diavidians Now it may be asked, at what time then might Sanskiit have reconquered its ascendancy? Professor Kern suggests that it was in all probability shortly before or after the council in the reign of that great Indo-Scythian King Kaniska

Nariman's view and conclusions Mi G K Naiman, in his Literary History of Sanskirt Buddhism, says that it is an enoneous conception that the *Lalitaristara* was translated into Chinese in the first Christian era. Moreover, he doubts that the Chinese biography of Buddha, called the Phuyau-king, published in 300 AD, is the second translation of our present text of the

Lalitavistara On the other hand, he says that a precise rendering of the Sansl rit text was completed in Tibetan and it was produced as late as the fifth century AD It may however, be noted here that Professor Kein has taken sufficient pains to prove that there is much that is of respectable antiquity in the work Taking this factor into consi deration its date may be assigned some time before the Christian era

The most outstanding Buddhist writer in Sanskrit is Asvaghosa Round his date hangs a veil of mystery Di Smith writes in his History of India In literature the memory of Kaniska is associated with the names of the emment Buddhist writers Nagarjuna, Asvaghosa, and Vasumitra Asvaghosa is described as having been a poet, musician, scholar, religious controvei sighst and zealous Buddbist monk orthodox in creed and a strict observer of discipling Judged from all evidences it may be concluded that Kaniska flourished in 78 AD Hence Asynghosa who adorned his court, flourished in the first century of the Christian era 1

<sup>1</sup> In the chronological group generally accepted by numismatics the Kaniska group succeeds the Kadphi

Buddhacarita The masterpiece of Asvaghosa is his Buddhacarita the life-history of Buddha From the account of I-tsing it appears that the Buddhacarita with which he was acquainted, consisted of tuenty-eight cantos. The

ses group. But even this view has not the unanimous support of scholars If, as some scholars hold, the group of kings comprising Kaniska, Vāsiska, Huviska and Vasudeva preceded Kndpluses I, the coms of the two princes last named should be found together, as they are not, and those of Kadphises II and Kaniska should not be associated, as they are Chief supporters, of the view stated above are Dis Fleet, Frank and Mi Kennedy Dr Frank lays stress on the fact that Chinese historians as apart from Buddhist authors make no mention of Kaniska But he himself answers the question when he holds that with the year 125 AD, the source was dued up from which the chronicler could draw the information regarding the peoples of Turkesthan Di Fleet connects Kaniska's accession to the thione with the traditional Vikrama Samvat, beginning with the year 57 BC This view has been ably controverted by Dr Thomas and discoveries of Professor Marshall totally belie its truth Inscriptions, coins and the records of Hruen Tsang point out that Kaniska's dominion included Gandhāia According to Chinese evidence, Kipin of Kāpiśa-Gandhāja was not under the Kusāna kings in the second half of the first century BC Professors Marshall, Sten Konow, Smith and other scholars think that Kaniska's rule begins about 125 A.D. The evidence of Sue Vihin

Tibetan translation, too, contains the same number of cantos But unluclally the Sanslant text comprises seventeen cantos only, of which, again, the last four are of dibious origin It is said that one Amriananda of

inscriptions proves that Kam kas empire extended as far as the Lower Indus valley but the Junigadh inscription of Rudrad iman tells us that the dominions of the Emperor included Sindhu and Sauvira. It is known that Rudradyman hard from A to 130 to A D 150 Under the circumstances it is almost impossible to reconcile the suzeramty of the Au and Aug with the independence of this powerful satrap (cf. Sianama dhigatam mahālsatiapanāma) From Kaniska s datos 3-23 Vīsiska s dates 24-28 Huviska e dates 31-60 and Visudeva a dates 74-98 it is almost evident that Kaniska was the originator of an era. But accord ing to our evidence non wera was in vogue about the beginning of the second century AD Dr R C Mazumdar is of opinion that the era started by Kaniska was the Kalachuri era of 248 49 AD But Professor Jouveau Dubreuil contends that it is not likely that V isudeva's reign terminated after 100 years from Kaniska's date of accession for Mathur where Vasudeva reuned came under the Nugas about 350 A D It may be further mentioned that for the reason stated above we can hardly acc pt the theory of Sir R G Bhandarkar who accepts AD 278 as the date of Laniska's accession According to Professors Ferguson Oldenberg Thomas R D Banerjee Rapson and others Kaniska started the Saka era commencing from 78 A D

the ninth century and added these four cantos. Even the manuscript discovered by MM. Haraprasada Sastrin, reaches down to the middle of the fourteenth canto.

Professor Dubreml does not accept the view as well on the following grounds. First, if the view that Kumla-kara-Kadphises and Hermaios reigned about 50 AD and that Kaniska founded the era in 78 AD is accepted, there remain only twenty-eight years for the end of the reign of Kadphises I and the entire roign of Kadphises II But Kadphises II succeeded an octogenerian and it is not impossible that his roigh was one of short duration Professor Marshall says that Professor Dubieuil has discovered at Taxila a document which can be placed in 79 AD and the king, it mentions, was certainly not Kaniska But Professor H C Ray Chaudhun has shown that the title Devaputia was applicable to the Kaniska group and not to the earlier group The omission of a personal name does not prove that the first Kusana king was meant Secondly, Professor Dubieuil says that Professor Sten Konow has shown that Tibetan and Chinese documents prove that Kaniska lived in the second century AD But it is not improbable that this Kaniska is the Kaniska of the Ara inscription of the year 41 which, if referred to the Saka cia, would give a date that would fall in the second century AD Po-t'nao may be one of the successors of Vasudeva I Professors Banerjee and Smith recognize the existence of more than one Vasudeva Finally, Professor Konow has shown that inscriptions of the Kaniska era and the Saka era are not dated in

The Buddhacarita is really a work of art Unlike the Maharastu and the Lalitavistara, it shows a systematic treatment of the subject matter Nowhere will the reader come across a confused or incoherent des Throughout the worl, the poet is very cautious about the use of figures of speech, and this abstinence from the super abundant employment of figures of speech has lent special charm to the ment of the work Over and above this, the presentment of the miraculous in the Buddha legend has been done with equal moderation Thus, in short, the work is an artistic creation An account of the assemblago of fan and young ladies watching from gabled windows of high mansions, the exit of the royal prince from the capital is followed by a vivid life like description of how he came in contact with the hateful spectrole of senility

the same manner The learned scholar shows that the loscriptions of haniska are dated in different fashions In the Kharosthi inscriptions Kaniska follows the method of his Saka Pallava predecessors. On the other hand in the Brahmi inscriptions he follows the accient Indian method Is it then impossible that he adopted a third method to suit the local conditions in Western India 9

ladies, when they came to know that the prince was going out of the city, rushed to the window, careless of gudles falling off from their bodies and the poet speaks of then faces as so many full-blown lotuses with which the palace was decorated The poet shows high aitistic ciaftsmanship in depicting how the prince overcame the luies of sweet ladies who made an attempt to divert his mind from the desire to bid good-bye to all the joys and comforts of the world and also in the description of the famous scene in which the prince, gazing on the undecked bodies of the ladies, locked in the sweet embrace of sleep, resolved to abandon the palace No less artistically pathetic is the scene in which the prince takes leave of his charioteer and the conversation between the two is remarkable for the spirit of absolute disinterestedness towards worldly happiness, which is displayed by the prince The poet is also an adept in the description of battles, and no one will forget the spirited picture of the contest of Buddha against the demon Maia and his monstrous hosts Evidences are also disceimble in the work to show that the poet was familiai with the doctime of stateciaft

Asyaghosa is the author of another enic, the Saundarananda, which has been discovered and edited by MM Haraprasada nanda Sastrin This work also turns round the history of Buddha s life, but the central theme is the history of the reciprocal love of Sundarı and Nanda, the half brother of Buddha, who is initiated into the order against his will by the latter

The third work of the poet is a lyncal poem, the Gandistotiagatha, reconstructed in the Sanskiit original from the Chinese by tragatha A von Stael Holstein

Another work of the poet is the Sutralanlara, which undoubtedly is a later production than the Buddhacarita, masmuch kara as the former quotes the latter. It is to be re-retted that the Sanskrit original is not yet available, what we have is only the Chinese translation of the work This Sutrulanlara is a collection of pious legends after the model of Jatakas and Avadanas This work however, has furnished us with a clue to the existence of dramatic

Sutralan

1 Dr Winternitz is of opinion that this work was written by Kumaralita a junior contemporary of Asvaghor The work bears the title Kalpanama ndıtıka or halpanalanketika

literature even at the time Aśvaghosa In the piece relating to Māra we have the recapitulation of a drama

Sārīputraprakarana There is a positive evidence to show that Aśvaghosa was a diamatist as well and in this connection reference may be made to the momentous discovery of the concluding portion of a nine-act diama entitled the Sāriputraprakarana which treats of the conversion of Sariputra and his friend Maudgalyāyana Among the valuable manuscript treasures in palm-leaf recovered from Turfan there is a fragmentary manuscript in which Professor Luders found this drama which bore the name of Aśvaghosa as its author

Mahäyanasraddhotpädasütra One more work attributed to the poet is the Mahāyānak addhotpādasūtra, a philosophical treatise on the basis of the Mahāyāna doctrine. Herein, as Professor Lévi remarks, the author shows himself as a profound metaphysician, as an interpid reviver of a doctrine which was intended to regenerate Buddhism. It is believed that the author came of a Biāhmana family and that he was later initiated into the doctrine of Buddhism.

According to Di Winternitz this work has been wrongly ascribed to Aśvaghosa

At first, he joined the Sarvastivadin school and then prepared for the Mah is ina It was at one time believed that Asvaghosa was a pioneer in the field of Mahayanism but it is better to suppose that he was not the first to write a treatise on that subject, but was a strong exponent of it lear, it is undeniable that the Mahayana school developed long before Asynghosa

Another worl attributed to Asvaghosa, is the Vagrasuce Here the author tales up the Brahmane standpoint and disputes the authority of sacred texts and the claims of caste, and advocates the doctrine of equality In the Chinese Intellal a Catalogue the work has been ascribed to Dharmal irti 1

Varrasuci

Matreeta is the injection name of a Buddhist Sanskrit poet who according to the Tibetan lustorian firmitha, is none other than Asynghosa According to I tsing Matreets is the author of the Catusata lastotia and the Satapaneasatil anamastotia. two poems in four hundred and one hundred and fifty verses respectively Fragments of the Sanslast original of the former have been discovered in Central Asia.

<sup>1</sup> Vide Bunyiu Nanno Catalogue of the Chinese Translation of the Buddhist Tipilaka No 1303

The poems show some artistic excellence Another work attributed to him is the Mahārāja-Kanrkalekha <sup>1</sup>

Āryacandra Maitreyav yākarana Aiyacandra belonging probably to the same period as that of Matriceta, is known as the author of the Maitreyaryākarana or the Maitreyasamiti which is in the form of a dialogue between Gotama Buddha and Sariputra. The work, translated into various languages, seems to have been very popular

Āryasūra Jātakamālā Very well-known is the name of the poet Āryaśūra, the author of the popular Jātakamālā, written after the model of the Sūtrā-lankāra. Among the frescoes in the caves of Arantā, there are scenes from the Jātakamālā with inscribed strophes from Āryaśūra. The inscriptions belong to the sixth century AD, but as another work of the poet was translated into Chinese in 434 AD, he must have lived in the fourth century AD.

Saddharmapundarīka,
Kāranda
vyūha,
Sukhāvatīvyūha
and
Aksobhyavyūha

The Buddhist Sanskit literature belonging purely to the Mahayana school has preserved a number of books called the Mahayanasūtras which are mainly devoted to the glorification of Buddhas and Bodhisattvas. The most important of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> F W Thomas Maticetā and the Mahārāja-Kanikalekha (IA Vol XXXII)

them is the Saddharmapundarika written in the manner of the Puranas book which is a glorification of Buddha Sakyamuni, contains elements of quite differ ent periods, for it is believed that Sanskrit prose and Gathas in mixed Sanskrit could not have developed at the same time The book was tlanslated into Chinese between 225 AD and 316 AD The original, there fore must have been composed not later than the second century AD Some scholars, however like to give it an early date. But even Professor Kern has not been able to find out passages which may show any anci ent thought Another work is the Karanda vuuha preserved in two versions and betraying a theistic tendency It con tains a glorification of the Bodhisattva Avalokitesvara It was translated into Chinese as early as 270 AD The Sukhara twyuha in which is glorified the Buddha Amitabha, is one more important book in which the reader may find a longing for spiritual liberation The Alsobhyaryuha which was translated into Chinese between 385 AD and 433 AD, contains an account of Buddha Aksobhya

The philosophical writings of Buddhist

Philosophical literature poets constitute no mean contribution to early Sanskirt literature. Among philosophical works belonging to the earliest Mahayanasūtias mention should be made of the Prajūāpāramītās which occupy a unique place from the point of view of the history of religion. The Chinese translation of a Prajūāpāramītā was made as early as 179 and Other philosophical Mahāyanasūtias are the Buddhāvatamsaka, the Gandavyūha, the Dasabhūmaka, the Ratnakūla, the Rāstrapāla, the Lankāvatāra, the Samādhirāja and the Suvarnaprabhāsa

Nāgārjuna his works

The Mādhyamikakānikā which is a systematic philosophical work of the class with which we are familiar in the Biahmanic philosophical literature was written metrical form (in four hundred verses) by Nagaijuna whose name is associated with the Kusana King Kaniska 1 Nagaijuna also known ลร the author of the Akutobhaya, a commentary on his own work, which is preserved in a Tibetan tran-The Yuktisastikā, the Śūnyatāslation saptati, the Pratityasamutpādahrdaya, the Mahāyānavımsaka, the Vigiahavyāvaitanī,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Some think that Nagarjuna lived at the close of the second century A D

the El aslokasastra, the Prajnadanda and a few commentaries are his other works There is another work the Dharmasamaraha, which passes as his composition 1

In the Chinese translations (101 AD) of the biographies of Asyaghosa and Nagar juna there occurs the name of one Aryadeva His Catus atala is a work on the Widhya mika system and is a polemic directed against the Brihmanie ritual. His other worls are the Diadasanil anasastra and the Cittai widdhipi al arana Maitrey anatha, the real founder of the Logacara school, is the anthor of the Abhisamayalanlaralanlas, translated into Chinese probably in the fourth century AD Arya Assuga the fumous student of Maitrevanatha, wrote the Yoqucurabhumikastra besides a few works all preserved in Chinese translations Vasu bandhu Asunga, a strong adherent of the Sarvastividin school, whom Professor Takakusu places between 420 AD and 500 and to whom Professor Wogshara assigns a date between 390 vp and 470 AD, wrote the Abhidharmal osa and the Paramarthasaptati to combat the Sankhya

Atvadeva Maitreva Arva Asanga Vasuban Asanea their works

The Suhrllekha is ascribed to Nagariuna It contains no Mīdhyamika doctrine

philosophy In his later life, when he is believed to have been converted into Mahayana, he wrote the Vynaptimatratasiddhi

Dignāga his works

Dignaga is the chief of the early philosophers who had made valuable contribution through his masterpieces, the Pramanasamuccaya and the Nyāyamavesa hved probably in the fifth century AD the same century probably belonged Sthnamati and Dhaimapala who wrote valuable commentaries on the Madhyamika system 1

Avadāna literature

The vast field of Avadana literature presents a good and sufficient specimen of Sanskiit writing by Buddhist poets word avadāna signifies a 'great religious or moral achievement as well as the history of a great achievement' Such a great act may consist in the sacrifice of one's own life, but also may be confined to the founding of an institution for the supply of incense, flowers, gold and jewels to, or the building of, sanctuaries Avadana stories are designed to inculcate that dark (ignoble) deeds bear

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Later philosophical works, belonging to definitely identified schools of Buddhism, eg, the works of Yasomitia, Candrakiiti, Santideva, Dhaimakiiti, Dhaimottaia and others, will be treated in detail in a subsequent chapter on Philosophy

dark (ignoble) fruits while white (noble) acts beget white (noble) fruits. Thus they are also tales of larman

The Avadanasataka heads the list of works on Avadana literature It consists of ten decades each having a theme of its own Another work the Karmasatala, preserved only in the Tibetan translation bears close affinity to the former Yet another collection of stories in Tibetan (translated of course, from original Sanskrit) is known in the world's literature as Dsanglun

A well known collection of Avadana literature is the Disyaradana The book belongs broadly to the Hinayana school but traces of Mahayanistic influence may yet be discovered The collection is composed of many materials and no uniformity of language is, therefore possible But the language is lucid, and true poetry is not want ing The book has a great importance from the standpoint of Indian sociology As re gards the time of redaction, it may be said that as Asoka s successors down to Pusya unitra are mentioned and the word dinara is frequently used a date prior to the second century AD, can hardly be assigned to it

Mention may be made of the Asokava

Divyava

Asokāvadāna, Kalpadrumāvadānamālā, Ratnāvadānamālā, Dvāvimsatyavadāna and minoravadānas

dāna, the cycle of stories having for its central theme the history of Asoka Historically, these stories have little or no value. The work was translated into Chinese as early as the third century v.d. A passing reference may be made to the Kalpadrumāradānamālā, the Ratnāradānamālā and the Drārmisatyaradāna, the materials of which are drawn from the Aradānasataka. Three more works the Bhadrakalpāradāna, the Vratāradānamālā and the Vicitrakarnikāradāna are known to us in manuscripts only 1

## REFERENCES

Keith, A B: A History of Sanskrit Literature

Kein H Manual of Buddhism

Nailman, G K Literary History of Sanskirt Buddhism

Raychaudhum, H C Political History of Ancient India

Smith, V A Oxford History of India

Winternitz, M A History of Indian Literature, Vol II

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A most extensive work on Avadāna literature is the Avadānakalpalatā of Ksemendra of the eleventh century AD The work has been written in the style of ornate Court-epics

## CHAPTER SIX COURT EPICS

#### A

## INTRODUCTION

Authoritative writers on Sansl rit thetoric have given an exhaustive list of the characteristics of epic poems in Classical Sanshit These characteristics may be divided under two heads Of them the essential characteristics the more important, are based on the conception of the three constituents of poetry viz, the plot (vastu) the hero (net) and the sentiment (rasa). The plot of an epic must have a historical basis and should not be fictitious. The hero must be an accomplished person of high lineage and should be of the type technically called

Character

¹ Generally the sentiments are eight in number viz \$\( \sigma \) (grave (erotic) \) hasya (comic) karuna (pathetic) randra (turious) \( \text{uira} \) (heroic) \( \text{blayanaka} \) (terrible) \( \text{bibhatsa} \) (disgustful) and \( \text{adbhata} \) (marvellous) \( \text{It} \) is bled by some that the \( \sigma \) inta (quietistic) was added later on by \( \text{Abhinava\_supta} \) the erudite commentator on \( \text{Bharata} \) \( \text{Nutyasastra} \) This was perhaps added for representing the apurt of \( mahaprasthana \) in the \( Mahababharata \) it is even \( \text{v\_oud} \) that \( \text{Bharata} \) has enumerated the eight sentiments for the drama only and not for the epic

Dhirodatta Delineation of various sentiments and emotions is the third characteristic

Character
istics
non-essen

The non-essential characteristics which are formal and apply only to technique, are many in number. They demand (i) that the epic should begin with a benediction, salutation or statement of facts, (ii) that chapters or sections should bear the appellation sarga (iii) that the number of cantos should not exceed thirty and should not be less than eight. (iv) that the number of verses in each canto should not generally be less than thirty and should not exceed two hundred, (v) that there should be descriptions of sumise and sunset pools and gardens, amorous sports and pleasure-trips and the like, (vi) that the development of the plot should be natural and the five junctures of the plot (sandhis) should be well-arranged, and (vii) that the last two or three stanzas of each canto should be composed in a different metre of metres 1

It is easy to find that these characteristics are not always present in every epic. The Haravijaya in fifty cantos, some cantos of the Naradhivacarita containing more than two hundred verses and the first canto of the Bhallikāvya having only twenty-seven verses, are examples to the point

### GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT OF COURT EPICS

The name of Assaghosa has come down to us as one of the earliest known epic poets. An account of his two great epics the Buddhacarita and the Saundarananda has already been given in a preceding chapter.

A vaghosa

The next great epic poet is Kalidasa whose age can hardly be determined with any amount of precision. It is most deplorable that scholars differ widely in their opinions in fixing the age of this prince of Indian poets. The most popular theory of Indian poets. The most popular theory of the day states that the poet flowished during the reign of Candragupta II (380 a.D.—415 a.D.), that his powers were at their highest during the reign of Kumaragupta I (415 a.D.—455 a.D.) and that he haved to see the reign of Shandagupta (455 a.D.—480 a.D.).

K l dasa his age

The date of Kildīsa is one of the most perplexing questions in the history of Sanskrit literature and the opinions of scholars however ingeniously conceived fail to give us definiteness and certainty. It is a fact to be regretted that India has not preserved thistory of her greatest poet and dramatist. Tradition has been busy in weaving round the name of Kīldāsa many fictitious stories and it is almost impossible to

Kumārasambbava The Kumārasambhara of Kalidasa is an epic in seventeen cantos of which the first eight are believed to be genuine. Mallinatha writes his commentary on the first eight

separate at such a distant date the historical fact from its rich colouring of fables. The traditional Kālidāsa a contemporary theory makes Vikiama Samvat, the initial year of which is 57 BC Among the chief supporters of this theory are the late Sii William Jones, Di Peterson, Principal S Roy, and Mi I R Balasubiahmanyam Principal Rowhas argued that the Bhita medallion found near Allahabad by Di Maishall in 1909-10 AD pictures a scene which looks exactly like the opening scene of the Śākuntala The medallion belongs to the Sunga period 185-73 B C Moleovel, the diction and style of Kalidasa definitely establishes him as a predecessor of Aśvaghosa who has made use of the description of Aja's entry to the capital found in the Roghuvamsa, and has borrowed Kāhdāsa's words and style But archæologists are of opinion that the scene found in the Allahabad Bhītā medallion cannot be definitely proved to be identical with the scene in the Śūkuntala Professor Cowell in his edition of the Buddhacarita remarks that it is ımıtates Aśvaghosa and not vice who Kālīdāsa Bālasubiahmanyam has  $M_1$ based versa theory on the internal evidences found in Kālidāsa's Thus the epilogue of the Mūlavikāgnimitia supports that Kāhdāsa hved in the leign of Agnimitia. the son of Pusyamitia, of the first century BC system of law, specially that of inheritance, as found

١

cantos alone There is also difference of opinion regarding the propriety of the theme of the later cantos. The theme of the epic is the marriage of Lord Siva and Unia and the

in the Saluntala points to the fact that the post must have lived before the beginning of the Christian era Viercever there was one Vikramīditja in Ujiyini in the first century BC and Kildīsas works indirectly allude to him as the poet lived in his court

Dr Petersen has no particular argument to take his stand upon He simply writes. Wildīsa stands near the beginning of the Christian era if indeed he does not overtep it. Sir Willam Jones in his introduction to the Śakuntala advances no argument but ace pts the BC theory.

Another theory places Kalidasa in the sixth cen tury AD The late MM Haraprastida Ststrin one of the supporters of this theory has poroted out that the defeat of Hunas by Raghu in course of his world conquest refers to the conquest of Hunns by Shanda gupta (455 AD -480 AD) And the terms Dian't ga and Nicula occurring in the Veghaduta refer to the great teachers who lived before halld is Professor Max Muller another adherent of this doctrine has based his theory on the suggestions of Professor Fergusson who points out that the era of the Milwas was put back to .6 BC and la odharma deva Visnuvardhana Vikram iditya who conquered the Hunas in .44 1D commemorated his victory by start ing the Milaya era But in doing so he willingly ante dated it by 600 years Fergussen's theory (knewn as buth of Kaittikeya who vanquished the demon Taiaka Scholars are of opinion that the work is one of the first compositions of the poet

The Raghuvamsa, which is undoubtedly a production of a mature hand, deals with the life-history of the kings of the Iksvaku family in general and of Rama in particular the Korui theory), however, has been exploded by Di Fleet who pointed out by his researches that there was no Vikiam $\overline{a}$ ditya who achieved a victory over the  $H\overline{u}$ nas in 544 AD, and furthermore, that there was in existence an era known as the Malava era long before 544 AD Thus the theory of Professor Max Muller is without any historical value. In this connection, mention may be made of his once popular and now discarded 'Renaissance Theory of Classical Sanskit Literature', which states that there was a revival of the Sanskut learning and literature in the wake of the Gupta civilization and culture and that Kalidasa was the best flower of this age

It is, however, generally believed that Kālidāsa flourished in the reign of Candragupta II of the Imperial Gupta dynasty (380 AD —415 AD) But it has been argued that his best works were writen during the reign of Kumāragupta I (415 AD —455 AD) But some would like to suggest that the poet lived to see the reign of Skandagupta (455 AD —480 AD) It should be noted, however, that both Candragupta and Skandagupta held the title of 'Vikramādītya', while Kumāragupta had the title of 'Mahendrādītya'.

Raghuvamsa The epic which is composed in nineteen cantos, is the tale of Valmiki retold with the mastery of a finished poet. It is said that the work fulfils to a considerable extent the conditions of Sanskrit epic poetry.

It is not difficult to surmise the date of Bhāravi as his name is mentioned along with Kahdāsa in the famous Aihole inscription of Pulakesin II, dated 634 AD Bhāravi has to his credit only one epic viz, the Kiratanjuniya which is based on the Mahabharata The poem describes how Aijuna obtained the Pasiipata weapon from Siva The work is in nineteen cantos and is written in an ornate style, though full of dignity of sense (arthagaurara) with occasional jingling of words

Bhāravı Kratr juniya

Nowhere in the literature of the world can be found a single instance where poetry has been written with the sole object of illustrating the fulles and principles of grammar. The Bhattikavya or Ravanavadha which is written in twenty two cantos, is divided into four sections, viz, Pralirnakanda, Prasannakanda, Alanlaral anda and Tinan talanda. The poem is an epic depicting the life bistory of Rāma from his birth up to the tune of Ravanas death. The author of this

Bhaffi Rāvana vadha

epic, Bhatti, must be distinguished from the great grammarian-philosopher Bharthari. popularly known as Harr The author writes in his own work that he lived in Valabhi under one Śrīdharasena History gives us four Dharasenas, the last of whom died in 651 AD It is, therefore, probable that Bhatti flourished in the latter half of the sixth and the first quarter of the seventh century AD It may be mentioned in this connection that Bhatti lived before Bhamaha, the great rhetorician who decrees the poetic excellence of the Ravanavadha 1 Though the work is a grammatical poem, still in more places than one the poet has given ample proof of his artistic talents second, tenth and twelfth cantos of the poem may be cited as instances

Kumanadasa, said to be the King of Ceylon from 517 A D to 526 A D, is mentioned as a poet of remarkable talent by Rājašekhara It is maintained by Di Keith that the poet knew the Kāsikāi itti (650 AD), and was known to Vamana (800 AD) The theme of his poem, the Jānakīharana, in twenty-five cantos, is taken from the  $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}yana$ , as the

title indicates. The poot follows in the foot steps of Kalid is Though his does not display imagination of a high order, he may still be called a vigorous descriptive poet. He is fond of alliteration, but he is careful enough not to carry it to the point of affectation.

Anandavardhana the great rhetorieran of the minth century AD mentions Migha who must have flourished in the eighth century AD. He was the son of Dattal asarvāsraya and mentions Jinendrabuddhi, the author of the famous grimmatical work, the Nyusa whose date is believed to be 700 a D. Magha's Swapalavadha is a worl in tuenty cuitos based on a legend of the Mahabharata. His style is extremely ornate and he often sacrifices sense for jugglery in words. He initiates Bhārayi but his style is without the dignity of the latter. But it mist be admitted on all hands that he commands much luxuiquee of expression and thought.

The fascinating story of Nala and Damayanti in the Mahabharata forms the central theme of Sribara's masterpiece the Nai adhacarita or Naisadhiyacarita which was written in the latter half of the twelfth

Māgha Šīšupāla vadba

Stiharşa Naişadha carita century a D The work is written in twenty-two cantos. The poet is a scholar of repute in the different systems of Indian philosophy and has a thorough command over grammar and lexicon. Though he does not show that power of poetical suggestion which distinguishes the writings of great. Indian poets like Kālidāsa, his power of expression is singularly captivating. What strikes us as his defect is that he has a special liking for exaggerated statements in the form of poetic concert.

С

# LESSER EPIC POEMS

Jūmbavatīvijaya and Pūtūla-vijaya

ascribed to Pānini—according to some, the two are the names of the one and the same book—not free from grammatical errors—the authorship is much disputed lost to us

Vāraruca-kūvya Padvacūdūmanī

ascribed to Buddhaghosa (not later than the fifth century AD)

Kunteśvai adautya

ascribed to Kalidasa by Ksemendia.

Hayagi ivavadha a lost work by Bhartimentha who flourished under Mātigupta of the sixth century AD praised by Rājasekhara

Padmapurāna hy Ravisena of the seventh century

A D—containing a glorification of Reabha the
first Tirthakara

Rāvanīrjuniya or Āriunarāvaliiya hy Bhaumaka—written in twenty seren cautos in the fashion of Bhatti—hased on the strife between Kīrtavirya and Rīyama

Hari amsapurāna by Jinasena of the eighth century

A D —in sixty six cantos—describing the

story of the Mahābhārata in a Jinistic setting

Kapphanžbhyudaya hy Sivasvāmin a Kāshmirian Buddhist during the reign of Avantivarman of the ninth century AD—written in twenty cantos—based on a tale in the Avadānasataka

Haranjaya hy Ratnäkara a Käshmirian of the minth century A D—hased on the slaying of the demon Andhaka by Siva—written in fifty cantos—influenced by Brina and Mīgha

Rügharapündarıya hy Kavırıja—mentioned hy R<sup>®</sup>ja —
ekhvra—probahly of the ninth century A D
—giving us the two stories of the Rümuyana
and the Mahabhürata simultaneously through
double entendre

Mahūpurāna by Jmasena and Gunabhadra of the ninth century AD—containing two parts the \$\widetilde{A} dipurāna\$ and the Uttarapūrāna

Parstabhyudaya hy Jinasena of the ninth century

A D who has incorporated the entire Megha

dūta while relating the story of Pārsvanītha

Kūdambarıkathūsāra hy Ahhınanda son of the logi cian Jayantabhatta of the tenth century A D

- Yasodharacarita by Vādirāja of the first quarter of the tenth century in D—written in four cantos
- Kavnahasya by Halayudha of the tenth century AD—containing an eulogy of King Ki-na III—written after the style of Bhatti
- Rāmacanta by Abhinanda, son of Satānanda of unknown date
- Rāmāyanamañjarī ) by Ksemendia of the eleventh and Bhāratamañjarī i century AD
- Yasodharacaritia by Mānikyasūni of the eleventh century A D
- Harmilasa by Lohmbaraja of the eleventh century
- Śrīkanthacarıta by Mankha—a Kāshminan and a pupil of Ruyyaka of the twelfth century AD written in twenty-five cantos—based on the tale of the destruction of the demon Tripura by Śra
- Satruñjayamāhātmya by Dhanesvara of the twelfth century AD written in fourteen cantos—containing a glorification of the sacred mountain Satruñjaya
- Trisastisalūkūpurusacarita by Hemacandia of 1088-1172 AD—a highly important work, its seventh book being called the Jaina-Rūmūyana, the tenth entitled the Mūhūvīracarita, containing the life-story of Mahāvīra, and its appendix-section, the Parisistaparvan, being a mine of fairy tales and stories
- Dharmasarmābhyudaya by Hancandra of unknown date—written in twenty-one cantos

- Aeminiriāna by Vīghhata of the twelfth century

  A D —in fificen cantos—dealing with Aemin'i
  thas life
- Bulabhurata by Amaracandra of the thirteenth century a D
- Pun latacaritra and by D saprabhassiri of the Mygataticaritra thirteenth century s D
- Pirsian7thacarita by Bh7vadovasūri of the thir teenth century A D
- Haritam, a by Sakalakirti and his pupil Jinadīsa of the fifteenth contury A D
- Rasilinana by Rimandra of the sixteenth century to —describing the two sentiments of lote and asceticism through double entendre
- Pan larapurana by Subhacandra of the sixteenth century AD—also called the Jaina Malus liberata
- Rüghatanatsadhiya by Haradattasiiri of unknown dato
- Rīghatapīn fattyayūdattya by Cidambara of un known dato

#### REFERENCES

- Ketth A B A History of Sanskrit Literature
  Macdonell A A A History of Sanskit Literature
- Wintermitz M A History of Indian Literature
  Vol II

# CHAPTER SEVEN

# DRAMA

A

# ORIGIN OF SANSKRIT DRAMA

Orthodox view

The origin of Sanskiit drama is a most interesting study in the history of Sanskiit literature and divergent views are found amongst scholars which can hardly be reconciled. It is an undervable fact that Bhaiata's Nālya\astia is the cailiest known book on Sanskiit diamaturgy The third century AD is the generally accepted date of the Nātyaśāstra, and some scholars hold that the book is a compilation on the basis of an original work of the Sūtra-type. According to a legend found in this book, Biahma created drama by taking passages for recrtation from the Rgveda, songs from the  $S\bar{a}ma$ veda, gestures from the Yajurveda and emotions from the Atharvaveda Thus a drama is known as the fifth Veda From Siva and Parvati, Tandava and Lasya dances were obtained and Visnu gave the Riti The same book also informs us that the dramas were enacted during the Indiadhvaja festival where the sons and disciples of the sage Bhaiata together with Gandhaivas and Apsaiases took

part in the play The first two plays enacted were the Amilamanthana and the Tripu padaha both written by Brahma himself

There was a time when the theory of the Greek ough of Indian drama found its adherents amongst scholars 1 The chief exponent was Professor Windisch (1882) who found many striling similarities between Greek and Sanskrit plays and based his theory on the ground that Indians were in touch with Greeks for a considerable period after the invasion of Alexander and that none of the extant Sanskrit plays belongs to a pre Christian date Thus to him the very classification into acts, the prologues and the epilogues, the way in which the actors make their entrance and exit the term yaranila the theme and its manipulation the variety of stage directions the typical characters like the Vidüsaka, Pratinayaka etc ,-all smell of Greek origin This theory was further corroborated by the discovery in the Sitabenga cave of the Greek theatre in its Indian imitation 2 But this theory has

Theory of Greek

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The sug<sub>b</sub>estion came from Professor Web r but Professor Pischel vehemently repudiated it

On the antiquities of Ramgarh Hill District of Sargujā—IA Vol II

been rejected as the points of contrast are far too many The absence of the three unities of Time, Space and Action in a Sanskiit diama brings it nearer to an Elizabethan drama than to a Greek drama where the three unities are essential. The difference in time between two acts in a Sanskiit diama may be several years (e.g., the Uttararāmacarīta of Bhavabhūti where twelve vears intervene between the incidents of the first two acts) Moreover, it is only in a particular act of a Sanskiit diama that the actions which happen in a single place are usually represented Thus while the sixth act of the Śākuntala represents the scene at King Dusyanta's palace, the seventh act shows the scene at sage Marica's hermitage on the top of the Himalayas and the first part of it represents the king's aerial journey. As for the term yavanıkā, most scholais think that it is of later introduction and it refers to Persian tapestiles and not to anything Greek

On the other hand, there are some scholars who want to determine the origin of Sanskiit diamas in the same manner in which Western scholars seek to explain the origin of European plays So it has been that as the first Sanskiit play is stated

Origin of Sanskrit connected festivities

to have been produced at the Indiadhanja festival (which has a parallel in the May pole dance in Europe), the origin of Sanskrit dramas is to be connected with the festivities of the spring after the passing away of the winter But this theory is rejected as MM Haraprasada Sastrin has pointed out that the aforesaid Indradhyan festival comes off at the end of the runs

Professor Ridgeway has connected the origin of Indian drama with the wor shipping of dead ancestors But the theory is inapplicable to the ease of Indian Aryans whose ritual of the disposing of the dead has the minimum estentation

Ridgeway s

The Krsna worship is thought by some scholars to be the origin of Sanskrit plays Thus the role which the Saurasen Prakrit plays in a Sanskrit drama is easily explained But this theory involves annehronism as it remains to be proved that Krsna dramas are the earliest Sanskut dramas 1

Krsna cult origin

Professor Pischel has set forth the theory that Sanskiit draina in its origin was a puppet play The stage manager in a Sans Pischel s

1 It may be proved in the same way that the theories of the Visna cult. Sixa cult or Rima cult. origin of Indian drama cannot be accepted

kut diama is called Sütradhara (the holder of the string) and his assistant Sthapaka is to enter immediately after the stage-manager and is expected to place in proper position, the plot, the hero or the germ of the play The puppets also are frequently mentioned ın Sanskııt literature, they could be made to dance or move about and they could even be made to talk Such a talking puppet, impersonating Sita, is found in one of Rajaśekhara's plays The episode of the Shadow-Sītā in Bhayabhūti's Uttararāmacarīta is reminiscent of the old shadow-play in ancient India But this theory cannot furnish sufficient explanation of Sanskiit diama in all its bearings, such as, the mixture of prose and verse in a Sanskrit play, as also the varieties of languages and the like 1

Origin to be traced to the Vedic period

Another theory on this subject states that the origin of Sanskiit drama should be sought in the Samvāda-hymns of the Rgveda These ballad hymns which are nearly twenty in number, are marked by a dramatic spirit<sup>2</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Professor Hillebrandt has argued that Professor Pischel's theory cannot be accepted as the puppet-play assumes the pre-existence of the drama

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> RV I 165 170 and 179, III 33, IV 18, VII 33, VIII 100, X 11, 28, 51—53, 86, 95 and 108, etc

There are no specific ritualistic applications accompanying these Samvada hymns and they seem to have been recited between the intervals of long sacrificial sessions (pari playa) for the satisfaction of the patrons of sacrifices But whether the hynns were treated as ballads (as Professors Piselicl and Geldner thought), or as regular ritualistic dramas with actual stage directions and w tion including singing and dancing (as held by Professor von Schroeder), or, finally as narrative stories with an admixture of piose to connect the poems into one whole, with a preponderance of dialogue (as maintained by Professon Oldenberg)-is still keenly disputed amongst scholars 1

It has been universally found that the growth of diama is intunitely connected with royal patronage. And India is no exception. Bearing in our mind the existence of the intualistic drama which marks the carly beginnings of Indian plays we can boldly assert that Sanskrit drama is a product of the Indian mind which viewed life in all its various aspects and passed through many stages of development, being

<sup>1</sup> Professor Hertel has found a full drama in the Suparnādhuāya

Conclu

influenced by Jamism and Buddhism in its allegorical sphere or by any other foreign factor and yet maintaining its own peculiative. No one theory, therefore, can adequately explain all its features and as such one should refram from maling a choice of any one of them

13

# CHARACTERISTICS OF SANSKRIT DRAMA

Predomi nance of sentiment According to Indian thinkers the best of poets is a diamatist. Sanskirt diama evolved in all its aspects in a particularly Indian atmosphere. Sanskirt diamatists with their inherent aesthetic sense gave more importance to the portrayal of the sentiment than to the character of the plot. Sanskirt diamas were, therefore, highly idealistic and iomantic in their character. Though the best of Sanskirt diamas glow with occasional touches of realism, still the fact cannot be denied that the poetic value has never been sacrificed for direct delineation of action of character. Nevertheless we cannot say that

Sanslant diamatists were totally indifferent to the action of a drama, and it has been said clearly that a drama must have fire critical junctures of plot (sandhi) 11/ mul ha (opening or protasis), pratimula (progression or epitasis) gaibha (development or catasta sis), timar a (nanse or peripetera) and mina hana (conclusion of catastrophe) It lies been the usual convention with Sanskut poets that they select the Erotic the Heroic or the Ometistic as the principal sentiment in a Natala (the type of major diamas) which is assisted by every other sentiment according to propriety It needs to be added here that in the opinion of some thinlers the aforesaid convention should not command any respect and any one of the mine sentiments in a be the predominant senti ment in a Natil a

A charge is often levelled by entries that Sanslant drains is intilled by an absence of tragedy, but it may be answered by saying that what is known as Vipialambha singura (love in separation) more than compensates for the comparatively ture Pathetic which is the prominent sentiment monly one class of minor drains. But it is a fact that Sanskat drains have never a tragic catas

Absence of tragedy

trophe, and the reason is to be found in the conception that it mais the sentiment Hence the representation of death, murder, war, revolution and anything indecorous which is a hiatus in aesthetic pleasure, has been prohibited on the stage

Hero

As the man interest in Sanskirt diama lies in the creation of the sentiment, it is convenient for a diamatist to take a plot with a popular theme. The hero of the diama must be an accomplished person of high lineage and should belong to the Dhirodatta type. He must be a hero either of the earth or of heaven, and sometimes even we find in Sanskirt diama gods side by side with mortal men, and thus is given ample scope to the diamatist's imagination to create the appropriate romantic atmosphere.

Morality and drama Like every other branch of Indian literature, Sanskirt drama has also a religious basis and nothing violating the moral and religious code has been represented in Sanskirt drama

## CLASSIFICATION OF SANSKRIT DRAMAS

It must be said at the outset that the Sanslart synonym for driving is Rüpaka and not Natala, the latter being a variety of the former which has a more comprehensive import. Authors on Sanskirt driving have classified Sanskirt drivings into two types (1) the major (Rüpala) and (2) the minor (Uparūpala). The varieties of each type differ according to different authorities. The following is the last given by Visanitha in his Salutyadar pana of the varioties of tho two types of Sanslart driving.

Rûpaka & Uparûpaka

1 The major type (i) Nataka (e.g. Abhyhanasaluntala of Kalidasa) (ii) Prakarara (e.g. Malatimadhava of Bharabhūti) (iii) Bhāna (e.g., Karpuracarita of Vatsaraja) (iv) Vyayoga (e.g. Madhyama vyayoga of Bhasa) (v) Sanavahara (e.g., Samudhama thana of Vatsaraja) (vi) Dinna (e.g., Tripuradaha of Vatsarāja) (vii) Ihamga (e.g., Rulmunharana of Vatsaraja) (viii) Anka of Utsrsthānka (e.g., Sanmudhayayata) (iv) Vitli (e.g., Malavila) and (v) Prahasana (e.g., Mattavilasa of Mahendravil ramavarman)

2 The minor type (1) Natika (e.g., Ratnāralī of Śiī-Haisa) (11) Trotaka (e.g., Vikramorvasi of Kalidasa) (iii) Gosthi (e.g., Raivatamadanikā) (iv) Sattika (e.g., Kaipūramanjarī of Rajašekhara) (v) Natyarasaka (e.g., *Vilāsavatī*) (vi) Prasthāna (e.g., Śingāratīlaka) (vii) Ullāpva (e.g., Derīmahādeva) (viii) Kavya (e.g., Yādavodaya) (ix) Pienkhana (e.g., Vālijadha) (x) Rāsaka (e.g., Menakāhita) (xi) Samlāpaka (e.g., Māyākāpālika) (xii) Šiīgadīta (e.g., Krīdārasātala) (x111) Šilpaka (eg, Kanakāvatīmādhava) (xiv) Vilasika (no work mentioned in SD) (xv) Duimallikā (e.g., Bindumatī) (xvi) Piakaianikā (no work mentioned in SD) (xvii) Hallīśa (e.g., Kelmarvataka) and (xvm) Bhāmkā (eg, Kāmadattā) 1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The works, against which authors are mentioned, have now been published and are all available. The other works are only mentioned by the author of the Sāhityadarpana and are not actually known to exist at present.

# GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT OF SANSKRIT DRAMA

The Indian drama can be traced to the fifth or the fourth century BC Panini refers to dramatic aphorisms and the Arthasastra of Kautilya, which is a book of the fourth century BC, contains reference to the term Auxlava, which may have an allusion to the twin sons of Rama or to the proverbially bad character of actors The Mahabhasua. beside its reference to the dramas. Lamsaradha and Balibandha, speaks of the printing of actors and of the three linds of artists. In the Ramanana we find the mention of Natala and the Mahabharata refers to a wooden feminine figure 2 In the Harmania, however we find unmistaka ble reference to a full fledged drama acted by Krsna's descendants But Dr Keith looks upon all these evidences as mere references to pantommes and not to pure dramas He. however, admits that the diamas of Asva ghosa and Bhasa, the first extant dramas

Introduc

reflected in the number of his plays and the variety of their themes. The style of Bhasa is simple, at the same time forceful and conforms to what is known as the Vaidarbha style The initial characteristic of the dramas of Bhasa is action which lins never been sacrificed for poetry and poetic charm. In fact the plays of Bhasa are really of dramatic value and qua lities of a very high order. On the other hand there are scholars who hold that the dramas in their present forms are not the composition of one and the same poet but they are the composite product of the plagransin of many seribes Some scholars have gone so far even as to summise the existence of a genuine Bhasa of whose works the extant plays are mere abridgement by the traditional players of Southern India (especially Kerala)

Арргесіа 100

The thirteen plays of Bhasa may be arranged under three heads according to the sources from which the plots have been taken —(a) plots tal en from the Ramayana, (b) plots taken from the Mahabharata, the Harivania and the Puranas, and (c) plots taken probably from the Brhatl atha of Gunadhya and other popular sources

Classifica tion of Bhasa s dramas The Pratimā (Nātaka) which is the most popular of the Rāmāyana-plays, is written in seven acts. The story starts from the death of King Daśaratha and ends with Rāma's return to Ayodhyā from Lankā The second play, based on the Rāmāyana, is the Abhiseka (Nātaka) in sir acts The subject-matter is the coronation of Rāma

The Madhyama-vyāyoga deserves mention first amongst the Mahābhārata-plays diama (Vyayoga) in one act amply testifies to the skill of the dramatist in depicting characters The play is based on the tale of Hidimba's love for Bhima. The Dutaghatotkaca is also a diama (Vyayoga) in one act which describes Ghatotkaca appearing before the Kauravas immediately after the death of Abhmanyu, with the news that Aijuna is preparing for their punish-The Karnabhāra (Vyāyoga) also contains one act, the story being how the armour and ear-rings of Karna are by India The story of *Urubhanga* (probably of the Anka type) in one act depicts the fight between Bhīma and Duryodhana ending in the breaking of the latter's thigh The Dūtavākya is also a diama (Vyāgoga) in one act where Krsna appears

ambassador to bring about reconciliation between the contending parties, the Kauravas and the Pandayas, and is ill treated by Duryodhana who tries to entrap him without success The Panca atra is a play (Sama valara) in three acts. There the story how Drona undertakes a sacrifice for Duryodhana and seeks as fee the grant of half the kingdom to the Pandavas and Duryodhana promises on the condition that the Pandavas who were living incognito, should be found out within five nights The Balacarita is a drama (Nataka) in five acts depicting the various incidents in the early life of Krsna up to the death of Kamsa plot seems to be derived from the Harnama and the Puranas describing Krsna's life

Indian critics claim Scapnavasaradatta to be the best of Bhissa's dramas where the poet has displayed his skill of characterization and the fine manipulation of the plot which has made the drama interesting up to the last. The play (Nāraka) contains six acts. The theme of the play is the marriage between Vatsaraja Udayana and Pudmavati the sister of King Dursaka, which was effected by Yaugundharayana, Udayana's mimster, to serve a political

Brhatkathā plays purpose To gain the end in view, Yaugandharayana spread the rumour that Vasavadatta the former queen of Udayana, had been buint in a conflagration, but he actually kept her as a deposit to Padmāvatī The Pratijnāyaugandharāyana (Nātaka) in four acts is the pielude to Svapnavāsavadatta which depicts Yaugandhaiayana coming to Ujjayını and causing Vasavadatta to escape with Udayana who was taken captive by Piadyota Mahasena while the former was out a-hunting The Carudatta is an incomplete diama (Piakaiana) in four acts on which Sūdiaka seems to have based his Mrcchakatika The theme is the love-story of Brāhmana Cārudatta and courtezan Vasantasena The material for this drama was taken from popular stones The Avunāraka is a play (Nātaka) in six acts, having for its theme the union of Princess Kurangi with Prince Visnusena alias Avimāraka The plots of all the four dramas are said to have been taken from the Brhatkathā and they can be traced to the Kathāsarītsāgara

The date and authorship of the Mrcchakatıka (Piakarana) in ten acts is still a disputed point in the history of Sanskrit

literature 1 According to some scholars, the drama was written by the poet Dandin who anotes a verse of the Micchalahla in his Karnadarsa 2 But the recent discovery of the drivers of Bhisa shows that the verse is found in the Coundatta and the Balacarita also, and it is highly probable that the dring was written just after the Caru datta nearly about the first century ap It is a point to be noted that though Kalidasa mentions Bhish, Samuella and Kayaputia he does not say a word about Südiaka In the prologue of the Micchahalila the royal author has been desembed as master of various Sastras He performed a horse sacrified and in the one bundred and tenth year of his life entered into fire having made over the kingdom to his son. I rom this it is evident that either this portion of the text is an interpolation or that the real author was some one else. The name

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Vāmana is the earliest known writer to quote from the drains of Sūdraka

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Professor Pischel first ascribes this play to Bhīsa and next to Dundin According to the orthodox tradition Dandin is the author of three works the other two being the Kārvyadarsa and the Dasa kumāracarita

of King Sūdiaka is found in the Rajataranginī, the Kathāsarītsāgara and the Skandapurāna In some of the manuscripts, Sūdraka has been described as a minister of Salivahana who subsequently became the ruler of Pratisthāna According to Professor Konow, Südiaka is to be identified with the Abhna prince, Sivadatta According to Di Fleet, Sūdiaka's son Īsvaiasena defeated the Andhias and established the Cedi eia of 248-49 AD The play is a Piakaiana in ten acts having the love-story of Carudatta and Vasantasena for its theme. It is a social diama with magnificient touches of realism The characterization is of a high standard The drama is highly suggestive on account of its simple and dignified style

Kalidasa is acclaimed to be the best of Indian diamatists, whom Goethe has praised in the most fascinating terms. Superb characterization, study of human nature and wonderful mastery over the Sanskirt language have placed him in the forefront of Indian diamatists. Kalidasa is not verbose like later Sanskirt diamatists, economy being the most remarkable feature of his technique. Though Kalidasa is pre-eminently a poet of love, he can rise occa-

sionally to a tragic elevation. Every character of Kahdasa's dramas has a core of personality which is sharply individualized. Though it is said that the dramas of Kahdasa lacks action to some extent, jet they have a moral purity and a peculiar chaim unsurpassed by any other Indian dramatist.

The Malavilaghimitra (Natha) undoubtedly an earlier writing of the dramatist is written in five acts. It describes the love story of Malavila and Agnimitra Ling of Vidisa and founder of the Sunga dynastic This drama, unlike the two others, is characterized by quick action. The jester is a verifable rogue and is far more intelligent than the jester in the Saluntala. The female characters and the dancing masters are all productions of really great ment.

The second drama, the Viliamorialitya shows remarkable development over the former in the manipulation of the plot characterization and language, and there are scholars who think that it is the last of the three dramatic compositions of the poet. The materials for this drama preserved in two recensions, northern and southern have been taken from a Samada lyam of the Rgieda. This drama (Trotaka) which is

Malav k nimitra

> ikramor isiya

written in five acts, has for its theme the union of the earthly king Purūravas and the celestral nymph Urvašī. The fourth act of this drama which is a soliloquy of the love-stricken and frenzied Purūravas, is a novel conception of the dramatist.

ıla

The Abhijhānasakuntala or Sākuntala is the production of Kalidasa's maturer hand, which has gained world-wide recognition and the play has been translated into many European languages The drama (Nataka) which is in seven acts, describes the union of Dusvanta and Sakuntala The plot of this diama has been taken from the Mahābhārata, but the diamatist has intioduced many noble innovations. The story is also to be found in the Padmapurana and the Pali Jataka collections. There are four different recensions of this drama, viz, Bengal, North-western, Kashmiran and South Indian According to Professor Pischel, the Bengal recension fully represents the original

Three dramas are ascribed to Harsa, King of Kanyakubja, who reigned from 606 AD to 647 AD <sup>1</sup> He was the reputed patron of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> It is believed by some scholars that the author

Burdhatta who has glorified hum in his Harracanta. Harsa's style is not strictly classical but his irrangement of plot is fairly satisfactory.

The Rationali is a drinin (Nitila) in four acts which deals with the story of the union of King Udayina and Ratiavali daughter of the King of Ceylon

Ratnāvall

The Priyadarsila is ilso a drima (Natika) in four acts having for its theme the innon of Udivina and Privadarsila daughter of King Drdhavirini. In both these drimas we have not only a similarity of subject matter and form but also a reminiscence of Kilidasas Malarid againmitia.

Priyadar

The Nagananda is a drinit (Natila) in five acts which describes the self-sample of Jinutay diana, Prince of Vidy idhalas

Nagananda

Mahenda wil runa flourished in the first quarter of the seventh century vo. His Mattarilasa is a fuce (Prahasana) which describes the moral dept dations of the dramitist's contemporary society

Mahendra viktama Mattavilisa

Bharabhuti is the next giert name

of these plays was Bina and not Hara. Thus Plo fessor Weber attributes Ratneadt to Binabhatta while I rofessors Konow Winternitz Levi and others accept Hara's antiborship after Kalidasa who is mentioned by Kalliana in his  $R\bar{a}jatarangin\bar{i}$  as a poet in the court of Yasovannan, King of Kanyakubja whose probable date is 736 A D. Vakpati also refers to Bhavabhūti in his Gaudavaha Asis evident from the prologue of the Malatimadhava, Bhavabhūti could not enjoy any popularity in his life-time Nevertheless, Bhavabhūti displays a masterly skill in characterization, and his language is forceful Though he is pie-emimently a poet of the Pathetic sentiment, he has excelled his great piedecessor in the delineation of the Heroic and the Wonderful Bhavabhūti is a follower of the Gauda style, while Kahdasa is an advocate of the Vaidaibha Bhavabhūti amplifies his theme, while Kalidasa suggests it

Three dramas are ascribed to Bhavabhūti of which the Mahāvīracarīta is the earliest The drama (Nātaka) is written in seven acts, depicting the heroic achievements of Rāma's early life. The plot is based on the Rāmāyana, but the dramatist has introduced several significant innovations

The Mālatīmādhava is a Prakaiana in ten acts which deals with the love-story of Mālatī and Mādhava According to some scholars, it is the latest of all his dramas

The Uttararamacarda is regarded as the best product of Bhavabhūtis virile pen where the dramatist has shown his wonder ful skill in delineating genine pathos and describing the sublune and awful aspects of nature. The plot of this drama (Nataka) which is written in seven acts, covers the later life of Rama, beginning from the banishment of Sita and ending in their happy is union.

Uttarară macarita

The date of Visal hadatta may be placed somewhere about 860 and as the Innar eclipse mentioned in his drama Mudraral as a refers to the phenomenon of that date. The drama (Natala) is written in seven acts. The theme is a political intrigue between Ralasia the minister of the Nandas and Canalas the minister of the Nandas and Canalas the great politician, who succeeded in over throwing the Nandas and winning Ralasia to the side of Candragupta. The style of Visal hadatta marks a distinct falling off from the lucid diction of Kadalasia and the grandeur of Bhavabhūti. In fact, the style of Visal hadatta is highly attificial.

Visikha datta Nudra raks sa

Vimana and Anandavardhana quote from the worl of Bhattanara, and who probably flourished in the eighth century AD His only drama (Nataka) Venisamhara,

Bhattana rayana Veni s mhata written in sir acts, is based on the story of the Mahābhārata Bhīma kills Duḥśāsana and ties the braid of Draupadī with his blood Ultimately he succeeds in killing Duryodhana also Bhattanārāyana is undoubtedly a remarkable craftsman among later Sanskrit dramatists, he is particularly adept in describing the Heroic sentiment. The first three acts of the Venīsamhāra are full of action, and the predominant emotion is enthusiasm (utsāha). The poet has also very successfully illustrated the manifold technicalities of Sanskrit dramaturgy in his drama

No other later dramatist was able to dramatize successfully the Rāma-episode, after Bhavabhūti had written his masterpieces. Murām who is not an exception to this rule, wrote his Anargharāghava sometime about the beginning of the ninth century AD. The drama (Nātaka) is written in seven acts, and the style is clear

Rājašekhaia was the ieputed teachei of King Mahendiapāla of Kanauj (893-907 a d) Among his many works, Rājašekhaia has written four diamas. The Bālarāmāyana is a diama (Nātaka) in ten acts, dealing with the life-history of Rama. The Bālabhārata is an incomplete diama (Nātaka) of which two

acts only are available The Karpuramangari, a play (Sattaka) in four acts is written in Prakrit The Viddhasalabhannla is also a drama (Natika) in four acts Rajasekharas style is highly aitificial, but the dramatist himself claims to be a great poet

The Candalantila of Ksemisters is a drama (Nataka) in five acts. The author wrote this play for King Mahipala of Kanaui whose accession to the throne took place in 914 AD The plot of this drama is the famous story of King Harrseandra and sage Visva mitra The style of this drama also is highly artificial

Ksemis kausika

Dămodara

n t ka

Damodaramisra wrote his Mahanatal a or Hanumannataka in the elevently century AD The drama is found in three recensions separately containing nine, ten and fourteen acts The plot is based on the Ramanana. and the dramatist shows considerable skill in versification

candrodava

The date of the Prabodhacandrodana, an illegorical diama, written by Krsnamisra is the fourteenth century AD The characters of this drama are represented by such charac ters as Viveka Manas Buddhi, etc drama is a solitary instance where the Quietistic sentiment has been represented on

# 114 AN INTRODUCTION TO CLASSICAL SANSKRIT

the stage The diama (Nataka) contains six acts, and the style is simple

## E

# LESS IMPORTANT DRAMAS

Bhaqavadanukīna bvBodhavanakavı—sometime between the first and the fourth written with the centuly A D purpose of throwing a against Buddhism a Piahasana in two acts Tāpasavatsar ājacar ita by Anangahaisa Mātraiāja— Dr Keith fixes the age of the Ratnāvalī as the upper limit of the work based on a variation of the theme of Vatsarāja. Padmāvatī and Vāsavadattā Lokūnanda ascribed to Candia of Candiaka(?) who is identified with Candragomin, the grammanian, of the seventh century AD appears to Udāttar āghava by Māyurāja who have known Bhavabhūti and referred to by Rajasekhara by Bhīmata—mentioned by Raja-Svapnadaśūnana śekhara by Meghapiabhācāiya—a shadow Dharmābhundana -diama of unknown date by Bilhana of the eleventh cen-Karnasundarī

tury A D —a Nātikā

O. to-blamata

Las Kromondra of the alexanth

Cıtrabhārata	hy Kamendra of the eleventh
	century AD —a lost drama
Prabuddharauhineye	
	twelfth century 1 D -n six acts
Laumudimitranande	hy Rīmacandra of the twelfth
	century A D -a Prakarana in ten
	acts
Latakamelaka	by Sankhadhara Kavıraja of the
	twelfth century AD a Praha
	sana
Made tal umada san	dra hy Yasascandra of the twelfth
Millittennennencen	•
	century A D —a Jimistic drama
Nırbhayabhımavyāy	• -
	Jama dramatist belonging to the
	twelfth century A D
Kıratārjuniya	hy Vatsaraja of the twelfth cen
Ruhminiharana	tury A D -the first a Vy Tyoga
Tr₁puradāha	the second an Ihīmiga in four
Samudramathana	acts the third a Dima in four
Karpuracarıta &	acts the fourth a Samava
Hāsyacudāmanı	kira in three acts the fifth a
	Bhīna and the sixth a farce
	(Prahasana) one act
Purthaparākrama	by Prahl'idanadeva of the twelfth
	century A D —a Vyīyoga
$P$ rasannar $ar{a}$ ghava	hy Jayadeva (of Berar) of the
	twelfth century AD -based on
	the Ramayana—a Nitaka in
	seven acts
Harakelınātaka	by Vi∝îladeva Vigraliarīja of the
	twelfth century AD -partially
	preserved in stone
hundamālā	ascribed to Digniga—but accord
A CONCERNATE DEL	meerings to right igsout accord

ing to some written by Dhi

# 116 AN INTRODUCTION TO CLASSICAL SANSKRIT

la	n $\bar{a}$ ga—quoted in the $Sar{a}$ hitya-
da	pana-not later than the thir-
tec	enth century A D
Dūtūngada by	Subhata of the thirteenth cen-
tui	y A D a shadow-play
Hammīr amadamar dana	by Jayasımha of the thu-
	enth century AD—in five acts
Moharājaparājaya by	Yasahpāla of the thuteenth
cer	ntury AD an allegorical
dı	ama ın <i>five</i> acts
V 2 V 2 / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /	by Hastimalla of the thirteenth
	century AD in siv and five acts respectively
•	abuted to Bāna, but alloted to
<del>-</del>	Vāmana Bhatta Bāna of the
fou	nteenth century AD
Saugandhikāharana by	y Viśvanātha of the fourteenth
cer	ntury A D—a Vyāyoga
Dhūrtasamāgama by	Kavı'çekhara of the fifteenth
cer	ntury AD a Prahasana
Cartanyacandrodaya b	y Kavikainapīīia of the six-
tee	enth century A D
	Rūpagosvāmin of the six-
S- Talakan Talana ( be	enth century AD—dealing ith the attractive Kisna legend
	in seven and ten acts respec-
	vely
	Sesakisna of the seventeenth
	ntury AD—in seven acts
	Rāmabhadia Dīksita of the
	venteenth century A D
Mallihīmīnuta by	Uddandin of the seventeenth

century A D -a Prakarana

4dbhutadarpana

by Mahideva contemporary of Rimabhadra---in ten acts

Нйѕуйгнага

by Jagadi vara of unknown

Kautukasariasia

dato—a Prahasana by Gopmītha of unknown date

Unmattarüghat a

—a Prabasana
by Bhīskara of unknown date—
an Auto

Mudharasādhana (and other plays) Amaramangala

Bhandarlar B G

by Nityagop'ila Kavimina of the ninteenth e ntary AD by Patiennan Tur'aratina of the latter half of the minteenth century AD and the fir' half of the twentieth century AD—in eight acts

### REFERENCES

Ayyar A S P Two plays of Bh isa
Barooah A Bhavabhiiti—his place in Sanskrit
Literature

Basu Chandranath Sakuntal Itattva

Basu Devendranath Sakuntaliy Nityakali

Belvalkar S h (1) Origin of Indian Drama (The Calcutta Review May 1929) (11) Uttavarimacanta (HOS)

Milatemidhaya

Buhler G On the authorship of the Ratnīvalı (IA Vol II)

Chatterjee Bankim Chandra Vividhaprabandha Chatterjee N. Micchalatha a study

Devadhar C R Plays ascribed to Bhisa their authenticity and ments

Gajendiagadkai, A B Tho Venisamhāra—a critical study Kāle M R (1) Abhiinanasakuntala (11) Uttararāmacarita Keith A B (1) The Sanskut Diama (n) JRAS 1909 Kulkaini, K. P. Sanskiit Diama and Diamatist Konow, S IA Vol. XLIII (on Visākhādatta) Konow, S & Lanmann, C R Karpūjamajijaji (HOS) Levi. S Lo Theatre Indien A History of Sankrit Literature Macdonell A A Nauman, G. K., & Jackson A. V. W. Puvadarsikā Pishiaoti, A K Bliāsa's works—a criticism Pusalker, A D Bhasa a study Rapson, E JRAS 1900. (on Visākhadatta) Ridgeway (1) The Origin of Tragedy (11) Dramas and Diamatic Dances of Non-European Races Sastun Ashokanath (1) Pracinbhaiate Disyakāvyotpattn Itihāsa (Bhāratavaisa, BS 1333-34) (11) Bhāratīyanātyer Vedamūlakatā (111) Bharatīyanātver Pracīnatā (Māsik Vasumatī, BS 1345-46) (iv) Abhinayadaipana of Nandikeśvaia Sastun T G Bhasa's works—a critical study Tagore, Rabindranath Pracin Sahitya Vidyābhūsana, S C Date of Ratnāvalī The History of Indian Literature Weber, A Wilson, H H . Theatre of the Hindus Winternitz, M Some Problems of Indian Literature

Yajnik, R K The Indian Theatre

# CHAPTER SIX

### LYRIC POTTRY

### A

### INTRODUCTION

Classical Sansknt literature is highly rieli in lyrical poetry Though it is a fact that Classical lyric poetry has not produced many works of respectable length and size vet none would deny that its merit is usually of a high order Lyrieal poets have often been successful in depicting the amorous feeling with a few artistic strokes, and thoir compositions can very well stand comparison with those of foreign poets. The range of lyrical literature in Sanskrit is very wide It is not confined to the thomo of love and amorous feeling only It includes secular, religious, gnomic and didactic poems and thus offers a variety which is sufficient to remove monotony

In all lyrical poems dealing with love, Nature plays a very important part intimate relation between Nature and Man has not in all probability found a more charming expression in any other branch of

lyrics

literature The lotus and the lily, the Cakora the Cakravaka and the Cataka, all are inseparably connected with human life and love in its different phases

Prākrīt Ivrīcs It is further to be noted that Piākiit literature is also highly nich in lyrical poetry. The Sattasar or Gāthāsaptasatī attributed to Sātavāhana is an outstanding work of this type. The book is a collection of seven hundred verses in Piākiit dealing with various phases of the sentiment of love. Bāna refers to this work in his Har acarita. Professor Macdonell wants to place it before 1000 and If, on the other hand, Hāla or Sātavāhana, to whom the work is attributed, is taken as a king of that name of the Andhra dynasty, the work must be placed early in the Christian era

 $\mathbf{B}$ 

# GROWTH & DEVELOPMENT OF LYRIC POETRY

Meghadūta

The name of Kalidasa stands high in the realm of Sanskrit lyrical poetry. There is no gainsaying the fact that his *Meghadītta* which has been unsuccessfully imitated times without number by later poets,1 is the finest flower of Classical lying poetry The lyric has inspired poets like Goethe and Rabindianath who have lavishly bestowed their praise upon this magic personality in literature Funcifully the poet makes a cloud the messenger of the message of love and admiration to the beloved of a banished laksa, who had been pining for him during the rainy season at Alaka The work is divided into two sections known as the Purvamegha and the Uttaramegha The poem is written in Mindakranta metre of gorgeous rhythm like the roar of a July oloud weary under the burden of its water This is also quite in keeping with the sublime con ception of love which, tinged with the buin ing colour of separation, resembles a black cloud with a silver lining The stanzas con tuning the words of message are the most poignant and beautiful in literature and the lyric will ever stand impressed on our memory like a rainbow springing from the earth

Veduntadesika s Hamsasandesa (of the thirteenth century AD) Rüpagosvümin s Hamsadüta (of the fifteenth century AD) Krsmänanda s Padunkadüta (of the seventeenth century AD) are some of the more well known Dütaküyas

The book has been translated into various European languages and Schiller's Maria Stuart owes its origin to it

Rtusamhāra

The Rtusamhāra is the second lyric of Kalidāsa It is a short poem in six cantos describing all the six seasons of the year It is undoubtedly an earlier production of the poet and though Kalidāsa's authorship of this poem is doubted by many scholars, still we can find in it the aspirations of a budding poet.

Ghatakarpara Ghatakarpara-kāvya Tradition makes Ghatakarpara one of the nine gems in the court of King Vikramaditya. The Ghatakarpara-hāvya after the name of the poet is written in twenty-two stanzas. It describes how a young write in the beginning of the rains sends a cloud-messenger to her absent husband. The poem abounds in Yamakas (figure of speech) for which the author feels proud

Bhartrhari three Satakas Bhartrhail has to his credit the three Satakas (collection of a hundred verses), viz, (a) the Śrngāraśataka (b) the Nītiśataka

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Professors Kielhoin, Buhlei, Macdonell, Schroeder and others accept the authorship of Kālidāsa while other scholais entertain a different view

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See, Aurobinda Ghosh, Kālidāsa, Gajendragadkar, Rtusamhūra

and (c) the Vanagyasatala The single authorship of these three poems is doubted by some scholars, but Indian tradition accepts Bhartsham to be their anthor Bhartsham is said to have died in 651 A D 1 All the three poems are written in a very lucid style and they have the greatest interest to them for whom they are intended

Mayura was a contemporary of Bana bhatta of the seventh century AD, and repor ted to be his father in law His Suryasatala2 Mayara is a religious lyrie in one hundred verses written in honour of the Sun Tradition 5258 that the poet was cured of leprosy by composing this eulogy of the Sun 3

It is impossible to ascertain the date of Amaru Vämana (800 AD) is the carliest writer who quotes three verses from the Amarusataka, a lyrical poem in one hundred

1 It is yet to be decided whether the author of the Satakas is the same person as the famous grammarian of that name who wrote the Valyapadiya

There are other Survasatakas by different poets which do not deserve any special mention

Varradatta a Buddhist poet of the minth century A D composed his Lokest arasataka and was cured of leprosy

stanzas¹ describing the conditions of women at different stages of life and love. The poet is really gifted and his delineation of sentiments and emotions, especially of love, is superb in character. His style is difficult, but certainly graceful. Amaiu's poem has found the widest recognition in the hands of Sanskirt rhetoricians and he is quoted by great thinkers on poetry like Ānandavardhana. The poem has been commented on by more than a dozen writers including Arjunavarman (1215 A D.)

Bilhana Caurapañcăsikă The Caurapañcāsikā of Bilhana is a lover's recollections of the sweet company of his beloved The poem contains fifty stanzas The date of the poet is 1076 AD 1127 AD Bhāratacandra, a Bengali poet of the eighteenth century AD, drew the inspiration of his popular poem 'Vidyāsundara' from this work of Bilhana

Jayadeva Gītagovinda The Krsna-legend found a poetical interpreter in Jayadeva, the last great name in Sanskiit poetry, who flourished in Bengal during the reign of King Laksmanasena of the twelfth century AD He was the son of Bhojadeva of Kendubilva His poem, the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The text of the poem has come down to us in four recensions which vary widely among themselves

Gutagovinda runks high amongst Sanskitt lyings and the poet is a gifted master of poetry According to Professor Macdonell the poem mults a transitional period between pure lying and pure drama! Si William Jones calls it a small pastoral drama drama theopold von Schroeder would look upon it as a lefined Yatra. Both Professors Pischel and Levi place it in the category between song and drama. Some Indian scholus maintain that the poem is a court epic

Dhoyi a contemporary of Jayadeva, graced the court of King Laksanasena Like other Dütakavyas, his poem, the Pavana duta is written in limitation of the Meghaduta

Dhoyf Pavanaduta

C

### LESSER LYRIC POEMS AND ANTHOLOGIES

Š<sub>l</sub> ngūratīlaka

attributed to Kildiss—containing attrictive pictures of love in tuenty three stanzas

Bhaktamarastotia by Minatunga probably a con temporary of Bina or earlier-

"It is probable that the poet took as his model popular plays repre enting incidents from the life of Ki na as the modern Nitris in Bengal still do



Rhallala sataka

by Bhallata a unior contemporary Inandavardhana-a poem

Mahimnalistotra

by Puspadanta not later than the ninth century A D -a religious lyric

Dharmaparıksă & 1 ogasāra

Subhūsitarainasandoha ) by Amitagati of the tenth century AD -all didactic poems

Kranakarnamria ) & Vrndatanastuti

by Bilvamangala or Lil'isuka of the closenth century ADhighly popular and of graceful style

Samavamälrkä halāvilisa Darnadalana Ser vaser alopadesa Caturiargasamgraha \ Cārucarvāsataka

by Asemondra of Alsh mir-all didactic poems

Kaundravacanasamuccaya an anthology-of the eleventh century A D

Annoktimuktulatasataka by Sambhu who wrote under Harsa of Lüshmir (1089 A D -1101 A D )-a gnomic poom

Ārņasaptasatī

by Govardhana a contemporary of Jayadeva-containing seven hundred erotic stanzas-written after the Sattasas of Hila

Yogasustra Vitaragastotra & Mahinirastotra

by Hemacandra of twelfth century A D -very good didactic lyric poems sometimes reminding us of the poems of Bhartahari

an anthology by Sridhara of the Saduktil arnāmrta twelfth century A D

logy aided by environment and the course of events. The popular Indian view on worldly life and the teachings of Indian philosophical and religions works are surely responsible for fostering a feeling of apathy towards making any serious attempt at recording facts and dwelling on them

Enrliest Historical works The beginnings of Indian history are to be traced to the Pinanas which contain amidst vast masses of religious and social matters, accounts of genealogies which are the very germs of history

Vākpatī Gaudavaho In Piākiit, however, there is a very important historical work called the Gaudaraho which was written by Vākpati. It celebrates the defeat of one Gauda king by Yaśovarman, King of Kanauj, the poet's patron, who was again overthrown by Lahtāditya Muktāpīda, King of Kāshimi. Vākpati is a follower of the Gauda style and uses long compounds. His date has approximately been fixed in the eighth century AD, and he is mentioned along with Bhavabhūti.

 $\mathbf{B}$ 

# GROWTH AND DEVELOPMEN'T OF HISTORICAL WORKS

Padmagupta also known as Panmala, wrote his Navasāhasānkacanta in 1050

AD The book contains eighteen cantos and describes the winning of Princess Susprabha and also alludes to the listory of Sindhulaja Navasihasānla of Malava

Padma gupta N vas ha s nkacarita

Sandhyal aranandin's Ramapalacarita describes through double entendro the story of Rama and also the history of King Ramapala of Bengal who recovered his an cestral home from Bluma, a Kaivarta chief and conquered Mithila Sandhyakaranandin flourished during 1057 a D—1087 a D

Sandhyā karanan dın Rama pālacarıta

Bilhana's patron was Villamadity's VI a Caluly's king of Kalyana who flourished during 1076 a D—1127 a D Bilhana glorified his patron by writing his Villamanhadera carita in eighteen cantos Bilhana was more a poet than a historian and his work abounds in numerous imaginary and funciful descriptions

B Ihana Vikramën kadeva carita

Kalhana is the best of Indian historians. He widte his Rayatarangini in 1100 AD Kalhana has derived materials for his book from older sources including the Nila matapura ia. The Rayatarangini is the only reliable book on the history of Kashmii after the death of King Haisa when the country passed through stormy bloody days. Though a lustorian Kalhana has the rare

K Ibana Rajataran gin 132 AN INTRODUCTION TO CLASSICAL SANSKRIT

gifts of a poet, and his book is a wonderful admixture of poetic fancy and historical facts According to European scholars, it is the only work in Sanskiit literature which approaches history to a certain extent

Hemacandra who flourished during 1088 AD 1172 AD wrote his Kumārapālacarīta oi Dvyāśi ayakāvya in honoui of Kumāiapāla, King of the Calukyas

The anonymous Prthvīrājavijaya celebrates the victories of King Prthvīrāja over Shihāb-ud-din Ghoji in 1191 a D

Prthvīrāja-

## MINOR HISTORICAL WORKS

Prabhāvakacarītra by Prabhācandra and revised by Pradyumnasiin (1277 AD)-regarded as a continuation of Hemacandia's Parisista-parvan—containing the life-history of twentytwo Jama teachers—a semi-histonical work

Rājendrakar napūra by Śambhu who wrote in honour of Harsadeva of Kāshmu (1089) 1101 AD) A D

Kīr trkarımrıdī by Someśvaradatta (1179 AD -8. Sunathotsana 1262 A D'-more in the form of panegyiics—the latter written in fifteen cantos

Kumārapālacarīta

Hema-

vijaya

Sukriasankırtana by Arisimha of the thinteentn century AD-a panegyric in eleven cantos

Jagadūcarīta by Sarvananda of unknown datea panegyme of a Jama who ren dered help to his townsfolk at the time of the famine of 1256 8 A D

ın Gutarit

by Merutunga of the fourteenth Prabandhacıntāmanı century AD-a quasi historical hiographical work

Prahandhalosa

by Ranasekhara of the fourteenth century A D -containing the life stories of Jama teachers poets

kinge and other personages

Kırtılata by Vidy pate of the fourteenth century A D

### REFERENCES

heith A B A History of Sanskrit Literature Macdonell A A A History of Sanskrit Literature The History of Indian Literature Weber A Winternitz M A History of Indian Literature Vol II

# CHAPTER EIGHT

# PROSE LITERATURE

### A

### INTRODUCTION

History of Sanskrit Prose literature

In matters of expression the Indian mind has always preferred poetry to prose Commentances and lexicons were written in verse, and sometimes conversation even was carried on in metre The major portion of Vedic literature metre 18 m peculiarity of the Indian mind is the cause of the dearth of prose literature in Sanskirt In the Krsna-Yajurveda, however, we come across the earliest specimen of prose-writing. The prose of the Atharvaveda should also be considered in connection with the study of the history and development of prose-writings in ancient India The piose of the Biahmanas is simple yet elegant, and the piose of the Sükta literature is more or less in the form of a message we usually send in a telegiam All these, however, cannot give us any standaid of writing which may be imitated with profit The prose portions of the Mahābhārata, and of the Pūranas such as, the Visnu and the

Bhagarata and of the medical compilations of Cuaka and Susputa should also be mentioned The earliest standard of prose writing is to be found in Patanalis Mahabhasya which is noted for its giace, vigour and cle gance and in it we find a perfection of Brahmanical piose The piose of explanatory treatises of commentaries offers a good specimen of Sanskiit composition. Thus the writings of Sabai isvamin on the Mimams asutras of Vatsyayana on the Nyayasutras the commentaries of Sanlara on the Brah masutias and the Upaniads and the explanatory work of Medhatithi on Manu smrti are instances to the point Besides all these, there is the prose of the early dra matic literature which should necessarily demand a careful study In fact the extent of piose writing is not very small but when it is said that it is insignificant the greater extent of poetic compositions is considered

Though the hegimnings of Sanskitt prose writings may be traced to a very dim antiquity the extant worls on prose literature arc of a comparatively late date. The extant prose literature may be divided into two broad classes —romance and fable

It appears that in early Classical Sans

Romanc &

Ākhyāyıkā & Kathā known there were numerous types of prose romances, the two most important among them being Ākhyāyikā and Kathā But as early as the seventh century AD, Dandin writes in his Kāvyādarša that there is no vital point of difference in the nature of these two types of prose compositions and he regards them as the different names of one and the same species. Amarasımha, the lexicographer, however, distinguishes between the two, Ākhyāyikā having a historical basis and Kathā being a purely poetic creation.

Origin of Fable literature The origin of Indian fable literature must be traced back to the earliest times in the life of Vedic Indians. The tales current among the people were later on used for a definite purpose, and the didactic fable became a mode of inculcating useful knowledge.

В

## ROMANCE

Dandın age & home There is a great difference of opinion amongst scholars regarding the age of Dandin It is held on the evidence of the Kāvyādarśa, a well-known work on rhetorical

canons by the poet, that he flourished after Prayarasena According to the Rajata rangini. Pravarasena ruled Kashimu the sixth century AD This Pinvarasena was probably the anthor of the poem Setubandha The relation between Dandin and Bhāmaha another rhetorician, has created a great controversy. Some scholars are inclined to believe that Dandin lias criticized the views of Bhampha while others entertain the opposite view. There is some controversy again with regard to the relation of Dandin to Bliatti the graminarian poet Some scholars are definitely of opinion that Dandin used the Bhattil arya It is, however presumed that he flourished in the seventh century AD From the internal evidence furnished by both the Dasa Lumaracanta and the Kanyadara appears that Dandin was an inhabitant of Sonth India He was fairly acquainted with the Kaveri, the Andhras and the Colas

Dundin's Dasal umuracanta, a work of the Akhyāyika type, describes the exploits of eight princes Rajavāhana and others. As the name of the work implies, it should have contained accounts of ten princes. The stories of the other two princes are given in

Daśakum racarita contents & character the prelude (Pārrapīthīlā) and the meomplete story of one of the princes (Visinta) has been incorporated in the sequel (Uttara-pīthīlā), which two chapters seem to be the work of a different hand. The romance reflects admirably the social conditions in which the author lived and where the standard of morality was markedly poor. Dandin's writings usually conform to the Vaidarbha style.

Subandhu age & work

Subandhu appears to have been earlier than Banabhatta who has referred to the former's Vasaradatta in his introduction to the Kādambarī In a passage in the Vāsavadattā Subandhu laments over the death of Vikiamādītva This has led scholars to surmise that after the death of Candragupta II of the Gupta dynasty who assumed the title of Vikiamaditya, there was a civil war in the country, and Subandhu was aggreeved by upholding the losing cause. This theory, however, is not generally accepted two passages in the Vāsaradattā, European scholars find references to Uddyotakara, the great writer on Nyaya, and the Bauddhasangatyalankāra of Dharmakīrti If the allusions are correct, Subandhu may be placed in the beginning of the seventh century AD

The thome of Submidhu's Lasaradatta is the love story of Prince Kundurpaletu and Princess Vasavidatta The playful magina tion of the poet conceives how on one night the prince dreams about a beautiful princess and starts in quest of her Memwhile the princess having dieunt of Prince Kindar paketu sends one of her personal attendants in south of him Kandaipaleth in comse of of his trivels comes to learn about Vasavidatta from the conversition of a pan of birds. He arrives at Pataliputi i and is united with Visivadatti But the lin-Vasavadittis fither wints to give her away to another prince. Therenpon, the two leave the palace on a manic steed and no to the Vindhyas One might they full isleep but m the morning the prince gets up and is surprised not to find Vasavadatta by his side He commences a vigorous search and at last discovers her in the hermitine of the sign She is turned into a stone, and the prince ievives her by his touch

Story of Varavadatta

The post is a master of a style which is Style marked by a preponderant use of allitera tion

Banabhatta is undoubtedly the greatest of Indian piose writers. Fortunately the Bāna age & works date of Bāna is one of the smest planks in the tottering edifice of ancient Indian chronology. Bāna has to his credit the *Haracarita* and the *Kādambarī* which are respectively an Akhvāyikā and a Kathā

Harşa-

In his Haracarita, Bana glorifies his patron, King Haisa who flourished during 606 AD-647 AD. In the first and second chapters of this incomplete book Bana gives an account of his genealogy and early life which reveals him as a great traveller

Kādambarī

Bana makes lavish use of his poetic imagmation in relating the story of Kādambarī which also he could not complete. The theme of this book is the fasemating love-story of Candiapida and Kadambari in their several Running parallel with the main buths story we also find the love-episode of Pundarīka and Mahāśvetā The romance relates how the Moon-god being cursed by Pundarika who was pining for Mahasveta, was born on earth as Candrapida and fell in love with Kādambarī, the Gandharva princess Pundarika also cursed by the Moon-god was born on earth as Varsampayana, the friend of both Candiapida In this bith also Candiapida and Vaisampayana gave then lives and were again born as King Sudral a and the pairot respectively. Happily in this birth they were all reunited

Much has been sud of Ban's style Western critics describe it as a big forest where all access is prohibited because of the luximent undergrowth of words. But Indian scholars have the highest admiration for Bani and his style, and it would not be in exaggeration to say that his style has been regarded by Indian scholars as the standard style of prose. Superb is Ban's power of description and he wields the language with the greatest ease.

Style

C

#### FABLE

The short stories in Indian literature may be classed under three different heads, viz the popular tales the beast fables and the farry tales. The popular tales again may be broadly sub-divided into Buddhistic and non-Buddhistic 2.

Classifica tion of fables

The Buddhist popular tales are the Pali Jatakas which were current among the

Buddhist popular tales

<sup>1</sup> The fable literature of the James is extremely rich But only a few works are written in pure Sanslirit Buddhists from the earliest times Apart from these Jataka stories there are some Buddhist Sanskrit works on popular stories (already referred to in a previous chapter)

'Gunādhya's Brhatkathā & works based on it

Gunādhva's Bihathathā 15 an standing work among non-Buddhistic popular tales. The work was written in Paisacī Piakiit, a dialect spoken in the north western parts of India The work is now unfortunately lost to us, but the has  $\operatorname{been}$ preserved thi ee 111 Sanskiit works, viz, (1) Budhasvāmin's Slokasamqiaha (composed between the eighth and the minth century AD), (2) Ksemendia's Bihathathāmanjarī (1037 ad) and (3) Somadeva's Kathāsar itsāgar a (1063-81 AD) According to Di Keith the Slokasangraha (which is found only in a fragment of twenty-eight chapters and some 4539 verses) is a genuine translation of Gunadhya's work and he holds that both Somadeva's Kathāsantsāgara (containing 21,388 verses) and Ksemendia's Bihatkathāmanjarī (containing about 7500 verses) are not from the original Brhathathā Nevertheless, the  $Brhathath\bar{a}$  is mentioned as early the seventh century AD in Dandin's Kāvyādar'a, and Di Buhlei has placed the

work in the first or the cound century yie. Dre leath sugges should we written not liter than the four becontury yie. The importance of the Brhatlathia can as yiele we estimated As appropriate or union the Brhatlathia occupies in ancient Indion literature aplice in xt only to the two Creat I pies the Lamajor is not be Waliobekin the

The Pinealantra by Visnu arism is an important work on the best fable heratinal it is said that the bool has an earlier basis a died the Tantral hyaqula now lost to he have a like the Witch in the bools in clear blacks to with an idmixtane of processing the work and fallows by until vise to Carly ve and follows by until vise to that actra. The importance of this work may be judged from the fact that it was translated into Pahlavi and Syriac in the sixth century vib, into Arabic in the eighth century vib, into Arabic in the eleventh century vib, and offere in the eleventh century vib, and into 1 din and 1 nglish in the sixteenth century vib.

The Hitopade a is mother work on beast fible literature written by one Narayua Pandita The author mut des the style of Visausurum and the method of arrange

etmen Aca

Nirāyana Hitopideša ment is entirely the same in both the works. The author lived in the court of King Dhavalacandra of whom we know little. A manuscript of this work is dated the four-teenth century and According to Di. Keith its date cannot be earlier than the eleventh century and, as a verse of Rudrabhatta is cited in the book. Moreover a Jama scholar made use of it in 1199 and in order to produce a new version.

Another work of the beast-fable class is Sirvara's Kathākantuka written in the fifteenth century AD

Under the farry-tale literature we may class the following three books of unknown date. The Vetālapañcavim(atr attributed to Śivadāsa and the Simhāsanadvātrim(ikā are probably of the Buddhist origin. Both the books are based on the character of a fictitious king named Vikiama. The Śukasaptati of unknown origin and date is a collection of seventy tales which the pariot narrates to the mistress who was about to play false to her husband.

Śrīvara Kathākautuka

Vetālapañcavimsati, Simhāsanadvātrimsikā & Šukasaptati D

#### LESSER PROSE TALES

Upamitibharaprapañeā kathī by Siddha or Siddha rī a Jina monk of 906 a D written in pro o interspersed with verses—a didactic tale

hathurnara by

Swadisa—containing thirty five tales chiefly of fools and thickes of unknown date but appearing as a late work

Purusapariksa by Vidyapati belonging to the latter
part of the fourteenth century
A D —containing forty four stories

Bhojaprabandha

by Balfilasena of the sixteenth century AD—containing legends of the court of King Bhops

Campakasresshikathunaka & Palagopülakathunaka } by Jinakirti of the fifteenth century AD Kathūkosa of unknown dato—written in bad Sanskrit Samyakttal aumudi by an unknown author—probabiy of a later date

#### REFERENCES

Keith A B A History of Sanskrit Literature Macdonell A A A History of Sanskrit Literature Weber A The History of Indian Literature

# CHAPTER NINE CAMPŪ LITERATURE

## A

## INTRODUCTION

Campü character & age

Composition in mixed piose and verse in Sanskut is called Campū Though the admixture of prose and verse can be traced even in Vedic literature, specially in the Biahmanas, still the origin of Campū is to be sought in its immediate piedecessois, the fables and the romances Already in the writings of Subandhu and Bana and in some inscriptions we find stray verses, until very lately the mingling of piose and veise became a singular characteristic of a different section of literature. But it is a curious fact that no Campū older than the tenth century AD is extant, though Professor Oldenberg has discovered something like Campū in the  $J\bar{a}takam\bar{a}l\bar{a}$  of  $\bar{A}_1$ yaśūra

 $\mathbb{B}$ 

## SOME IMPORTANT WORKS

Nalacampū & ) by Tiivikiamabhatta of the tenth Madūlasūcampū) century A D

Yasastilaha by Somadeva a Digambara Jama of the middle of the tenth century A D—describing the conversion of King Mindatta

Tılakamañıarı by Dhanapīla a Jama who wrote about 970 a d

Juandharacamp $\overline{u}$  by Haricandra not earlier than 900 a D

PumZyanacampū attributed to Bhojaiāja and Laksanabhatta

Bhūratacarpu by Ananta of nnknown date

Udayasundarıkathī by Soddhala of 1040 AD—

hishly influenced by Bīna

Gopālacampū by Jivagosvīmin of the sixteenth century A D

Siahāsudhākaracampā by Nārīyana of the seven teenth century A D

Śankaracetovilāsacampū by Sankara—a very late
work

#### REFERENCES

Keith A B A History of Sanskrit Literature Winternitz M A History of Indian Literature Vol II The most popular of all the schools of grammar is that of Pauni who has men tioned no less than sixty four names of previous grammarians among which Kasya pa Āpisali, Gārgya, Gālava, Sakatavana Senal a and Sphotavana may be cited

Panini & his prede cessors

#### $\mathbf{R}$

#### PANINI SCHOOL

Scholars vary widely among themselves in determining the age of Panini. Professor Goldstucker places him in the eighth century BC, while Professors Max Muller and Weber are of opinion that he belonged to the fourth century BC. His grammar, the Adadhyayi is a work in eight chapters each of which contains four sections. The arrangement of the rules is highly scientific, economy being the most outstanding characteristic.

Pāņ ni Astadhyay

informs us that the Aindra school was supplanted by Prinin the author of the Afadhyāyi This has led Dr Burnell to conclude that the Aindra school of grammar is the oldest in India It should be noted however that neither Prinin nor Pataïjali mentions Indra as a grammarian It is therefore argued by some that the Aindra school is post Prininya in date though pre Prininya in substance

Kātyāyana who is known as the Vāitti-kakāia came after Pānini and his age is usually assigned to the third century BC. The Vāittikas are undoubtedly 'supplementary rules' which were framed by Kātyāyana to justify certain new forms which crept into the language after Pānini had written his Sūtias. But Kātyāyana did not only supplement the rules of Pānini but also rejected some of them which were deemed unnecessary. In some cases again he improved upon the text of the Astādhyāyī to to meet the demands of a living language.

Patanjali who is regarded as the last of the 'three great sages', lived during the reign of King Pusyamitia (or Puspamitia) of the Sunga dynasty. His date is one of the few definite landmarks in the whole range of early Indian literature. Patanjali earned for himself a rare reputation and his views were referred to by later schools of rival philosophers with utmost respect and reverence. Patanjali resorted to some technical devices whereby he could effectively extend the scope of the original Sūtras of Pānini and did not on that account venture any addition like Kātyāyana. It must, however, be said that he, too, rejected quite a good

number of the Sūtras of Paum. The prose of Patunjah s Mahabhavya, is immitable and marked by the qualities of grace, brevity and perspicuity

Next after the three great sages men tioned above, one must remember the name of Bhaitrhan who is often wrongly identified with Bhatti, the grammarian poet, and who is in all probability referred to by I tsing when he says that a great gram manan died in 651 AD Bhaitrhain is known as the author of the Valyapadiya (in two chapters), the Prakina and a com mentary on Patanjah s Mahabhasya hag ments of which are pieseived in the Beilin library It may be proved on the strength of the internal evidence furnished by the Vakyanadiya that the grammarian lived earlier than the seventh century ID The opening chapter of the Valuapadiya discusses the philosophy of Sanshit giam mu In the second chapter and the Prakirna, he discusses various topics of Sanskrit grammai

Vunner and Jayaditya are the two Buddhist writers who wrote the Lasila a commentary on the Sutias of Panini I tsing informs us that Jayaditya died about Bhartrbari Vakya padiya and other works

Vămana & Jayādītya Kāsīkā 660 AD The object of Vāmana and Jayādītya was to incorporate in the system of Pāmīni all the improvements made by Candiagomin The  $K\bar{a}sik\bar{a}$  is usually known as the Vrtti

Jinendiabuddhi, a Bengali Buddhist, wrote an excellent and exhaustive commentary called the Nyāsa or the Kāsīkāvīvarana-pañjīkā, on the Kasīkā of Vāmana and Jayādītya Jinendiabuddhi is referred to by Bhāmaha, the rhetorician, and as such he cannot be later than the eighth century A D

Kaiyata is one of the most authoritative writers affiliated to the school of Pānini His commentary, the Pradīpa, on the Mahā-bhāsya of Patañjali, is an invaluable treatise It is believed that Kaiyata wrote in the eleventh century AD

Haradatta, the author of the Padamañjarī, a commentary of the Kāsīlā, is well-known for his independent views which more often than not contradict the statements of Patañjali Haradatta is quoted by Mallinātha while he himself quotes Māgha It is assumed that Haradatta flourished in the twelfth century A D

The Astādhyāyī of Pānını was remoulded

by later grammations belonging to the school of Panim who mringed the Süttes of Panim recording to the topics selected for discussion Ramae index who flourished in the first half of the lifeconth century a discussion of the pradingal annuals which is supposed to be the model for Bhattops Sid dhantal annuals. The most famous commentary the Prasada, on the Pralingal annuals was written by Vitthalacty and the first half of the sixteenth century and

Rima candra Prakriji kaumudi

The Siddhantal aumude of Bhatton is a neerst of the Sūtras of Panini in the topical method. Blitton flourished in seventeenth century AD Bhatton hunself wrote a commentary on his Suddhanta laumud, which is called the Proudhamanorama His Sabdal austubha is in antholitative commentary on Paninis A tadhyaye It is true that Bhatton's reputa tion as an anthonty on Sanslast grammar is enviable. The most famous commentary on the Siddhantal aumude is the Tatha bodhini by Juanendia Saiasvati of the eighteenth-century v D The Balamanorama of Väsudeva is an easy commentary on the Siddhantal annud.

Bhattoji Siddhänta kaumudf & other works

Nagesabhatta was a versatile genius of the

eighteenth century and who wrote treatises not only on grammar but also on Yoga, Alańkara and other subjects. Among his important works in grammar are the Uddyota, a commentary on Karyata's Pradīpa, the Brhacchabdenduśekhara and the Laghuśabdenduśekhara (both commentaries on Bhattopi's Siddhāntakaumudī) and the Paribhāsenduśekhara, a collection of Paribhāsas in connection with Paṇini's grammar. The Varyākaranasiddhāntamañjūsā (Brhat and Laghu) is another outstanding work which has discussed various topics of Sanskrit grammar.

Varadarāja, a very recent writer populanized his name by making abridgements of the Siddhāntakaumudī His two books, the Laghusiddhāntakaumudī and the Madhyasiddhāntakaumudī are widely read by all beginners of Sanskirt grammar

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

## OTHER IMPORTANT SCHOOLS OF GRAMMAR

Candiagomin flourished in the middle of the fifth century AD Bhartrham in his

According to the tradition which we have been privileged to inherit and which comes down uninterruptedly from Nāgeśabhatta, the Paramalaghumañjūsā is not the work of Nāgeśa Valyapadiya refers to the Candra school Candra of grunning The object of Cindia comm was to rearrange with marked brevity the system of Pinna The Candra grunning however a nicel much popularity and was widely communited upon. The common tains he now preserved mostly in libet in translations

whool

According to Professor Pathal Dimendia floorished in the litter part of the fifth century vp lunemha Inds on an dity mismuch as he combined Point and the Varitikas I wo man comment was on this \_rimmer have been preserved—one by Abhaymandi (750 ym) and another cilled Sabdarnavaeandrila by Somidex i

Jamendra school

Sakutavana the founder of a school after his name should not be confused with the meient Salatavina mentioned by Pamm Sikitayina wiote his Sabdanu asana m the first quater of the moth century and Amoghavitti is mother work of this inthor Sakatayana has based his worl upon Panni Katyayına ind Jinendri Sakitayını is ilso credited with the anthorship of (i) the Paribhasasutras (11) the Ganapatha (111) the Dhatupatha (iv) the Unadistitas and (v) the Linganusasana

Hemacandra, the prolific Jama writer, wrote his Sabdānnsāsana in the eleventh century and The book consists of more than four thousand Sūtras, and is a compilation rather than an original work Hemacandra himself wrote a commentary of his book known as Sabdānusāsana-bihadirtti

Sarvavarman is the author of the Kātantrasūtras otherwise known as the Kaumara and the Kalapa The beginnings of this school go to the early centuries of the Christian era There are, however, evidences of later interpolations in the Kātantrasūtias Saivavaiman's views are in many places different from those of Panini Duigasimha wrote his famous Vetti on this grammar not later than the ninth century A D Durgasımha's Vitti was commented by Vaidhamana in the eleventh century AD Prthvidhaia wiote a sub-commentary on Vaidhamana's work The Katantia school has been highly popular in Bengal and Kashmu

Anubhūtisvaiūpācāiya is the authoi of the Sārasvataprakriyā, who flourished in the middle of the fourteenth century a D Bievity of expression is a characteristic of this school Some of the many commen tators on the Surasiatamalinga, are Punja ram, Ametabharati Asemendia and others

Vopadeva wrote his Mugdhabodha in the thirteenth century ID Vopideris style is brief and simple His technical terms in many places differ from those of Panini Rama Tarkavagusa is the most celebrated commentator of this grammar

Mugdha bodha school

Kiamadistara wrote his Saml aptasara in the thateenth century and The work has eight sections and the illustrations have been taken from the Bhallil arna The Saml sip tasara underwent a thorough revision in the hand of Juna analdm who wrote a commentary called the Rasavate This grammy 15 widely lead in Western Ben\_al

The author of the Sunadma is Padmana bha who flourished in the fourteenth een tury AD This system of grammar, like many school other systems, is based on Pamni Padmana bha himself wiote a commentary known as the Suvadmapangila

n

#### SECTARIAN SCHOOLS OF GRAMMAR

In recent centuries there flourished some grammanans who wanted to make gramman

the vehicle of religion. This tendency was already present in Vopadeva Rūpagosvāmin wrote his Harmāmāmīta in the fifteenth century AD. The names of Krsna and Rādhā are used as actual technical terms of grammar. Jīvagosvāmin wrote a grammar of the same name. A third Vaisnava grammar named Cartanyāmīta is mentioned by Professor Colebrooke.

 $\mathbf{E}$ 

## SOME IMPORTANT WORKS ON GRAMMER

Durghataritti by Śaranadeva—a Bengali Buddhist of the twelfth century AD—dealing with derivations of difficult words

Bhāsāvitti by Purusottamadeva of the twelfth century  $\Lambda D$ —a commentary on the Aslādhyāyī (sections on Vedic accent are left out)

Ganaratnamahodadhi by Vaidhamāna in 1140 A D
Paribhūsūvitti by Sīradeva—a collection of paribhūsūs with their explanation

- Dhātupi adīpa by Maitieyai aksita who is later than Hemacandia—containing a list of roots and their uses
- Dhātuvitti by Mādhava, son of Sāyana—wiitten after the model of the Dhātupia-dāpa

ā ta & 1yāVaruakaranabhūsana & Varyakaranabhii anasira

by Kaundabhatta ne phow of Bhatton—deal ing with philosophical and other points of Sanskrit grammar

Sabdaraina by Handiksita grandson of Bhatton and teacher of Nigera-a commen tary on the Prau Phamanoram :

Praudhamanoi amakucamaidini by Panditarija Jagannatha the great rhetorician -a criticism of the Praulha manoram<sup>7</sup>

#### RLFERENCES

Belvalkar S h Systems of Sanskrit Grammar Keith A B A History of Sanskrit Literature

## CHAPTER ELEVEN

## POETICS AND DRAMATURGY

## A

## INTRODUCTION

The literature on poetics and diamaturgy is conspicuously rich in Classical Sanskiit. Many able thinkers have written important works both on poetics and dramaturgy, and it is also a fact that one and the same author has written on both these subjects of kindled nature Bharata's Nātyakāstra is the earliest known treatise on poetics and diamaturgy The date of this monumental composition has been variously assigned by scholars to the period between the second century BC and third century AD The Natyasastia shows unmistakable proofs of a systematic tradition which has preceded it by at least a century Bharata has been held in high esteem by all later writers on poetics and his work has continued to be a source of inspiration to them

With the piogiess of years there arose four main schools of poetics which maintain different views with regard to the essential characteristics of poetry. Thus, from time

to time, Alankara (Figure), Riti (Style), Risa (Aesthetie pleasure) and Dharm (Suggestion) have been declared to be the essential factors of poetry. The Dharm school, however, has grown to be the most important of all other schools of Alankara literature. Anandavardhara the author of the Dharmyalola is known to be the pioneer of this school and it bis been for his commentator. Abhinavagupta to hing out the importance of the doctrine of Dharm through his lasting contributions.

Bhamphy is one of the earliest thetonicians to take up a systematic discussion of poetic embellishments after Bhantus treatment of figures. Bhamaha flourished in all probability in the seventh century of His only work the Kavyalanlana contains six chapters. In his definition of poetry Bhamphy has recorded equal status to word and import, though he has devoted more attention to the former.

Udhlata wrote his Alanlarasamaraha in

¹ \text{1 ccording to modern scholars a compatatively late work on Indian poetics is the Agnipurāna where in as many \( \text{1 scholars} \) \( \text{1 scholars} \) \( \text{1 comprehensive and authoritative information about the various schools of poetics known to the author is available.

(ı) Alan kara school

Bhāmaha Kāvyālan kāra the latter half of the eighth century AD. The work is a collection of verses defining forty-one figures and contains six chapters. In his treatment of figures Udbhata has followed in the line of Bhāmaha.<sup>1</sup>

Rudiața wrote his Kāvyālanhāra in the first quarter of the ninth century a D. The work which is in sisteen chapters, deals mainly with figures of poetry. In his treatment of figures Rudiata seems to have been the follower of a tradition different from that of Bhāmaha and Udbhata. Of the three commentators of Rudiata, Namisādhu appears to be the most important.

Dandin, the author of the Kāvyādarka, is the precursor to the Rīti school which was developed by Vāmana Though Dandin is usually assigned to the seventh century AD, still the mutual priority of Bhāmaha and Dandin is a disputed point in the history of Sanskrit poetics. Dandin appears to have been greatly influenced by the Alankāra school His most outstanding con-

¹ Though Udbhata belongs to the Alankāra school, his well-known commentator Pratihārendurāja, a pupil of Mukulabhatta, is a follower of the Rasa school Pratihārendurāja is assigned to the first half of the tenth century A D

tribution to poetics is the concept of Gnna In his definition of poetry Dandin gives more importance to the word element than to the sense element. The most anthougatrve commentator of the Kavyadaria is Tarnnavācaspati

Vamana who flourshed in the latter half of the eighth century AD, wrote his havya lanlarasutra in five chapters and twelve Vamena Kavyalan sections in which he boldly asserted that karasutra Riti is the soul of poetry The ten Gunas me important in so far as they constitute Riti The Kamadhenu, a late work by Gopendia Tippa Bhūpala, is a lucid com mentary on the Kavyalankarasutra

The Rusa school originated from the interpretations by different commentators of Bhaiata's aphorism on Rasa Lollata who (11) Rasa school is known to be the earliest interpreter, flourished in the eighth century AD The Lollata worl of Lollata is unfortunately lost to us, though a review of his opinion is found in the Abhinarabharati of Abhinavagupta and the Kavuaniakasa of Mammata

Another interpreter is Sri Sanknia who has criticized the views of Lollata. The work of Sri Sankuka also is lost to us. He is he Sri Sankuka lieved to be a innior contemporary of Lollata

Bhattanayaka is the most celebrated commentator of the Rasa school. He is said to have flourished between the last quarter of the minth century AD and the beginning of the tenth century AD work, the Hrdayadarpana, is unfortunately lost to us Bhattanayaka has rejected the views of Lollata and Sii-Sankuka It is interesting to note that Bhattanayaka has recognized two additional powers of word, viz., the power of generalization (bhāvahatva) by which the meaning is made intelligible to the audience and the power of bhojakatva which enables the audience to relish the enjoyment of the poem

The doctrine of Dhvani according to which 'suggestion' is held to be the essence of poetry, was formulated by Ānandavardhana in his Dhvanyāloka in the middle of the ninth century and Ānandavardhana informs us that the doctrine of Dhvani is very old, the dim beginnings of which are lost in oblivion. According to Ānandavardhana, word is not only endowed with the two powers of denotation (sakti) and implication (laksanā) but also of suggestion (vyañjanā). Through the power of sugges-

tion, either a subject, or a figure or a senti ment is revealed

The views of Anandas udhana found a large and definite shape in the writings of his crudite commentator. Abhinas ignpts who flourished at the end of the tenth and the beginning of the eleventh century. A Dabhinas ignpts has to his credit two important commentaries on poeties which may be looked upon as independent treatises and these are the Locana on the Dheanyalola of Anandas udhana and the Ibhinas abharation the Natyasastia of Bharta Abhinas ignpts thinks that all suggestion must be of sentiment for the suggestion of subject of that of figure may be ultimately reduced to the suggestion of sentiment

Abhinava gupta Locana & Abbinava bbāratī

В

#### WORKS ON POETICS & DRAMATURGY

Abhidhavṛttimātṛka by Yukulabhaṭṭa who is gene rally assigned to the period be tween the end of the ninth and the beginning of the tenth century in D—a grammatice rhetorical work

kāvyamımāmsā

by Rijasekhara of the tenth century 1D-written in eighteen chapters— a practical hand-book for poets

Vakroktıjīvīta by Kuntala or Kuntaka who flourished in the middle of the tenth century AD and belonged to a reactionary school to Dhvani upholding Vakrokti (figurative speech) as the essence of poetry (The Vakrokti school is an off-shoot of the older

Alankāra school)

Dasar ūpaka

by Dhanaijaya of the tenth century AD—containing also a section on diamaturgy besides sections on Rasa and allied topics—commented on by Dhanika, a contemporary of of Dhanaijaya in his Avaloka

Aucītyavīcāra and Kairkunthābharana by Ksemendia of the eleventh century AD—the first, discussing propriety as essential to sentiment and the second. discussing such topics as the possibility of becoming a poet, the issue of borrowing, etc., etc.

Sarasvatīkanthābharana and Śrngāraprakāśa by Bhoja of the first half of the eleventh century A D—the first, an enclyclopaedic work containing information about different schools of poetics and the second, a supplement to the first and containing a section on diamaturgy

Vyaktiviveka by Mahimabhatta of the second half of the eleventh century AD who belonged to the reactionary school to Dhyani—centainin, discu iona on the positifity of ircluding Dhyani under inforce

nicyaprilius l

by Maminata of the cloventh circuity and make of final lavarillana and Minnava up andreum to Rasa as the soal of poetry necessary as the soal of poetry necessary from by Peaka (vienture) with Ruyyaka author of the MinLurraria of Minjakacan ira fri dhara Can Juliusa Viovan ila and Govin la bee deva number of numor communicators.

Bharagral isaca

hy "cradatanaya who flaurished in the fir t half of the ty elfth century a B ar I was one of the later writers on Rasa—highly influence I by the works of Bhoja—dealing with topics of druna

Alankar ısarcası ı

hy Ruyyaka of the latter half of the twelith century a D—written in the line of Udibhata discussing the importance of Dhami in so far as it embellishes the expressed meaning—commented on by Jaya ratha Ady Teakravartin and others by Hemacandra belonging to the

haryanasitana

by Hemacandra belonging to the twelfth century AD who has horrowed from the writings of Abhinavagupta Manniata kun tala and others

## 168 AN INTRODUCTION TO CLASSICAL SANSKRIT

Vāgbhatālankāra by Vāgbhata of the twelfth century

A D—a work in verses

Candrāloka by Jayadeva who was not earlier than
the twelfth century AD a
convenient manual of figures of
speech with happy illustrations

Rasamañjarī & Rasatarangīnī by Bhānudatta who was not earlier than the twelfth century AD the two works treating of Rasa and allied topics

Nātyadar pana by Rāmacandra and Gunacandra of the twelfth century AD—a work on dramaturgy differing widely from the Nātuasāstra of Bharata

Kāvyānusāsana by Vāgbhata of the thinteenth century AD who has followed Hemacandra

Kavıtūrahasya oı Kāvyakalpalatū by Alisimha and his pupil Amaracandia, two Svetāmbara Jainas, belonging to the thirteenth century AD

Kavikalpalatā by Devesvaia, a Jaina writer, probably belonging to the thirteenth century, A D

Nātakalaksanaratnakośa by Sāgaianandin of the thinteenth century AD—a work on diamaturgy—strictly following the Nātyaśāstra

Ekāvalī by Vidyādhaia of the fourteenth century

A D—written for King Narasımha
of Orissa—belonging to the Dhvani
school commented on by Mallinātha in his Taralā

Prataparudraya obhū ana by Vidyīnīths of the fourteenth century 1D—written for king Pritīparudri of Waringal—a solumnous treatise continuing various informations about poeties and dramstures.

Sāhitvadarpana

by Visvanītha of the fourteenthicentury of the treating in the minner of Vinninafa Rasa as the soul of poetry though fully acknowledging the importance of Dhanim-confaining discussions on both poetics and dramaturely—erriteizing Vianimata and in turn criticized by Govinda and Jagann itha

Ujjialanilamani

by Rüpagovimin of the sixteenth century of who regards the Erotic as only a different name of the Devotional (Bhalti)—commen ted on by Jivagovimin who flourished after him in the same century, in his Locanarocan

Alankarasekhara

by he asum re of the sixteenth century AD—a short treatise on poetics the Kirikis of which according to the author are the composition of Suddhodam

Citramimāmsā and Kuralayānanda by hppryyadikute of the soven teenth century AD who is noted for his critical misght and originality of appreciation—the first has been criticized by Jagan nitha and the second is based on the Candralol a of Psyadova

## 170 AN INTRODUCTION TO CLASSICAL SANSKRIT

Rasagangādhara

by Jagannatha of the seventeenth century AD who is the last of the Titans in Indian poetics and evinces a superb power of criticism and presentation an important work on the dialectics of Indian poetics in particular

## REFERENCES

De, S K Sanskut Poetics, Vols I & II
Kane, P V Sähityadaipana (Introduction)
Keith, A B A History of Sanskut Literature

#### CHAPTER TWELVE

MLIRICS

١

#### INTRODUCTION

In the Brahman's we find discussions on metrical matters and it may be presumed that at that time the study of metrics was deemed essential is one of six Vedangas

a Vedanga

Pingala is however, the earliest known author on prosody. In his work which is of Pingala the Sutia type we find for the first time the use of algebraic symbols. The bool discusses both Vedic and Classical metres Scholars opine that Pingalas worl is sinely earlier than the chapters on metre (chs XIV, XV) in the Nalyanastra and the metrical section of the Agripurana text attributed to this author on Prakrit metres (Pral rta Pamgala) is undoubtedly a later work

 $\mathbf{R}$ 

#### WORKS ON METRICS

Śrutabodha ascribed to Kilidisa and often attributed to Vararuci-a manual of Classi est metres

## 172 AN INTRODUCTION TO CLASSICAL SANSKRIT

Suvittatilaka by Ksemendia of the eleventh century

A D—containing a variety of

Classical metres

Chando'nuśāsana by Hemacandia of the twelfth century AD—a compilation and not an original work

Vittaiatnākara by Kedāiabhatta (eailiei than the fifteenth century AD)—a bulky book dealing with one hundred and thirty-six metres

Vittaratnākara by Nārāyana of the sixteenth century
A D

Chandomañjari by Gangādāsa—a late and yet popular work on prosody

## REFERENCES

Keith, A B A History of Sanskrit Literature Macdonell, A A A History of Sanskrit Literature Weber, A The History of Indian Literature

#### CHAPTER THIRTEEN

#### LEXICOGRAPHY

Α

#### INTRODUCTION

Yaska's Nivulta is the oldest extant lexicographic work which contains a collection of Vedic terms. The lexicons of Classical Sanskit literature are in many respects different from the Nivulta. One of the salient points of difference is that the Classical dictionaries treat of nouns and indeclinables while the Nighantus contain both nominal and verbal forms. Almost all the lexicographical works of Classical Sanskit are written in verse.

Yaska Nirukta

The Namalinganusasana of the Amara kosa is one of the earliest levicographical works in Classical Sanskitt Amarasiniha the author probably flourished in the seventh century a D. He is however believed to have been one of the nine gems in the court of the famous Vikiamaditya. Of the many commentators of this work, Ksinasia min, Saivanana, Bhanup and Mahesana ne well known

Amara simha Amarakosa

### $\mathbf{B}$

### LESS IMPORTANT LEXICONS

Trikīndasesa & by Purusottama—both early lexicons, containing a collection of many rare words

Anekārthasamuccaya by Šāśvata a contemporary of Amarasınıha

Abhidhanaratnamālā by Halāyudha of the tenth century A D

Varjayantī by Yādava of the eleventh century A D

Abhidhānacintāmani
& Anekārthasanigraha

by Hemacandra of the twelfth century A D—both containing a rich variety of words

Viśvapiakūśa by Meheśvaia of the twelfth century A D Anekūithaśabdakośa by Medinikāia of the fourteenth century A D

Vācaspatya by Tārānātha Tarkavācaspati of the nineteenth century AD—an encyclopaedic work of outstanding ment

Sabdakalpadı uma an encyclopaedic compilation made by a batch of Sanskiit Pandits in the nineteenth century AD, under the patronage of Rājā Su Rādhakānta Deva

#### REFERENCES

Keith, A.B. A History of Sanskrit Literature Macdonell, A.A. A History of Sanskrit Literature Weber, A. The History of Indian Literature

#### CHAPTER FOURTEEN

#### CIVIL AND RELIGIOUS LAW

Ą

#### GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT OF LEGAL WORKS

Besides the Siautasütras and the Grhya sutras there were in ancient times a number of Dharmasūtras which may be viewed as Erly indimentary texts on civil and religious law Among these Dharmasūtias mention must be made of the Dharmasutras of Gautama, Hanta, Vasistha, Bodhavana, Apastamba, Huanyakesin and others It is not definitely known when these Sutras were composed but it is generally believed that their age would approximately be the fifth or the fourth century B c Two other Dhamasutias, the Vary anadharmasutra and the Varkha nasadharmasutia weie wiitten at a later period, the former being assigned to the third century AD

The most outstanding and popular work on Brahmanical laws is the Manaradha masastra or the Manusmiti Though the author of this work is generally known to be

irti bip Manu, still the present text is said to have been the work of Bhrgu. Again, from certain references it becomes evident that the present version of the Manusmiti was narrated by one student of Bhrgu, and not by Bhrgu limiself even. Dr. Buhler suggests that the Mānavadharmaśāstra or the Manusmiti is a recast and versification of one original work of the type of Sūtra works known as the Mānavasūtrakarana, a subdivision of the Maitiāyanīya school which adheres to a redaction of the Krṣna-Yajurveda

It has been argued that the present text of the Manusmrti contains various facts about the supremacy of the Brahmanas over other castes. The presumption, therefore, is that the work was written at a time when the Brahmanas were kings of India and had great power in their hands. History tells us that there were Brahmana kings in India after the fall of the Sungas. It is known that the Kanvas ruled in ancient India for forty-five years in the first century BC. It is suggested that the present text of the Manusmrti was prepared during the reign of the Kanvas.

The Manusmrti is written in lucid

Sanshit veise which compuses 2684 couplets arranged in twelve chapters. The work has been commented on by numerous scholars including Medhātithi, Govindaraja, Narāyana, Kullūla, Raghavānanda and Nandana.

Contents & commen tators

В

#### IMPORTANT WORKS ON LAW

Presumably a late work which has its Nāradasmrti individual merits but cannot stand comparison with the work of Manu-usually regarded as the legal supplement to the Manusmrti Brhaspatismrts A supplementary work to the Manu smrtz-helonging to the sixth or the seventh century A D Lannaralkyasmrti An important work in the style of the Vanusmrti-containing a methodical and highly sati factory treatment with stamps of in dividuality-not earlier than the third century AD -commented on by Viji inessara of the eleventh century AD in his Mitalisara Tautātītamatatīlaka by Bhayadevabhatta (ele Samskaravaddhatı venth century AD) the & Prayascittan akarana) famous minister of King Harryarman of south Ben gal

Smi tikalpataru

by I als minister of Govindacandra of Kanauj (twelfth century AD)

### 178 AN INTRODUCTION TO CLASSICAL SANSKRIT

Parāśarasmiti The author of this work is not the same person quoted as an authority by Yājñavalkya—commented on by Mādhava, of the fourteenth century AD, in his Parāśaramādhava

Brāhmanasarvasva by Halāyudha, of the twelfth century AD written for King Laksmanasena of Bengal

Dasakarmapaddhati by Pasupati of the twelfth century A D

Pitidayıtā by Annuddha of the twelfth century A D

Uaturvargacıntūmanı by Hemādn of the thirteenth

century A D a voluminous work

Dharmaratna by Jīmūtavāhana of the fourteenth century AD—an important work containing the famous  $D\bar{a}yabh\bar{a}g\bar{a}$  which dominates the views of Bengal on inheritance

 $Dipakalik\bar{a}$  by Śūlapām of the fourteenth century AD—a commentary on the  $Y\bar{a}j\tilde{n}avalkyasmiti$ 

Madanapārijāta by Viśveśvara of the fourteenth century AD—a work on religious laws

Vıvādaratnākara, Smrtmatnākara and other Ratnākaras by Candeśvara, grand-uncle of Vidyāpati, minister of Harrsimha of the fourteenth century AD—very important law books

Raghunandanasmitis by Raghunandana of the sixteenth century AD—twenty-eight in number—all bearing the appellation of Tatte 1 of Tethetatter Udeahatattea etc — highly authoritative specially in B ngal

V stadacintamani
Vyavaharacint imani
and other
Cintāmanis

by Vicaspati who wrote for Bhuravasinha (flami ir 1 yuri) and Rimabhadra (flipanīr 1yani) of Mithili (fifteenth century VD) highly important law books

Vıramıtrodaya

by Mitraum ra of the seventeenth century vD-v voluminous work

 $N_{irnayasındhu}$ 

by Kamalikarabhatta of the seven teenth century A D

#### REFERENCES

Buhler G SBI Vol XXV

kane P V History of Dharmasastra

keith A B A History of Sanskrit I iterature

Weber A The History of Indian I iterature

### CHAPTER FIFTEEN

### POLITICS

#### A

### INTRODUCTION

Of the four objects of life the science of politics deals with the aim and achievement of the second (artha) and Kautilya's Arthasāstra amply proves the existence of the study of political science and practical life ancient India The Arthasastra is an outstanding work in the field of Indian politics and is claimed by some modern scholars to have been composed sometime in the third century AD, though traditionally the author is believed to have been none other than Canakya or Visnugupta, the able minister of Maurya Candiagupta (fourth century BC), who has been unanimously recognized by all scholars as the Machiavelli of India The Arthasāstra, however, mentions Brhaspati, Bāhudantīputia, Višālāksa and Usanas as authorities. The book is a perfect manual for the conduct of kings in then political existence Later works on this science are mainly based on the Anthasastra

R

#### MINOR WORKS ON POLITICS

Nitisāra by Kīmandaka—written in verse with the character of a Kīvya—not later than the eighth century AD

Nituākyamrta by Somadeva the author of Yasas tilaka—tho detuls of war and kindred topies are meagicly dealt with and the ruthor appears to be a great moral teacher

Laghu Arhannuti by the great Jama writer Hema candra (1088 a D -- 1172 a D) -- written in verse-an abbreviation of another bigger work of the author writen in Prikrit

Yuktikalpataru ascribed to Bhoja

Nitiratnākara by Candesvara a jurist—grand uncle of Vidyīpati

Subranti of unknown authorship—a work of a very late date mentioning the use of guapowder

#### REFERENCES

Ketth A B A History of Sanskrit Literature

Macdonell A A A History of Sanskrit Literature
ture

Weber A The History of Indian Literature

### CHAPTER SIXTEEN

### EROTICS

#### 1

#### INTRODUCTION

Vātsyāyana; Kāmasūtra Erotics of the science of love was specially studied in ancient India. The most outstanding work on the subject is the Kāmasūtra of Vātsyāyana who is placed sometime in the third century vid. The work is divided into seven parts and is written in prose interspersed with stray verses. The work does not claim to have been the first to be written on that subject. The work is a mine of informations on matters relating to the social order, and customs of the day.

Yaśodhara Jayamangalā Yasodhara of the thriteenth century AD. wrote a commentary, the Jayamangalā, on the Kāmasūtra of Vātsyāyana Credit is due to this commentator who has explained many technical terms used by Vātsyāyana

 $\mathbf{B}$ 

### MINOR WORKS ON EROTICS

Pañcasāyaka by Jyotnīśvana—later than Ksemendra Ratirahasya by Kokkoka—prior to 1200 A D Ratimañjari by one Jayadeva of unknown date—
sometimes identified with the
poet of the Gitagovinda

Anangaranga by Kalyīnamalla of the sixteenth century AD

Ratisāstra

by Nīgīrjuna of unknown date—often wrongly identified with the great Buddhist thinker

#### REFERENCE

Keith A B A History of Sanskiit Literature

### CHAPTER SEVENTEEN

### MEDICINE

### A

### HISTORY OF MEDICAL WORKS

Introduc-

A study of Vedic literature will reveal that Anatomy, Embryology and Hygiene were known to Vedic Indians The science of Ayurveda was also looked upon as one of the auxiliary sciences to the Vedas. There are references in early literature to ancient sages who delivered instruction on the science of medicine. Atreya is one of these sages who is usually held to be the founder of the science while Cāṇakya is said to have written on medicine. According to Buddhist tradition, Jīvaka, a student of Ātreya, was a specialist in the diseases of children.

Caraka

The earliest extant literature on medicine is the Carakasamhitā Caraka, according to Professor Lévi, was a contemporary of King Kaniska It is, however, known that the present text of Caraka was revised by one Drighabala, a Kashmirian, who lived as late as the eighth or the ninth century AD

Susiuta is another great teacher of Indian medicine whose name occurs in the famous

Bower Manuscript and who is mentioned as the son of Visvamitra in the Mahabharata As early as the ninth and the tenth centuries his reputation travelled far beyond India Among his commentators mention must be made of Cakrapandatta (eleventh century AD), besides Jaryyata Gayadāsa and Dallana

Susruta & his com mentators

Bhela is another authority who is said to have written a Samhita which, in the opinion of some scholars is earlier than the work of Caraka

Bhela

#### В

#### LATER MEDICAL WORKS

Aşlangasanıgraha and Aşlangahrdayasanıhıta by Vigbhata the next great authority after Susruta—often identified with the medical authority referred to by I tsing

Rasaratnākara by Nāgārjunu probably of the seventh or the eighth century A D —containing a section on the

practical application of mercury

Vidana by Midhavakara of the eighth or the minth century AD—an important treatise on Pathology

Cikitsasarasamgraha by Cakrapāmidatta a work on Therapeutics

### 186 AN INTRODUCTION TO CLASSICAL SANSKRIT

Cihitsāhalikā by Tīsata of the fourteenth century
A D

Bhāvaprakāsa by Bhāvamisia of the sixteenthcentury A D

Vaidyaii ana by Lohmbarija of the seventeenth century AD

#### REFERENCES

Keith, A. B. A. History of Sanskrit Literature.

Macdonell, A. A. History of Sanskrit Literature.

Weber, A. The History of Indian Literature.

#### CHAPTER EIGHTEEN

## ASTRONOMY, MATHEMATICS AND ASTROLOGY

A

#### HISTORY OF ASTRONOMY

It is not definitely known whether Astro nomy was systematically studied as a science in Vedic times. It is as late as the sixth century AD when in the Pañca siddhantika of VaiThamihna we get the information about the contents of five Siddhantas of an earlier date. It is, how ever a fact that the lunar mansions were known to Vedic Indians Di Webei says that the names of some asterisms occur in the Rgveda, the Satapathabrahmana, the Tarttingasamhita and the Athanaieda It is presumed that with the discovery of planets the science of Astronomy made a significant advance Planets are mentioned the Taittingangala the two Great Epics, and the Law books of Manu It still remains an open question however whether the ancient Indians discovered the planets independently of others or whether

Astronomy in early the knowledge came to them from a foreign source Nevertheless, it cannot be denied that Indian Astronomy thrived well under Greek influence

B

### WORKS ON ASTRONOMY

Āryabhata his works Before the discovery of the Pañca-siddhāntikā, Āryabhata was regarded as the only authority on Indian Astronomy Āryabhata wrote towards the close of the fifth century AD. Three of his works-now available to us are the Āryabhatīya, in ten stanzas, the Daśagītikāsūtra and the Āryāsasta in which there is a section on Mathematics

Āryabhata Āryasıddhānta Āryabhata is to be carefully distinguished from another author of the same name who wrote the Āryasıddhānta in the tenth century  $\Lambda$  D and was known to Albērūnī

Brahmagupta his works Brahmagupta is another great name in Indian Astronomy who in the seventh century and wrote two important works, the Brahmasphutasiddhānta and the Khandakhādyaka

#### ASTRONOM, WATHEWATICS & ASTROLOGY 189

Lally who is liter than Brihmagupti, has to his credit one work the Si yadhiir ddhitantia

Lalia Šisyadbivę ddbitantra

Io the eleventh century belong two writers Bhoja and Satananda whose works are respectively the Rajamryania and the Bhustati

Bhoja & Šatānanda their works

Bhash wacary v of 1150 yp wrote his master precedite Suddhantasiromani which is divided into four sections. A second worl of his is the haranal utuhala.

Bháskara his works

C

#### WORKS ON MATHEMATICS

In the field of Indran Mathematics there are only a few names. Arvabhari was the first to include in his worl a section on Mathematics. Brahmingupta has discussed the principles of ordinary Authoritism a brief manner. In the ninth centim a discussed that which are a clementary but comprehensive worl on Indran Mathematics. In the tenth centing a do not his Tribatic which discusses quadratic equations. It was Bhūskariaetical who in the two sections are the Siddhandarionan made

Āryabhata Brahma gupta Mahāvira & Bhāskara 190 AN INTRODUCTION TO CLASSICAL SANSKRIT

some lasting contributions to Indian Mathematics

D

### WORKS ON ASTROLOGY

Early works

In India Astrology has been studied as a science from very ancient times. The works of Varahamihua, of course, eclipsed the fame of earlier authorities whose writings are now lost to us Fragments of one Vrddhagargasamhitä are still available. Varahamihua classified Astrology into the three branches of Tantia the astronomical and mathematical foundations, Hoiā that dealing with horoscope and Samhitā that discussing natural Astrology The most outstanding contribution of Varahamihna is the Brhatsamhitā which was commented on by Bhattotpala On the Horā section Varāhamilia wrote two works, the Brhaziataka and the Laghujātaka Besides the works of Varahamihia, we find a reference to one Yavanajātaka of dubious authorship.

Later works

Among later works on Astrology, mention may be made of the *Horāśatapañcāśrkā* by Prthuyaśas, son of Varāhamihna, the *Horāśāstra* by Bhattotpala, the *Vrdyā*-

ISTRONOMI, MITHEMITICS & ISTROLOGY 191

madhaviya (before 1350 vp) the Vyddha vasi, hasamhita of unknown authorship, the Jyotivasāroddhara of Harsakirti, the Jyotivasāroddhara of unknown authorship (not later than the sixteenth century vp) and the Tajika in two parts (the Samjua tantia and the Varsatantia) of Nilakantha (sixteenth century vp)

#### REFERENCES

Neith A B A History of Sanskrit Literature
Vacadonell A A History of Sanskrit Literature
ture

Weber 1 The History of Indian Literature

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Closely associated with works on Astrology are treatises on omens and prognostications — Immog such reatises are the 1dbhutasagara (twelfth century AD) and the Samudratidia (twelfth century AD) by Durlabharāja and Jagaddova — The Ramalarahasya of Bhayabhañjanasarman is a work on geomency and under the style of the Paśakakevali preserved in the Bower Manuscript are the two treatises on cubomancy

### CHAPTER NINETEEN

### MISCELLANEOUS SCIENCES

Archery

ć

It is a pity that though the Indians specialized in almost every branch of Sanskirt literature, the literature on quite a good number of minor sciences is little known to us. Thus there are no extant works on Archery Among the authoritative writers on Archery the names of Vikramaditya, Sadāsīva and Sarangadatta have reached us.

Sciences of elephants & horses On the sciences of elephants and hoises which are associated with the names of two ancient sages Pālakāpya and Śalihotia respectively, a few works are available. The Hastyāyurveda of uncertain date and the Mātangalīlā of Nārāyana are the two known works on the science of elephants. The Aśvāyurveda of Gana, the Aśravardyaka of Jayadatta and of Dīpankara, the Yogamañjarī of Vardhamāna and the Aśracikitsā of Nakula are extant works on the science of hoises

The literature on Architecture is represented by the Vāstuvidyā, the Manusyālaya-candrikā in seven chapters, the Mayamàta

Architecture in thirty four chapters, the Yuktrkalpataru in twenty three chapters, the Samarangana sutradhara of Bhoja, the Vikialarma prakasa and some sections of the Brhatsam hita, the Matsyapurana, the Agnipurana the Garudapurana, the Vinudharmottara, the Kusyapasamhita, the Silparatna of Srikumāra and such other works

The science of jewels has been discussed in such works as the Agastimata, the Ratnaparila of Buddhabhatta and the Navajatnaparila of Narayanapandita

Science of Jewels

Mention may be made of the Sanmukha lalpa, a treatise on the science of sterling

Science of Stealing

Mention should also be made of the Nalapaka which treats of the art of cooking

Science of Cooking

On music there have been many important works besides the Natyanastra Among the more important works on this subject, mention may be made of the Sangitamaharanda, the Sangitanatnahara of Sudarsana, the Sangitaratnahara of Sudarsana, the Sangitadarpana of Dāmo dara and the Ragaribodha of Somanātha

Science of Music

On dancing the literature is not very extensive Besides the Natyanastra, we have the Abhinanadarpana of Nandikesyara.

Science of Dancing 194 AN INTRODUCTION TO CLASSICAL SANSKRIT

the Śrīhastamuktāvalī, the Nartananı naya and a few other works

Science of Painting

On painting the Visnudharmottara, of uncertain date, contains a chapter

#### REFERENCES

Keith,  $\Lambda$  B. A History of Sanskiit Literature Weber,  $\Lambda$ . The History of Indian Literature

# CHAPTER TWENTY PHII OSOPHY

ORTHODOX SYSTEMS

The Nyayi system which represents the indicte type of philosophy like the Vinse sike system has along history that extends over the vist period of twenty centuries. Indian tradition has beginned a unique status to this system and it has been ann

versally held in high esteem and reverence

Nysya

Introduc

ton

Works an Nysys (s) Old

There we two well known schools of the Nyive system and they are the old and the new. The cultest known literature of the old school are the Nyayasatras of Guntanna which are divided into fice books. It is be heved that the Nyayasatras we as old as the third century. It is Vitsyay and s

<sup>1</sup> Dr S C Vidy ibhusing believes that Gantamy wrote only the first chapter of the work and was a contemporary of Buddha. He further thinks that this Gautama is the same is the author of the Dharma silitar who lived in Mithil in the sixth contury is C He sugaests that Gautama's original views are contained in the Caralasanhita (Viewanasthana). But the Carakasanhita itself has suffered considerable to

Nyāyabhāsya is the most important commentary on the Nyāyasūtras of Gautama and it is presumed that the work written before 400 AD Vātsvāvana's were vehemently criticized Dignaga, the famous Buddhist logician, whose probable date is not later than the fifth century AD Uddyotakara wrote his Nyāyavārttika in the sixth century AD. with the sole object of defending Vatsyayana against the criticisms of Dignaga It was Dhaimakiiti, another noted Buddhist logician, who took up the cause of Dignaga and wrote his Nyāyabindu in the latter part of the sixth century AD Probably Uddyotakara and Dharmakiiti were contemporaries

fashioning and its date is uncertain. Professor Jacobi believes that the Nyāyasātras and the Nyāyabhāsyabelong to about the same time perhaps separated by a generation. He places them between the second century AD when the doctrine of Śūnya developed, and the fifth century AD when the doctrine of Vijīāna was systematized. Professor Suali also supports Professor Jacobi and refers the work to 300 AD. According to Professor Garbe the date is 100 AD. MM. Haraprasāda Śāstrin believes that the work has undergone several redactions. Professor Radhakrishnan places it (though not in the present form) in the fourth century BC.

who mutually referred to cuch other A commentary on the Nyayabindu was written in the minth century by the Buddhist logican Dharmottan. It was in the first half of the moth century and that Vacaspata a versatile genius and most prolific writer, came to write his Nyayararttikatatparya tika, a super commenting on the Nyaya vartila of Uddyotakna md gave a suffici ent stimulus to the orthodox line of thought by writing his Nyayasucimbandha (841 AD) and Nyayasutroddhara Udayuna who is noted for his trench int logic and convincing presentation of facts, wrote a commentary on Vāc ispati s Nyayararttil atatparyatika. known as the Nyanavarttilatatpanyapani suddhe in the last part of the tenth century (984 AD) The Nyayakusumanjah, the Atmatattravireka, the Kiranarali and the Nuquanarisista ne fom other well known works of Udayana Jayanta is the next giest name after Udayana and he wrote the Nyayamanjan in the tenth century and He is admitted to have been a Bengali by origin Bhasai งากัว ร Nyayasara is ว รถเ vey of Indian logic. The author was a Kashmii Saivito of the tenth centing a D

Gragesa is the father of the now school

Works on Nyāya (b)New schook of the Nyaya system (Navvanyaya) which flourished mainly in Bengal His Tattvacintāmani is a magnum opus which was written in the last quarter of the twelfth century a D The work discusses primarily the four means of knowledge admitted in the Nyaya system His son Vaidhamana (1225 AD) continued the tradition by writing commentaries on the treatises ot Udayana and Gangeśa Jayadeva (sometimes identified with Paksadhara Misia) of Mithila wrote his Aloka on the Tattvacentāmane in the latter part of the thinteenth century AD Vasudeva Sarvabhauma, a Bengali Biahmana, wiote his Tattvacıntamanıvyakhya the first great work of the Navadvipa (Nadia) school had at least three distinguished pupils Śiī-Caitanya Mahāpiabhu, the famous Vaisnava saint and founder of the Gaudiya school, Raghunatha Suoman, Vaisnava the great logician and Kṛṣnānanda Āgainavāgīśa, the author of the Tantrasāra Raghunatha wrote two outstanding works, viz, the Didhiti and the Padaithakhandana in the fifteenth century AD Jagadisa (end of the sixteenth century AD) and Gadadhara (seventeenth century A.D.) are reputed thinkers of the modern school, who wrote beside many commentaties, the Sabdasalti pialusila and the Lyaptipaneala respectively. Visy mathy s Nyayasutiai ftt (1631 v.b.) is mother important work.

The logicians of the old school recognize sixteen cate\_ories while those of the modern school who have been greatly infinenced by the Virsesil vsystem reduce them to secon only The logicitus of both schools accept four means of proof vir perception (pratyal-a) inference (anumana) inglogy (upamana) and verbal testimony (sabda) They do not ulunt of the self manifestation of a committon Like the Vaisesika the Navi icanils the world is a composite of external unchangeable and cruseless itoms. The soul in the Ny iva system is a field substitutive being which has certain analytics. The God (lsviri) is the Supreme Spirit or the Universal Soul who acts as the Creator of the universe in the capacity of an efficient cause (nimittal arana) while the atoms are the material cause (upadanal arana) A tine knowledge (tattia mana) of the categories leads to the liberation (multi) of the sont in bondage and the liberated soul is essentially conscious

Funda mental concepta II Vaiseşika

Introduction The Vaisesika system which is also called the Aulukya philosophy, is closely akin to the Nyāya system. It is, however, presumed that the earliest extant literature of this system is older than what is available in the Nyāya system. Thus while the Vaisesikasūtras of Kaṇāda (Kanabhakṣa, Kanabhuk or Kāśvapa) and the Padārthadharmasamgraha of Piasastapāda, evince no influence of the Nyāya system, the Nyāyasūtras of Gautama and the Bhāsya of Vātsyāyana betray the fact that they have been greatly influenced by the views of the Vaiseṣika system

Works on Vaisesika The Vaisesikasūtras of Kanāda which are of unknown date but are generally assigned to a date which is later than 300 BC, received additions from time to time. They are divided into ten books. The work of Praśastapāda which is generally regarded as a commentary on the Vaisesikasūtras may be viewed as an original contribution to the Vaiśesika system. Praśastapāda is usually assigned to the end of the fourth century a d., though Dr. Keith makes him later than Dignāga, but earlier than Uddyotakara. There are four noted commentaries on the work of Praśastapāda and they are

(1) the Tyomarate by Vyomisivacarya ahas Vyomašeklina or Sivaditya (of nul nown date, probably of the ninth century an) (2) the Nyayal andali by Sudhara (list pirt of the tenth century 1 D), (3) the Kiranaiali and the Lal anatale by Udayana (last part of the tenth century and (1) the Nyayaldarati by Srivitsi or Vallabha tprobably towards the end of the tenth or the beginning of the eleventh century an) Sankara Upaskara (latter half of the fifteenth century a D ) is one important commentals on the Varieul asutras of Kanada Laugāksi Bhāsharas Tarlalaumudi is another worl bised on Prasastapada s treatise

Among manuals belonging to both Nyaya and Vaisesika systems of Indian philosophy, may be mentioned Sivadity as Saptapadarthi (eleventh century additional as Indianal as Aces amisers Tarlabha as (threeenth or fourteenth century add). Annumbatta s Tarlasamgraha and Dipila (sixteenth or seventeenth century add), lagadisas Tarlamita (1635 add) und Visanithas Bhasapaniceheda or Karlavali (seventeenth century add) and its famous commentary Siddhantamuktavali by him

Manuals of Nyāya & Vaišeşika self Jayanaiayana's (seventeenth century AD) Vivrti is another important compendium of the Varsesika school

The Vaisesika system which in broader details agrees with the Nyaya, accepts siv categories to which a seventh was added later on It recognizes only two means of knowledge, viz, perception and inference It does not accept verbal testimony as an independent means of proof, but as one included in inference Both the Vaisesika and the Naiyayika are advocates of what is known in philosophical teims as Asatkāiyavāda (the doctrine of the creation of the nonexistent effect) and Alambhavada (the doctrine of initiation which makes the universe an effect newly produced from the eternal atoms) In the state of liberation, the soul in Vaisesika conception retains consciousness (jñāna), while in the

The Sānkhya system is universally believed to be the oldest of the existing systems of Indian philosophy. The Sānkhya views are found in the Upanisads, in the Mahābhārata, in the Law-books of Manu and in the medical works of Caraka and others. Indian tradition ascribes the

Nyāya view the released soul is conscious

Fundamental concepts of Vaisesika

III Sānkhya

Introduction uthorship of the system to the size Lipilian meanation of I oid Visin The successors of Lipilia were Asini Piñersikhi, Gaizva ind Ululi Professor Gribe makes Piñersikhi i contemporary of the great Minfansist Sabirasanami (sometime between 100 v p. and 300 v p.) Chinese tradition aseribes the inthorship of the Sashutanta to Pañe isil ha while Varsigniya zets the same credit in other's opinion

The Sanlhyalanda is the cillest known work of the Sanlhya system. It is believed that have also wrote this work in the third century a p<sup>-1</sup>. An important commentary on the Landa is that of Gaudapada. The Malharavette is mother commentary which is regarded by some to be the source of Gaudapada's commentary while others

Vorks on Inkhya

- <sup>1</sup> A Chinose tradition ascribes to Vindhyavīsin the writing of a work of Vīraiginya Professor Takal usu indentifies Vindhyavīsin with Isvarakṣni In that case the hānila of Isvarak na has an eacher basis Gunaratna however regards Vindhyavisin and Isvaraka na as different Isvarakṣnia was earher than Vasubindhu who is now assigned to the fourth century A D The Karikā was translated into Chinese by Paramīrthi (sixth century AD)
  - Whether he is the same as the author of the

assign a later date to it Yet another commentary on the Sānkhyakārīkā is the Yuhtıdīpıhā which is wrongly ascribed to Vācaspati The Sānkhyatattvakaumudī of Vacaspati (middle of the ninth century AD) is a most popular work of this system Another popular work is the Sānkhyapravacanasiitia which contains six chapters The authorship of this work is attributed to one Kapıla But this Kapıla cannot be identical with the founder sage of this system, tor the work cannot but be assigned to such a late date as the fourteenth century ID, since it is not referred to even in the Sarvadarsanagana of Madhava (touteenth century AD) Annuddha's Sānkhyasūti avrtti which was composed in the fifteenth century AD, is an important work commenting on the Sankhyapravacanasitra Sankhyapravacanabhasya of Vijianabhiksu, (sixteenth century AD) a commentary on the Sānkhyapravacanasūtra, is the most important work of the system Vijñanabhiksu wiote another work on Sānkhya known as the Sankhyasara

 $M\bar{a}nd\bar{u}kyak\bar{a}nk\bar{u}$  cannot be decided, and some seek to place him in the eighth century A D

The Sanlhya system is essentially dua listic, maximuch as it speaks of Parusa (Spirit) and Prakrti (Matter) as the two Ultimate Realities The fundamental position of this system is that 'cause is the entity in which effect lies in a subtle form Thus this system advocates the doctune of Satkaryavada The world is said to be the evolution of Prakrti which is its material cause Praketi lias been described to be of the nature of equilibrium of the triple Gunus sattia (purity stuff) jajas (pression stuff) and tamas (mertin stuff) Purusa is defined as Pure Spirit which is different from Piaketi and Puinsas are many in number A Supreme Spirit (Isvara) or God is not admitted to exist in so many words The Sankhya system acknowledges the authority of three means of knowledge viz perception inference and verbal testimony

The Yoon and the Sanl hya systems me used as complementally aspects of one whole system. While the Sankhya system synthese theory, the Yoga signifies 'practice. In the Upanisads, the Mahabharata, the Juna and the Buddhist literatures. Yoga practices have been mentioned.

Fundamen tal concepts of Säükbya

IV Yoga

Introduc

The Yogasutras of Patamah form the

earliest extant literature on the Yoga system Yogasūtias are divided into tour chapters known as Samādhi (Concentration), Sādhana (Practice), Vibhūti (Miraculous Powers) and Karvalya (Emancipation) It was Vyasa who, according to modern scholars, is said to have written a masterly commentary on the Yogasutras about the fourth century AD, though traditionally he is believed to be the same as the author of the Mahābhārata Vācaspati wiote an interesting and learned gloss on the Vyāsabhāsya known as the Tattvavaisāradī Nagesabhatta of the eighteenth century AD, wrote another gloss on the Vyāsabhāsya known as the *Chāyā* Other important works on the Yoga system are the Rājamārtanda of Bhoja (eleventh century AD) and the Yogavārttika and the Yogasāraşamqraha of Vijňanabliksu (sixteenth century

Vijnanabhiksu ciiticizes Vacaspati and

on ;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> It is traditionally believed that Patanjali, the author of the Yogasūtias is the same person as the great grammarian of that name who wrote the Māhūbhūsya in the middle of the second century B C But there is no positive evidence to prove the identity and some modern scholars are positively against this

brings the Yoga system nearer to the philo sophy of the Upamsids

The loga system discusses how through methodical effort of concentration of mind we can attain perfection. It teaches us how to control the different elements of human nature both physical and psychical The Yoga system explains fully the principles recording to which 'the physical body, the active will and the understanding mind are to be harmomeally brought under control This 15 technically lnown by the There name Royal Yoga (Ranyoga) is yet a magical side of Yoga (Hathayoga) which describes how to perform introles of various nature Too much indulgence in this Hathayoga serves as an obstacle to the attainment of real Perfection

The Yogs system unterrally differs from the Sankhya at least in one essential point viz, that while the latter system does not explicitly speak anything of God the former regards God as a third category besides Rājayoga & Hathayoga

Yoga & Sänkhya comparison

view Bhoja in the introductory verses of the Rajamār tanda makes a suggestion to the effect that Patanjah (author of the Mahābhayya) Patanjah (author of the Yogasūtras) and Caraka (author of the Carakasamhita) are identical

Prakṛti and Purusa and holds that devotion to the Lord is also one of the means of Release (Karvalya).

The Pürvamimamsa, Karmamimamsa or the Mimamsa system mainly interprets and explains Vedic injunctions and their applications, and as such it has a unique importance of its own

The earliest literature on the Purvamīmāmsā aie the Pūrvamīmāmsāsūtras of Jannini who, according to modern scholars, wrote in all probability in the touth century BC The orthodox tradition, however, makes Jaimin a disciple of Vyasa, the author of the Mahābhārata It is held by some that the Mimāmsāsūtias are later than both the Nyāyasūtras and the Yogasūtias Šabaia wiote his commentary on the Mīmāmsāsūtias probably in the first century B.c Professor Jacobi thinks that the Vrtti quoted by Sabara, belongs to a period between 200 AD and 500 AD, while Di Keith holds 400 AD to be the earliest date for it Sabara's predecessors were Upavarşa, Bodhayana, Bhaitrinitra, Bhavadasa and Su Ganganath Jha identifies MMBhavadasa with the Vrttikaia referred to in the Śābarabhāsya Both the Mīmāmsā-

V Pūrvamīmāmsā

Introduction

Works on Pūrvamīmāmsā sutras and the Bhasya were interpreted differently by three different schools of thought isociated with the names of Pribhäkiri, Kinnari i ind Minari The school of Minari is known by name alone

Probbakara who was called Guida mmnamsaka and Guru wrote the Brhati i commentary on the Bhasya of Sibiri, pio bably about 600 vn According to some Prabhākiri pieceded Kum'irili, while the tradition runs that he was a mund of Kunna nda Sähkinäthiis Rjurimala which is i commentary on the Brhati, was written about the ninth century vii Another in portant work of the same author is the Pia laranapañeila, i good ind nseful manual of the Prabhal iri system Sahl mithi his re ferred to Dhanuakirti Bhav mathes Naga viiela (c 1050 1 p -- 1150 1 D) 15 another important work of this school Vacaspiti in his Nyayal and a differentiates between two sub schools of the Prabhal arts, viz old and new

Kumānia is i greit name in Indian philosophy, noted for his spinited zeal for Brahmanical orthodoxy. It was he who fought courageously grainst the onsluights of Buddhism, and but for the stand he took up, much of Brahmanical heritage of which

Prābhākara school

ichool Bhāţţa

we feel proud today, would have been Kumānla's Ślokavārttika, Tantravārttīka and Tuptīkā are the three great works The first one, which is in verse, is a commentary on the first part of the first chapter of the Mimamsasutras The second which is in prose, occasionally interspersed with verses, takes us to the end of the third chapter, while the third covers the rest. Kumārīla is earlier than Sankara and is usually assigned to 750 AD, though some new data point to the fact that he hved in the seventh century AD The Ślokavārttika was commented upon by Umbeka or Bhavabhūti (eighth century AD), by Sucantamisia (not later than the middle of the thirteenth century  $\Lambda D$ ) in his  $K\bar{a}$  sika and by Parthasarathimisia (according to the orthodox tradition, tenth century AD, according to Professor Radhakirshnan, 1300 AD) in his Nyāyaratnākara The Tantravārttīha was commented upon by Bhavadevabhatta (eleventh century AD) in his Tautātīta matatīlaka and by Someśvai abhatta (c 1200 AD) m his Nyāyasudhā Venkatadīksita wiote his commentary on the Tuptīhā known as the Vārttakābharana Mandana (eighth century AD) is the next great

nune after Kumārila who is reported to be Mandana's teacher and father in law Mandana who is earlier than Vācaspati and is traditionally identified with Snresvaia and Visvaiūpa, wiote his Vidhivitela, Bhavanu vivela, Vibhramavivela and Mimamsanul ia mani <sup>1</sup> The first was commented upon by Vācaspati in his Nyayalanika

Among independent works on the Mimanisa system, may be mentioned Sastradivil a of Parthasarathuméra, the Jammyanyayamala of Madhava (fourteenth century 1D), the Upal ramaparal rama and the Vidhirasayana of Appayyıdıksita, the Mimanisanyayapial asa of Apodeva (seven teenth century AD), the Arthasamaraha of Laugaksibhäslain (seventeenth ecutury AD) the Bhatladipika, the Mimamsal austu bha and the Bhallarahasua of Khandadeva (seventeenth century AD), the Bhallacinta manı of Gagabhatta (seventeenth century AD), the Manamenodana of Naravanabhatta (seventeenth century A D ) and the Mimamsa paribhasa of Krsnayajvan (eighteenth century AD) Ramakrsnabhatta, author of the Yul to

Independent works on Mimäinsä

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Sphotasiddhi of Mandana which explains the grammarian's doctrine of Sphota is an important work

snehaprapūranī, Somanātha, author of the Mayūkhamālikā, Dinakarabhaṭṭa and Kamalākarabhaṭṭa belong to the Bhāṭṭa school.

Important concepts of Mimamsa The Pürvamimāmsā system recognizes the self-validity of knowledge. Jaimm accepts only three means of knowledge perception, inference and verbal testimony. To these three Prabhākara adds two more, viz, comparison (upamāna) and implication (urthāpatti). Kumārila also recognizes non-apprehension (anupalabdhi) as a means of knowledge. It is generally believed that the Pūrvamīmāmsā has not accorded any significant status to God, though, in the Vedāntasūtras, Jaimmi has been represented as theistic in his views.

VI Vedānta

Introduc tion The Uttaramimāmsā, Brahmamimāmsā or the Vedānta is the most popular of all orthodox systems of Indian philosophy. The earliest teachers of the school were Āsmarathya, Bādari, Kāṛṣnājim, Kāśakṛṭṣna, Audulomi and Ātreya. These teachers along with Jaimim are mentioned in the Vedāntasūtras.

Scholars differ with regard to the age when the Vedāntasūtras or the Brahmasūtras

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Introduction to the Pūrvamimāmsā, Dr Pashupatmath Shastri, pp 132-8

of Badurayana were composed Modern Indian scholars are inclined to assign as early a date as the sixth century BC, while others would prefer to fix the date between 400 BC and 200 AD

The Vedantasutras contain four chapters The first discusses the Brahman as the Ultimate Reality. The second deals with objections raised by rival schools of philosophy. The third proposes to study the means of attaining Brahmavidya, while the fourth discusses the results of Brahmavidya. The Vedantasutras are in intimate agreement, with the teachings of the Upamsads. As such Bädarayana has evineed his great and abiding reverence for the Vedas. Unlike the Sankhya, the Vedantasutras are unliked to the Vedas.

The Vedanta sutras

The orthodex Indiau tradition makes the author identical with Vyīsa the author of the Vahābhārata Saiharācīrya however does not clearly state any where that Vyīsa (or Kisnadvupīyana born as an incarnation of the Vedicasuga Apīntaratamas by the direction of Lord Viṣnu) was the author of the Brahmasūtras. He calls this author invariably as Bīdarīyana and never as Vyīsa and does not explicitly say that the two are identical. But Vīcaspati Tinandagur Rīmīnuja Madha Vallabha and Bala deva identify Bīdarīyana with Vyīsa

Badarayana champions the cause of of monism when he holds that it is the One Brahman which is the Transcendent Reality. Badarayana openly refutes the Sankhya doctime which conceives Puiusa and Piakṛti as two independent entities The conception of Maya as the illusory principle which shuts out the vision of the Biahman and reflects It as many, is a great contribution to the philosophical thought of the world The world exists so long as the vision of the Biahman does not dawn upon us. While the Sankhya maintains that the world is an evolution (parmāma) of Prakṛti, the Vedanta holds that the world is an appearance (vivarta) of the Brahman

Early teachers of Vedanta

Among the early teachers of Vedanta mention must be made of Gaudapada who in his famous  $K\bar{a}ik\bar{a}s$  has made a systematic treatment of the monistic Vedanta Another important author is Bhartrhair (probably belonging to the first part of the seventh century AD) who is said to have written a commentary on the Brahmasūtras another author hinted at by Sankara is Bhaitrpiapañca according to whom Biahman at once, one and dual. 18 hım Sankara speaks Besides of one

Vrttikāia, who remains even now unidenti fied <sup>1</sup>

The greatest of all thinkers on monistic Vedanta is Sankaia who, neeording to Pio fessor Max Muller and other modern scholars, wrote his immortal Sairral abhasya during 788 AD -820 AD The orthodox tradition. however, assigns him to the latter half of the seventh century AD (686 AD -720 AD) Besides the philosophical insight which marks his writings, his style and diction have always lent a unique distinction to them Sankara has written commentaries the ten major Upanisads and his commen tary on the Brhadaranyal opanicad has, in particular, attracted the attention of many an able thinker Sankara's expositions have earned for him a distinction which may be described in this way that by the expression Vedanta we seem invariably to understand his views on it

The Sariral abhasya was commented upon by two schools of thought known as

<sup>1</sup> Whether he is the same as Upavarsa a brother of Varşa the teacher of Pīnim or Bodhīyana or whether the two sages are identical or whether there was a third author who passed as Vṛttikīra cannot be definitely ascertained

Sankara ige & works Vivarana school the Vivarana school and the Bhamati school The original source of the former school is found in the Pancapādikā of Padmapāda who is said to have composed the commentary on the first five quarters (padas) of the Brahmasütra-kārīrahabhāsya of which only the commentary on the first four Sūtras are now available The age of Padmapada is about the end of the seventh and the beginning of the eighth century and, as he is represented as the semon-most disciple of Sankara. The Vivarana which is a gloss on the Pañcapādikā, was composed by Prakāśātman (probably, ninth century a D , 1200 A D according to Professor Radhakrishnan) According to him the Brahman is both the content (visaya) and the locus (āsiaya) of Maya Vidyaranya who is generally identified Madhava (fourteenth century AD), wrote a summary on the Vivarana known as the Vivaranaprameyasamqraha

Bhāmatī school The Bhāmatī school has been well represented in the Bhāmatī of Vācaspati, the Kalpataru and the Śāstradarpana of Amalānanda (thirteenth century AD) and the Parimala of Appayyadīkṣita (sixteenth seventeenth century AD.)

The literature on monistic Vedanta, as

interpreted by Sanlara, is extremely nich Suresynn (who is traditionally identified with Mandana who later became a disciple of Sanlara), wrote his Taittiriyopanisad bhasaarattila, Brhadaranyalabhasaarat tika and Nardarmyasiddhi about the end of the seventh or the beginning of the eighth century AD 1 Mandana s Brahmasiddhi 18 in outstanding work in which he puts forward many original ideas The Samkeparanala was written in verse by Saivajnatinaninni in the ninth century AD The Islanddhi of Avimuktatinan (or Vinjuktātinan) is ano ther notable work of the school In 1190 vp. Sri Harsa who is noted for his trenchant logic and Advaita polemics, composed his Khandanal handal hadya-z inasterly contri bution In the thirteenth century ap Citsukha wrote on the same lines his Pra tual tattiam admika or Citsul he In the fourteenth century ID Vidyāranya wrote his Pañcadasi, a highly popular work in verse and the Juannul tivivela a woil of consi derable importance. Vidyaranya and his teacher Bharatitutha jointly wrote the

Works on Monistic

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 1}$  Some would rather place him in the first half of the minth century A D

Varyāsikanyāyamālā. The Vedāntasāra of Sadananda, is a good manual of monistic It was composed in the fifteenth century A D. Another epistemological manual on monistic Vedanta is the Vedantaparibhāsā which was composed by Dhaimarajadhvarindra in the sixteenth century AD Ramakrsna (sixteenth seventeenth century AD.) wrote the commentary Sikhā-Ānandagm's Nyāyantinaya manı on it (fourteenth century (D) and Govindananda's Ratnaprabhā (fifteenth century 10) are two other commentaries on Sankara's Brahmasūtrabhāsya Prakāšananda's Siddhāntamuktāvalī (fifteenth century AD) and Appayyadīksita's Nyāyaraksāmani and Siddhāntalesasamqiaha are other valuable manuals of the monistic school Madhusūdana Sarasvatī, a Bengalı of the sixteenth century AD, wrote his monumental work the Advartasiddhi which contains an intiicate and abstruce criticism of the school of Madhva as represented in the Nyāyāmrta of Vyāsatīrtha or Vyāsarāja (last part of the fifteenth century AD) The Gaudabrahmānandī or Laghucandrikā of Biahmananda, is a defence of the Advartasiddhi against the criticism of Ramacarya

(alias Ramatirtha oi Vyasarama) in his Tarangini (latter part of the sixteenth century AD)

The Brahmasutras of Badarayana have been differently interpreted by a number of great thinkers of different schools, all of Schools of whom wrote their works after Sankara One such thinker was Bhaskara who wrote his Bhasya sometime about the end of the eighth or the first past of the minth century AD Bhaskara was a champion of the doctaine of the simultaneous identity and difference (Bhedabhedayada)

(i) Bhaskara

Ramanuja is another great commentator on the Brahmasutras whose age is assigned to the eleventh century AD His philoso phy is based on the doctrine of qualified monism (Visistadvaitavada) according to which God is the one Reality, but is a composite of the conscious individual selves and the non conscious material world Rama nulas chief sources of inspiration were the Tamil Gathas of the Alvaras or Vaisnava sunts of South India, the chief of them being Nathamuni and Yamunacarya (tenth century AD) The name of his commen trij is the Stibhaeya The Stutaprakasika

(11) Rama

of Sudaisana (thriteenth century A.D.) is a well-known gloss on the Śrībhāsya Venkatanātha Vedāntadesika (thriteenth century AD) was perhaps the greatest successor of Rāmānuja. He was the author of the Śatadīsanī, the Tattratīkā (a commentary on the Śrībhāsya) and the Sesvaramīmāmsā

(111) Nim

ć

Nimbarka is another commentator on the Brahmasūtras His commentary is called the Vedantapārijātasaurabha He advocates the doctrine of dualistic dualism (Dvaitādvaitavāda) which is somewhat akin to the view of Bhaskara with but mmor technical differences. Nimbarka lived about the eleventh century and His disciple Šiinivāsācāija wiote a commenas the Vedāntakaustubha known Keśavakāśmīnu, a follower of this school (fifteenth century AD), wrote a commentary on the Gītā known as the Tattvapiakā hā One more commentator on the Brahma-

(1v) Madhva

Besides the commentary he wrote, he justified his interpretation in another work called the *Anuvyākhyāna* He advocates the theory of pure dualism (Dvartavāda)

Yet another commentator is Vallabha who lived in the last part of the fifteenth

century and the first part of the sixteenth century AD His commentary is called the Anubhasua The theory he advocates is pure non dualism (Suddhadvaitavada) He looks upon the world as a reality which is in its subtlest form the Brahman

Last, though not the least, is the school of the Gaudiya Vaisnavas who advocate the doctrine of inscrutable identify and difference (v) Gaudiya (Acıntvabhedabhedavada) Though they call themselves a branch of the Madhya school yet in views they are more akin to the school of Numbarl a and sometimes follow Sankara also The school traces its origin to the teachings of Sii Krsna Caitania who flourished in Bengal in the sixteenth century AD In the Gaudiya Vaisnava school, Rüpa gosvamin a contemporary and disciple of Sri Cutanya, was a great versatile scholar who wrote many works on drama, thetonic and philosophy His Varmaratorini, a com mentary on the tenth chapter of the Bhagarata, is an important contribution to the literature of the Gaudiya Vaisnavas His nepbew and disciple Jivagosvamin also was a great scholar and a prolific writer His six Sandar bhas (Kramasandar bha, Tatt vasandarbha, Bhaktisandarbha, etc.) and

the Sarvasamvādinī are outstanding works on Gaudīya Vaisnava philosophy. Baladeva Vidyābhūsana (eighteenth century v.D.) wrote the Govindabhāṣya, the commentary on the Brahmasūtras, written according to the Gaudīya Vaisnava point of view. His Prameyaratnāvalī is also a popular work.

В

# HETERODOX SYSTEMS

I Buddhism Introduc-

The Buddhists are the followers Gotama Buddha who preached his doctrines in the language of the people sometime in the sixth and the fifth centuries BC. The Buddhist Canonical literature or the Tipitaka which was written in Pali, has three (1) the Vinayapitaka, (2) the Suttapulaha and (3) the Abhidhammapulaha Besides the Canonical works, the Buddhist literature possesses a rich number of non-Canonical works which were also written in Pali It must be mentioned here that the Buddhist literature has a still wider scope and it includes fairly a long list of Sanskiit works an account of which has already been set forth in a previous chapter 1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Chap V, pp 51-75

The Buddhist philosophers are broadly divided into the four schools—the Sautian tikas, the Vaihhäsikas, the Madhyamikas and the Yogācāras Like Jamism, Buddhism also Buddhism does not accept the authority of the Vedas The Buddhists acknowledge only two means of knowledge-perception and infer ence Though there are sharp lines of demarcation among the four schools referred to above, they are unanimous in their attitude against Brahmanic culture I tsing, the Chinese traveller, says-Those who worship the Bodhisattvas and read the Mahayanasütras are the Mahaya nists and those who do not perform such acts, are the Hinayanists The Mahayanists are divided into two branches-(1) Madhya mika and (2) Yogacara The Hinayanists also have two divisions—(1) Vaibhasika and (2) Sautrantika Both are called Sarvastivadins

The Vaihhasikas reject the authority of the Sūtras and attach themselves to the Vibhasa, the commentary on the 4bhidha Katyayanıputra s Jnanaprasthana (composed about three hundred years after Buddha's Nirvana) is their chief work. The commentary Mahavibhasa was compiled by five hundred Arhats led by Vasumitra, pro

(1) Vaibha

bably after the great council under Kaniska. Fragments of *Udānavagga*, *Dhammapada*, *Ehottarāgama*, Aśvaghosa's *Buddhacarīta* and Āryaśūra'ś *Jātakamālā* seem to belong to this school Bhadanta (third century AD) Dharmatiāta and Ghoṣaka are other prominent exponents of this school

(11) Sautrāntika

According to Hiuen Tsang (Yuan Chwang) Kumaialata (oi Kumaialabdha), a contempolary of Nagaijuna, was the founder of the Sautiantika school The Sautiantikas derive their name from the fact that they take then stand on the Sütias To be piecise, unlike the Vaibhasikas, they adhere to the Suttapitaka, (the section consisting of the discourses of Loid Buddha) to the rejection of the two other Pitakas It is unfortunate that all works of this school are no longer Dhaimottaia, the logician, and extant Yasomitra, the author of the commentary on Vasubandhu's Abhidharmakosa, are said to be the followers of this school

(111) Mādhyamıka

Ĺ

The founder of the Mādhyamika school was Nāgāijuna who is said to have written the Śatasāhasrīkā-prajňāpāramītā, the latest of the Mahāyānasūtias It may be mentioned in this connection that the Prajňāpāramītās declare that the highest wisdom consists of

the knowledge of Void (Sunyata) The most representative work of the Madhyamika school is the Madhyamilalwila or the Madhuamil asutra of Nagarinn which con sists of four hundred verses in twenty seven chapters Nagarium wrote a commentary of his own worl which is named the Akutobhaya Unfortunately the worl has not come down to us in Sinskrit Among other worls written by Nagu ming are the Yultisatila the Sunyata saptati, the Pratityasamutpadahidaya the Mahayanavimsala ind the Vigrahavyarar tane Nagarinna is usually placed between the first century BC (recording to the tradition preserved in the irelaives of the Dalai Lumi) and the fourth century AD (according to Dr S C Vidyabhūsana) In my case he eannot be later than 401 vp. when Kummanana tran slated his life into Chinese, Santideva (seventh century and, the author of the Bodhicai yavatara and the Silsasamuccaya is named sometimes as a Vadhyannka and sometimes as an advocate of the Yogacara doetrine The eoimmentary named the Prasannapada, writ ten by Candrakirti in the sixth or the seventh eentury A D , is an important contribution to the Madhyamika literature Arvadeva who

is a disciple of Nāgārjuna, wrote the Catussataka which is another important work of the Mādhyamka school. It was commented on by Candrakīrti. Other works by Āryadeva are the Cittavisuddhiprakarana, the Hastavālaprakarana and two other small treatises constituting a kind of commentary on some sections of the Lankāvatāra.

(iv) Yogācāra

The founder of the Yogacara school was Martreyanatha, the teacher of Asanga who is generally believed to have clearly expressed the implications of his system. Asanga is at least as late as the third century AD, though some would place him in the fourth or the fifth century AD According to the Yogacaia school nothing exists beyond consciousness (vijnāna) The Abhisamayālankārakārikās and probably the text of the Mahāyānasūtrālankāra attributed by Protessoi Lévi to Asanga and the Yogācāraafter the bhūmiśāstia, a piose work manner of the Abhidharma text, are the Maitreyanātha 1 Aśvaghosa works of

The name of Asanga has become more famous than that of his teacher Martieyanātha This explains why the works of the latter are attributed to the former According to the Tibetans and Hiuen Tsang the Yogācūrabhūmišūstra has been ascribed to Asanga

a follower of the Yogacara school mnong other works the who wrote Mahayana raddhotpada sutra is detiiled in a previous chapter 1 Vasubandhii Asanga is a great name in Buddhist literatine who is assigned to the fourth century an, though some place him in the fifth century an His work the Abhidhas malosa in six hundred verses which has not reached us in the Sanskrit original is a listing contribution to Buddhist philosophy In this work the unthor has refuted chiefly the views of the Vaisesikas The Sankhya theory has been criticized in his Paramarthasaptati Yiso mitra wrote a commentary on the Abhi dharmalosa known is the 1bhidharmalosa iyakhya the enliest translation of which into Chinese was done in the sixth century ID The work is highly important as it enables us to I now the views of the Vaibhasikas and the Santi intikus Vasn bandlin wrote his monumental treatise the Vijnaptimatiatasiddhi consistin of two works the Vimatika and the Trimal a which explain the doctime of the reality of confew ciousness other works Pañcasl andhapral arana, the Vyakhyayul tr

<sup>1</sup> Ch V p 66

the Karmasiddhiprakarana and two commentaries on the Mahāyānasūtrālankāra and the Pratityasamutpādasūtra, the Madhyāntavibhāga and the Aparimitāyussūti opadeša are said to have been written by Vasubandhu Among the adherents to the school of Vasubandhu mention must be made of Sthuamati, Dignaga, Dharmapala Silabhadia Sthiiamati wiote a commentary on Vasubandhu's Trunkıkā-Vijñapti while Dhaimapala had a commentary on the Vimsatikā-Vijhapti Dignāga was the disciple of Vasubandhu, brother of Ārya Asanga Dignaga's date also is not accurately fixed. Thus while some assign him to the fifth century AD, others place him between 520 AD and 600 AD, and make him a contemporary of Gunaprabha, the teacher of King Śii-Haisa of Kanauj Mallinātha, the famous commentator of the fifteenth century AD, seems to find a reference to this Dignaga in Kālidāsa's Meghadūta Dignaga's Pramānasamuccaya, Pramānasāstrapravesa and other works are preserved in Tibetan lations, and are very popular in only Sanskrit work of Dignaga which has recently come down to us is the Nyāyapı avela Dhaimakiiti (sixth or

seventh century (D) wrote a valuable woil the Nyayabindu which was commented on by Dhaimottara (ninth century (D)) in his Nyayabindu(d) a Silabhadia (seventh century (AD)) was the head of the Buddhist Vihara at Nalanda and Yuan Chwang (Huen Psang) acquired from him his knowledge of Buddhist philosophy Santaral sita in the eighth century (AD) wrote a voluminous work, the Tattrasamgraha, in which he has criticized the views of many rivid schools of philosophers. His woil was commented on by Kamalasila in his Pañcika.

The Junus are the followers of Junu which is a title applied to Vaidhamana the last prophet. Vardhamana said that he was the expounder of tenets that had been successively held by twenty three cather siges. The Jamas are divided into two schools. (1) Saetambara (white robed) and (2) the Digambara (sly robed or nude). We are told that this division took place as early as the first century a D. The

II Jainism

Two schools

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A late treatise on the Buddhist philosophy is the work of Advayavajra who is assigned to the close of the eleventh or the beginning of the twelfth century A D

Śvetāmbara Jamas possess both Canonical philosophical works, while Digambaia Jamas have no Canonical literature The Canonical literature of the Svetambara sect comprises eighty-four books among which forty-one are Sūtias Both the schools disregard the authority of the Veda and are, therefore, called heterodox schools of philosophy by the orthodox Hindu philosophers

also held in high esteem by the Svetambaia sect, is Kundakunda whose works are all Piakut ın written

first known Digambaia writei in Sanskiit, is Umasvamin, also called Umasvati (third century AD) whose Tattvārthādhrgamasūtra (m ten

The earliest Digambara author who is

The

chapters) is regarded as an authoritative text by both sects Siddhasena Divākaia is also a well-known Digambaia philosopher

who wrote probably in the fifth century AD His commentary on the Tattvār thādhigama-

sūtia and his two other works the Nyāyāvatāra and the Sammatrtarkasūtra are all

important contributions. In the first half of the eighth century AD, Samantabhadia,

a Digambaia, wrote a commentary on the Tattvān thādhıgamasūtna which contains an

Digam-

introduction called the Aptamimamsa which was known both to Kumanla and Vacaspati Sunantabhadias other works he Yul tya nusasana and Ratnakarandasraval acara Po the same century mail mobibility hied Akalanka amon, whose works the Tattian tharajavarttika and the Aslasati commen tunes on the Tattvarthadhigamasutia and the Aptanumanisa respectively, may be mentioned His views were strongly opposed by Kumanlı It was Vidyanandı who defended Akalank 1 nunst the criticisms of Kninania by writing the Astasahasri the Tattvarthaslol avarttil a the Aptapariksa the Patraparilea the Pramanaparilea and the Pramananirnaya Manikyanandin wiote his Pariksamul hasatia which is based on the Nyayavınıscaya of Akalanka Prabhaeandra who is said to be a pupil of Kundakunda wrote two independent works on logic, the Prameyakamala martanda and the Nyayakumudacandrodaya It is usually behaved that Problemandia was a pupil of Akalanka, but it is stated in the epilogue of the Prameyakamalamartanda that the worl was composed during the reign of Bhoja of Dhara Subhacandra is another Digambara Jama who wrote his Jñānārnava, a philosophical work in verse, at the close of the eighth or the beginning of the ninth century VD

(11) Svetāmbara

Haribhadia is the earliest Svetāmbara Jama philosopher who wrote two important works, the Saddarsanasamuccaya and the Lokatattvanimaya, besides a commentary Nyāyapraveša of Dignāga, Yogadriisamuccaya, the Yogabindu and the Dharmabindu His date is believed to be the ninth century and Towards the close of the ninth century AD Amrtacandia wrote the Tattearthasara and the Purusarthasiddhyupāya besides a few commentaries Hemacandia is a great Jama philosopher whose Pramānamīmāmsā is an important work on Jama philosophy Mallisena wrote his the thuteenth century AD Syādvādamanjarī, a commentary on Hemacandia's Anyayogavyavacchedikā To the same century belongs Asadhara among whose mention should be made of the woi ks Dharmāmrta Devendrasūri, another writer of the same century, wrote the Siddhapañcāsikā, the Vandā uvrtti and the Upamitibhavaprapañcā-kathā-sāroddhāra In the fifteenth century Sakalakirti wrote a voluminous work the Tattyārthasāradīpaka in twelve

chapters To the same century belonged Srutasagara who wrote the Jinendra yajñavidhi and the Tattvarthadipila In the seventeenth century flourished Yaso vijaya who wrote the Jianabindupialarana and the Jianasara

The substance of the doctume of the Indian materialists is aptly and very briefly summed up in the allegorical diama, the Prabodhacandrodaya-'Lohavata is the only Sastra In this system perceptual evidence is the only authority. The elements are four in number-earth, water, fire and wind Wealth and enjoyment are the objects of human existence. Matter can think there is no other world. Death is the end of all 'Lokayata (directed to the world of enjoyment through senses) is the Sanskrit expression for materialism. It is the name of the Sastia. The materialists are called Lokavatikas of Carvakas called as such after the name of the founder of the school

Cavaka's story is found in the Maha bharata while the doctrine is referred to in the Mahabharata (Salyaparvan and Santi parvan), the Visnupurana and the Manu smrti, as that of the Nihilists and the lII Materialism (Carvaka)

Introduc

References to Cārvāka philosophy Heretics Sometimes Carvaka is identified with Brhaspati, who incarnated himself as an atheist in order to bring ruin unto the demons. The classic authority on the materialist theory is said to be the Satras of Brhaspati, which have perished. The Sarradarkanasamaraha of Madhaya gives a summary of the teaching of the school in its first chapter. Fragmentary quotations of Sūtras and passages from works of the school now lost to us, can be traced in the polemical works of other philosophical schools.

Early teachers Among the earlier heretical teachers, mention may be made of Sanjaya the sceptic, Ajita Kesakambalin the materialist, Purana Kasyapa the indifferentist, Maskarin Gosala the fatalist and Kakuda Katyayana the elementalist

Schools of Materialism The materialists, again, were subdivided into several schools those who identified the body with the self, those who confused the self with the external senses, those who regarded the internal organ (antahkarana) as their self and so on The oft-quoted verses quoted by Mādhava, give a popular view of the materialists "While the life remains, let a man live happily, let him feed on

shee, even if he runs in debt when once the body becomes islies, how can it ever return igum? The three authors of the Ved is were the hypocrites knaves and malit prowlers etc etc

(

### MISCELLANEOUS WORKS ON PHILOSOPHY

Srikanthabha v i

a commentary on the Brahm isute i in Sukanth cahas Nilak inth c(thu teenth or fourteenth century (D) -written from the Viltaini dvait a point of view-much in the same line as that adopted by Riminum -commented on hy Appreyadikata in his Sicarla mandently (It is said that Appayya wi at first a Silvante and later was converted into an (dyantin)

Śril arabhasya

s comment us on the Brahm isutia by Scipati Panditi representiuthe Drait'ide uta point of view

Subodhini) the Visnupurana

Commentaries on hy Srullyrasvimin (twelfth or the Giti (named thirteenth century vi) - who the is claimed to be no exponent Bhagarata and of the Suddhadvata masmuch ns lıα rulers to

Visitusvamin the founder of the Suddhādvaita school in the commentary on the Bhaqavata [Vallabhācāiya (fifteenth-sixteenth centmy AD) was a later exponent of this school But as he has also referred to Citsukha, it may also be possible that he was a thinker of the Advaita school, who was rather inclined to the doctrine of Devotion (Bhahti) This soit of compromise between the doctrines of Devotion (Bhakti) and Knowledge  $(J\tilde{n}\bar{n}na)$  is also found later in the Bhahtirasānana of Madhusiidana Sarasvati who was stundy champion of the Advaita philosophy ]

Sar vadar sanasamgraha

(

by Mādhavācārya who along with his brother Sāyana, the celebrated commentator of Vedic literature, was in the court of Kings Harihara and Vīra Bukka of Vijayanagara (fourteenth century AD) and subsequently turned a Sannyāsin and passed his days in the Śingerī Matha a valuable encyclopaedia of Indian philosophy, which contains the summary of the views of at least seventeen different orthodox and heterodox schools of Indian philosophy

Sarvasıddānta sārasamaraha ascribed to Sankarīcīrya but seems to be the work of a more modern hand who was possibly one of the later chiefs of the Sankara Matha—a work in the line of the Sariadarsanasangraha though written in easy verses

Vışîtanämçtabhāşya

by Vijiinablik u (sixteenth century AD) who by writing this commentary on the Brahmasitras tried to make in the body of this work a sort of compromise between the Sinkhija and Yogaviews on one hand and the Vedintic (Upani adic) views on the other

Saktıbhāşya

by Pancinana Tarknatur of the twentieth century AD—an ingenious work in the form of a commentary on the Brahmasūtras It does not however strictly conform to the orthodox Statīgama standpoint

#### REFERENCES

Das Gupta S N History of Indian Philosophy Vols I II & III

Keith A B A History of Sanskrit Literature

Radhakrishnan S Indian Philosophy Vols I

& II

Winternitz M A History of Indian Litera ture Vol II

#### APPENDIX

### HISTORY OF THE STUDY OF SANSARIT IN THE WEST

It was in the seventeenth century that the Furopean people particularly missionaries and travellers camo to know of the Indian languages In 1651 A D Abraham Rozer published a Portugese translation of Bhaitihairs poems In 1699 A D the Jesuit Father Johann Ernst Hanxleden came to India and after getting himself acquirinted with the Sanskrit language wrote the first Sanskrit grammar in a European language Tho book however was not printed but was consulted by Fra Paolino de St Bartholomeo who wrote two Sanskrit grammars besides a number of important works It vas during the administration of Warren Hasting that the work called Vividainavasetu was compiled Under the title 4 Code of Gentoo Law it was published in English in 1776 A D Nine years later the Bhagaradatā was translated into English by Charles Wilkins who also rendered into English the Hitopadesa and the Sakuntali episodo of the Wahābhārata It was however Sir William Jones who did most to alouse the interest of Europeans in Indian literature In 1789 a D be published his English transla tion of Kulidisa's Sakuntala and this was followed by his translation of the Manusmiti the most important legal literature of uncient India It was again through his enthusiasm that the Rtusamhara of Kalidasa was published in the original text in 1792 a D. The English translation of Malidasa's works by Sir William Jones was followed by the German translation of Sal untala by Georg Forster in 1791 which attracted the attention of men like Herder and Goether The work of Jones was followed up by Henry Thomas Colebrooke who published 'A Digest of Hindu Law on Contracts and Successions' based on a composition in Sanskrit by orthodox Indian scholars He also edited a number of Sanskiit works including the Amarahośa the Astadhyani the Intopadesa and the Knatanjuniya Another Englishman who studied Sanskiit in India was Alexander Hamilton who, while returning to England in 1802 A D, was impresoned with other Englishmen at Paris under orders of Napoleon Bonaparte During the period of his imprisonment Hamilton trained up a band of European scholars who took to the study of Sanskut with earnest zeal. This is commonly referred to as the 'Discovery of Sanskirt' in the West One of Hamilton's most distinguished students was the great German scholar and poet Friedrich Schlegel, who wrote that epoch-making work 'On the Language and Wisdom of the Indians' This work introduced for the first time the comparative and the historical method also contained translations in German of many passages from the Rāmāyana, the Bhaqaradgītā, the Manusmiti and other early works Friedrich Schlegel's brother August Wilhelm student of Professor A L von Schlegel, Chezy the first French scholar in Sanskirt, not only contributed much to the study of Comparative Philology but also helped study of Sanskiit by editing texts and writing translations One of Schlegel's students was Christian Lassen who was deeply interested in Indian culture The science of Comparative Philology was founded by Franz Bopp, a student of Professor Chézy and contemporary of August Wilhelm also rendered great service to the investigation of Sanskirt literature by incorporating in his work "Conjugations-System" translations from the Rāmāyana and the Mahābhārata His Sanskiit Grammars considerably furthered the study of Sanskrit in Germany The work of Bopp in the domain of Comparative Philology was developed in a most comprehensive manner by Wilhelm von Humboldt whose interest in the philosophical works of the

Indians was of an abiding character Another noted German Fredrich Ruckert was also highly interested in Indian postry The Latin translations of the Upani ade in the beginning of the nineteenth century inspired G rman philosophers Kant Schiller and Schop phaner were highly charm d to discover the production of the highest human wisdom actual investigation of Vedic literature was fir t undertaken by briedrich Rosen in 1838 and was subsequently continued by a hand of illustrious students of the great branch Orientalist Eugene Burnouf including Rudolf Roth and F Max Muller who brought out his famous editio princeps of the Roseda with the commentary of STyana in the years 1819 75 One of Roth's distinguished students was H. Grassmann who published a complete translation of the Raceda It was during this period that Horace Hayman Wilson who came to Calcutta represented the orthodox intermetation of the Raveda by translating it on the lines of Siyana's commentary Similar work was done by Affred Ludwig who is looked upon as a forerunner of R Pischel and K F Coldner the court authors of Vedic Studies Phr. name of Thooder Anfrecht is also associated with Vedic investigations

The publication of the great St. Petershurg Dictionary (Sauskrit Worterbueb) in 1852 is an important event in the listory of progressive studies in Subshit in the West The Dictionary was compiled by Otto Bohtlingh and Rudolf Roth and published by the Academy of Fin Arts and Sines St. Petersburg. The History of Indian Literature which was published by Albrecht Weber in 1852 A. D. and was edit d. for the second time in 1876 A. D. is another important work. The edition of the Satapathabrāhmana by the same author is another outstanding contribution. The Catalogus Catalogorum published by Theodor Aufrecht in the years 1891–1836 and 1908 forms a most comprehensive list of Sans kitt authors and works and is a monumental work of its kind

Arthur Anthony Macdonell's 'Vedic Grammar' and 'Vedic Mythology' and the 'Vedic Index' by Macdonell and Aithur Bernedalo Keith, have all proved helpful works for the study of Sanskrit in Europe Maurice Bloomfield's Vedic Concordance' is another great work which has been of immense help to Vedic studies in the West William Dwight Whitney's 'Sanskiit Giammai' is yet another important treatise Edward Byle Cowell, who was Principal, Government Sanskrit College, Calcutta, gave a distinct fillip to Sanskiitic studies by his translations of the Sarvadarsanasangraha and many other important San-kut works Arthur Venis, Principal, Government Sanskrit College, Benares, also did a lot to help Sanskritic studies. Amongst European scholars who lived in India and took interest in Sanskrit learning and literature, mention may be made of J F Fleet, Vincent A Smith, Sii Alexander Cunningham, Sii John II Marshall, Sir M. A. Stein, Sir George Grierson and J. Fergusson

Among later European scholars who have done invaluable service to the cause of Sanskrit studies the names of George Buhler, J Mun Frank Kielhorn, E Roer, H Luders, Hermann Jacobi, E Senart, Sylvain Lévi, Edward Washburn Hopkins, E Hultzch, Arthur Coke Burnell, Monier Williams, Theodor Goldstuker, Richard Garbe, Paul Deussen, Julius Eggeling, George Thibaut, Julius Jolly, and Maurice Winternitz are remembered by all lovers of Sanskrit

Of living western indologists, the more important names include F W Thomas, A B Keith, L D Barnett, T Tscherbatsky, Sten Konow, Vallee Poussin, Otto Strauss, C R Lanman and Giuseppe Tucci

## INDEX

Abhayanandm 100 Abhadhänacıntamanı 171 Ibhidhanarıntamala 171 Ibhidharmarınamala 171 Ibhidharmarınamala 171 Ibhidharmakoa 71 221 226 7 Ibhidharmakoa 271 221 226 7 Ibhidharmako avyal hyu 227 Abhadharittimatifa 160 Ibhiyanasal untala 97 108 Abhınandı son of Sattinanda 86 Abhınarabharatı 163 16; Abhınav igupta 46 70n 161 163 160 167 Abhınavayanata 193 Abhınamayalankarakirik 171 226 Abhıneka 102 Aenntyabhed Thedav Tda 221 Adbinta 70n Adbintadarpana 117 Adbintasayara 191n Adiyurana 86 Advayavıtra 229n Ädya Saktı 13 Agasimata 193 Agasimata 193 Agasimata 193 Agasimata 193 Anniyurana 42 161n 171 173 Alurbudhinyasamlıta 16 Ahlole inscription 91 Ayeı G 53 Yıta Ke akambalın 234 Akalanka 231 Ükhyiyki 136 7 Al obhyayağla 69 41 utobhaya 70 225 Übelün 32n 188 Alexander 89	Hankarasamgraha 161 Hankārasarassa 167 Alala 198 Alola 198 Alola 198 Aly ras 319 Amalinunda 217 Amarreandra 87 168 Imarakosa 173 u Imaramangala 117 Amarreandra 86 173 71 Amaru 123 Amaru 123 Amaru 123 Amaru 124 Amegharytti 1>> Imylabkarati 1>7 Vantasandra 232 Imylamanthana 8) Vantunada 61 Inaudabharata 46 Anandagra 213n 218 Ananda 127 Ananda 127 Ananda 128 Anandalahara 127 Ananda vidhana 83 111 124 126 7 161 164 6 , 167 Anandara 17 Imaghar yil trurija 111 Imagaranga 183 Ananta 117 Imaghar yil trurija 112 Inardaha pitri yil 178 Anandah Pitri yil 178 Anandah Pitri yil 1 178 Anandah Pitri yil 1 178 Anandaha Com on Sinkhya silra 201 Inubkaya 221 Anubhikya 221 Inubkaya 221 Inubkaya 221 Inubkaya 216
Alexander 89 Alank Tra 154 161 2 66 Alank aralanda 81	Anubhittsvariipicirya 156 Anubyil hyana 220 Inyayogaryaracchedika 232
	gg-group more concurred 202

Anyoktimuktülatusataka, 127 Apāntaratamas, 213n Aparımıtāyussütropadesa, 226 Apastamba, 175 Apiśali, 147 Apodeva, 211 Appayyadiksita, 169, 211, 216, 218, 235 Aptam mīmsu, 231 Aptapariksu, 231 Arambhavāda, 202 Arisimha, 133, 168 Arjunavāvanīya 85 Aijunavaiman, 124 Arthasamgraha, 211 Artha\astra, 99, 143, 180 Arya Asanga, 71, 226, 226u, 228liyabhata, 188-9 Aryabhata, Aryasıddhānta, 188 Āryabhalīya, 188 Āryadeva, 71, 225 Āryāsaptati, 127 Aryasıddhunta, 188  $\bar{A}ryar{a}$ stasata, 188 Āryašūra, 68, 146, 224 Āśādhara, 232 Asatkāryavāda, 202  $\overline{A}$  $\zeta$ carya upapın $\overline{u}$ na, 43Admarathya, 212 Adoka, 53, 74 Asokīvadīna, 73 āζι ima, 41 ūśra, 216 Astīdhyīuī, 2, 20, 149, 149n; 150, 152-3, 158, n A stamah i sairaityast vaa, 126 Astingasıngı oha, 185 Aéracikitsī, 192 Aszaghosz, 49, 57, 59, 60, 65, 65n, 66n, 67, 71, 77, 99, 100, 100n, 224, 226

Asvarardyaka, 192 Asrāyur veda, 192 Atharvaveda, 33, 88-134, 187 Atmatattvaviveka, 197 Atreya, ritual authority 184 Atreya, authority on medicine, 212Audiyavicara, 166 Audulonii, 212 Aufrecht, T, m Avadāna, 65, 72-3 Avadānakalpalatā, 74n Avadānasa'aka, 73-1, 85 Araloka, 166 Avimāraka, 101 Avimuktatman 217 Bādarāvana 212 213n. 214. 219Badan, 212 Bahudantiputia, 180 Būlabhūrata, Amaracandra, 87 Bīlabhārata, Bhāsa, 112  $B\bar{a}l$  icavita, 103 105 Baladeva, 213n, 222  $Bar{u}lamanorumar{u}, 153$  $B\bar{u}lar\bar{u}m\bar{u}yana, 112$ Bālasubiahmanyam IR, 78n Balchandha, 99 Ballālasena, 115 Banabhatta 34, 38, 85, 100, 109, 109n, 116, 120, 125-6, 138-9, 140-1 146-7 Banerji R D, 61n, 62n Barnett, L D, 1v Bauddhasamg ityalank ira 138 Bhadanta, 224 Bh drakalp tradina, 74 Bhagava lajinkiya, 114 Bhagavadgītī, 1 Bhīgamta, 42, 135, 221, 236 Bhakti 169, 236 Bhaktnasūyana, 236

Rhaktisatala 128 Bhallata 127 Bhallafasataka 197 Bhamaha 82 137 152 161 2 Rhamati 216 Rhāmmundusa 195 Bhana 97 11a Bhandaikai R C 61n Bhanika 98 Bhānudatta 165 Bhanun 173 Bharata 17 7 m 85 160 1 163 16a 168 Bhuratacampn 147 Bhīratacandra 124 Bhuratamainan 86 Bh71avi 81 83 Phartilian 82 122 3 127 8 1o1 1o4 214 i Bhartimentha 84 Bhartimitra 208 Bhartiprapañca 214 Bh isa 97 99 100 1 Bhusananccheda 201 Bhīsarvajīia 197 Bhusantti 138 Bhāsl ara upapurana 13 Bhaskara Unmattaraghara 117 Bhūskaia philosophei 219 20 Bhaskarīcirya 189 Bhustati 189 Bha ya Bhaskara 219 Bhātlacintamani 211 Bhuttadıpıka 211 Bhattan ii iyana 111 2 Bhattan iyaka 164 Bhātt mahasya 211 Bhatti 82 85 6 137 151 Bhattikavya 76n 81 137 17 Bhatton 153 4 129 Bhattotpala 190 Bhaumaka 85

Bhavahhuti 90 92 97 109 12 114 Bhayadasa 208 Bhayadeyabhatta 177 210 bharalatva 164 Bhīvamısra 156 Bhayan tha 209 Rhūr anūvu eka 211 Bhavay) akasa 186 Bharaprakasana 167 Bhavendrasiiri 87 bhavānaka 75n Bhavabhañiana arman 191n Bhedabhedayada 219 Bhela 185 Bhilhhumpacittiya 3 Bhilkhupacittina 3 Bhimata 114 Bhit's medallion 78n Bhota 166 7 181 159 193 206 207n Bhotadeva 124 231 bhojakutia 164 Bhojaprabandha 145 Bhojartja 147 Bhrgu 176 bibhatsa 7on Bugganita 189 Bilbana 114 124 131 Biliamangala 127 Bindumati 98 Bloomfield M m Bodhayana 208 21an Bodh iyanakavı 114 Bodhicaryūratūra 220 Bohtlingk O in Bopp F n Brahmadatta 56 Brahmagupta 188 189 Brahminanda 224 Brahmanasarıasva 178 Brahmandapmana 12

 $B_1$  ahmapurāna, 42Brahmasıddhi, 217 Brahmasphutasıddhānta, 188 Brahmas $\bar{u}$ tra, 135, 212, 213n, 214, 219-20, 222, 235 Brahmasūtrabhāsya, 218  $B_1$  ahmava wa tapur  $\bar{a}$ ma, 42 Bi hacchabdendusekhara, 154 Bi hadār anyakabhā syavār tirka, 217Brhadāranyakepanisad, 215 Bi hajjātaka, 190 Bihaspati, 180, 234 Bi haspatismi ti, 177 Bi hadbi ahmasamhita, 46 B) hatī, 209 Br hatkathā, 101, 104, 142-3 Br hatkathūma $\tilde{n}$ jar $\tilde{i}$ , 142 $Brhatsamhit\bar{a}, 190, 193$ Buddha, 53-8, 63, 65, 195n. 222-4Buddhabhatta, 193 Buddhacarita, 57, 60, 63, 65, 77, 78n, 224 Buddhaghosa, 84  $Buddh \bar{u}vatamsaka, 70$ Budhasvāmin, 142 Buhler, G, 1, 5, 5n, 7, 8, 122n, 176, 1v Burnell, A C, 149n, iv Burnouf, E, 111 Cartanyacandrodaya, 116 Cartanyūmi ta, 158 Cakıapānıdatta, 185 Campakaśi esthi kathānaka, 145 Cānakya, 143, 180, 184 Candakansıka, 113 Candeśvaia, 178, 181 Candi, 42Candidāsa, 167 Candīśataka, 126 Candia, Candiaka, 114

Candia school, 155 Candiagomin, 114, 152, 154-5 Candiakiiti, 72n, 225-6 Candrūloka, 168-9 Caraka, 135, 181-5, 202, 207n Carahasamhita, 181, 195n, 207n. Cūrucar yūsataka, 127 Carudatta, 101-5 Cārvāka, 233-1 Catalogus Catalogorum, m Caturrargacintāmani, 178 Caturvargasamqraha, 127 Catussataka, 71, 2-6Catussatakastotia, 67 Camapañc $\bar{a}$ sı $k\bar{a}$ , 124Chandomañjari, 172 Chando'nu\isana 172  $Ch\bar{u}y\bar{u}$ , 206 Chézy, A L, n Cidambara, 87 Cılıtsākalıkā, 186 Cikitsāsārasamgraha, 185 Urtrabhārata, 115 Cıtramımamsü, 169 Citsukha, 217, 236 Citsnkhī, 217 Cittasnddhipiakarana, 71, 226. Colebrooke, T, 158, 1 Conjugations-system, 11 Cowell, E B, 11 Cunningham, A, 9, 10, 53, iv Dalai-Lama, 225 Dallana, 185 Dāmodara, 193 Dāmodaragupta, 126 Dāmodaramista, 113 Dandin, 4,9,105n, 136-8,142,162-3. Darpadalana, 127 Dasabh $\bar{u}$ maka, 70 Dasagītikāsūtra, 188 Dasakarmapaddhati, 178Dasakumūracarīta, 105n, 137

Distritti itasa 27 Di ilizarri ri, i 51 Davils R 1 Fa 10' 1 1 175 Denoe a P a Devatrables un 57 December 242 Descripta II 4 Deng arana 13 Del il ile i A Denn at str 1 42 Decripting 14 Deve al 100 Draw 1 11 211 271 Dhanañ ava 104 Dhanaj da, 11" Dian tara 50 Dhamks Itt Dire illymaya 111 Dir dirla 21 Dharnayt : (7 725 1 to 1 to 201 1-5 Dim + ft1 - 13 Dharraj da 72 2 5 Dianapiri si 127 Diamar della armira -15 Dian iriti i 175 Diari ast praha 71 Dimi an ibly his t Depresifitra 1 17) 1 lan Diarmatres 221 Dharme tara Tin 197 -21 2-1 Dhat q d( 1 1 ) Dlaturing 1 1 5 Dhatairth 135 Dhavalacan Ira 111 Dhiran iga 115 Dhirolatta 76 96 Dhoy: 125 Dharteron came 116 Dhvam 161 161 166 9 Dhi my doka 161 160

D 1 1 1 1 13 Dr mlara 29 2 1 231 D 11 x 7211 1 x 40 2 x 3 D 4 + 115 Desarabl H. 21 1 11 3 7 3 Landania 1 5 Danikus 11 Dista 01 District 15 Palaa 151 Da 1 n 73 Dic 1J duth Da avinta 1 t Distingula Dublica Din Inn the 19 Ir tay's and 100 11 1111 1 1 1-11 1 2/2 110 11 11 16 1 10 D 3 was 1 2 15fr 2 71 Dia baita del di Diatavila 201 D 4 1 1 1 2 183 "1 De tribut illa hharn els m 1 1141 1 1171 Length 108 I tma 1 1 2 1 L du J n 11 dail in Irina 30 Iris to lat I may son I tiln Thu is [] t J 1 GOn 80n 106 is lartr () 1 Lrank (On Cadelbara 133 Capabbatta 211 Cap n leigidkar 123n Cilari III (ans 1)

Ganapātha, 155 Ganaratnamaho'ladhi, 158 Gandistotragithii 65 Gaugadāsa, 172 Gangalahori, 128 Gaugesa, 197-5 Garbe, R., 196n, 203 iv garbha, 95 Gaigya, 149, 203 Garwlapwāna, 12 193 Gatha, 51, 58, 69 Gāthūsaptasatī, 120 Gauda, 110, 130 Gaudabrahmīnandi, 218 Gaudapāda, 203, 214 Gaudapādakorikā, 211 Gaudaraha, 110, 130 Gaudīya Vaisnavas, 221, 222 Gautania, 175 195, 195n, 196, 200 Gayadīsa, 155 Geldner, F, 93, m Ghatakarpara, 122 Ghatakar parakāvya, 122 Ghosaka, 221 Ghosh, Aurobinda, 122n Ginar inscription, 18  $G\bar{\imath}t\bar{\imath}$ , 32, 220, 235 Gītagovinda, 125 183 Goethe, 106, 121, 1 Gokulikas, 54-5 Goldstucker, T. 2, 149, iv  $Gop\bar{u}lacamp\bar{u}, 147,$ Gopendia Tippa Bhūpāla 163 Gopinatha, 117 Gosthi, 98 Govardhana, 127 Govinda, 167, 169 Govindabhūsya, 222Govindananda, 218 Govindaiāja, 177 Grassmann, H, 111

Gihvasutia, 174 Gilorson, G Guna, 163. Gunabhadia, 55, 165 Gun idhya, 101, 142 Gunapiabha, 225 Gunaratna, 203n H da 120, 127 Halayudha, 86, 171-175 Hallisa 95 Hamilton, 1, 11 Hammiramadamardana 115 Hamsadūta, 121n Hamsasandesa, 121n Honumanmilaka, 113 Handeden J E, 1 Haradatta, 152 Haradattasīni, 57 Harakelinalaka 115 Hārāvalī, 173 Hararyaya, 76n 85 Han, 82, 208 Haribhadia, 232 Haricandra, 86 147 Haudiksita, 159 Harinemennita, 158 Hārīta, 175  $\Pi$ or wam(a, 32, 99, 103 Harnama, court-epic, 87 Hain am Capurano, 85 Harınlüsu, Sb Hara, 108-9, 109n, 140 Harsacarita, 38, 109, 120-110 Harsadova 127 132 Harsakirti, 191 Hastabīlaprakarana 226 Hastyūym veda, 192 hūsya 75n Hāsyacādāmanı, 115 Hūsvūrnava, 117 Hathayoga, 207 Hayayrivavadha, 84

Hemacandia 86 127 132 150 158 167 8 172 174 232 Hemīdu 43n 178 Heroic 95 110 Herder 1 Hertel T 93n Hillebrandt A 92n Hinayina o2 o6 73 Hiranyakesin 175 Hitopade a 143 i n Hiuen Tsang 21 224 326n 229 Hopkins D W 36n n Hora 190 Horāsastra 190 Horāsatapalicīsikā 190 Hrdayrdarpana 164 Hultzch E iv Humboldt W von 11 Ihamiga 97 115 Indra 149n Indradhyaja 88 91 Introduction to Pary minima 212n Ist addha 217 Īsvaiakrsna 106 203 20an Īstarasamhītā 46 I tsing 60 151 185 225 Jacobi H 24 26 27n 29 36 196n 208 n Jagaddeva 191n Jagadisa 198 201 Jagadisvara 117 Jaga lūcarīta 133 Jagann tha 128 1.9 16 170 Jaimini 208 212 Jaiminiyany Tyamülü 211

Tama Mahabharata 87

Jama Rāmāyana 86

Jainendra 15. Jaivvata 185

Jalhana 128

Iambar ativijaya 84 Jānaktharana 82 Jānakiparinaya 116 Jātakamīlu 68 146 224 Javadatta 192 Javadeva 124 o 127 183 Jayadeva Berar 11 Javadeva Candrālola 168 9 Jay iditya lol 1.2 Jayamanzalū 182 Jayan ir īyana 202 Javanta 85 197 Javaratha 167 Jayasımba 110 Jha Ganganath 208 Imiitavihana 179 Jinadīsa 87 Inakuti 115 Jinasona Harivanisapurana 85 Jinasena Vahapurana 95 Imasena Pārstābhyudaya 85 Jmendrabuddhi 83 152 15) Jinendiayajñavidhi 232 Jivagosvimin 18 147 169 221 Jivaka 184 Inandharacampū 149 Juanmultiviteka 217 7ñ ina 236 Ininabinduprakarana 233 Jūīnīmrtasamhitā 46 Iñānam asthāna 223 Iñ inārnata 47 232 Iñanasüra 233 31 Junendiasarasvat Tolly J 27n iv Iones W 78n 79n 12o i Tumaranandin 157 Iyotirisyara 182 Iyotırı idyabha ana 191 Ivotasasaroddhara 191 Kadambarı 138 140 Kūdambankathīsara 89

Karnasundari 114 Kawalya, 206 Karpīracarīta, 97, 115 Kaiyata, 152, 154 Kakudakātyāyana, 230 Karpītramaītjarī, 98, 113 karuna, 75n  $Kal\bar{u}pa$ , 156  $Kal\bar{a}vil\bar{a}sa$ , 127 Kārsnājimi, 212 Kallrana, 110, 129, 131 Kāšakitsna, 212 Kahdasa, 49, 50, 77, 77n, 80n, Ka $\mathfrak{l}_i$ i $\mathfrak{l}_i$ i $\mathfrak{l}_i$ i $\mathfrak{l}_i$ i $\mathfrak{l}_i$ i $\mathfrak{l}_i$ i $\mathfrak{l}_i$ 81, 83-4, 97-8, 100, 105-11,  $K\bar{a}$   $\zeta_1 k\bar{a}v_1 tt_1$ , 82, 151-2, 210 120, 122, 122n, 125, 171, Kāsyapa, 200  $Kasyapasamhit\bar{a}$ , 193 228, 1. Kūlīkāpwāna, 43 Kūtantrasūtra, 156 Kūlīvīlāsa 47 Kathā, 136 Kalkıpınāna, 43 Kāthakasamhītā, 33 Kalpadı umüvadünamülü Kathākantuka, 114 Kathākośa 145 Kalpanālanki tikā, 65n Kalpanāmandītīkā, 65n Kathūrnīva, 145 Kathūsanītsūgara, 104, 106, 142 Kalpataru, 216 Kalyānamalla, 183 Kātyāyana 20, 150 Kalyānamandirastotia, 126 Kātyāyanīputia, 223 Kūmadattā, 98 Kaumāra, 156  $K\bar{u}madhenu$ , 163Kaumudīmītiānanda, 115 Kamalakarabhatta, 179 212 Kaundabhatta, 159 Kamalasıla, 222 Kautilya, 99, 143, 180 Kāmandaka, 181 Kautukasai vasia, 117  $K\bar{a}mas\bar{u}tra$ , 81, 182 Kavihalpalat $\bar{a}$ , 168 Kamsavadha, 99 Kavikanthābharana, 166 Kamsavadha, Sesakisna, 116 Kavikarnapīna 116 Kanakāvatīmādhava, 98 Kavīndravacanasamnecaya, 127 Kaniska, 58-9, 70, 184, 224 Kaviputia, 105 Kavırahasya, 86 Kanabhaksa, 200 Kanabhuk, 200 Kavnāja, 85 Kanāda, 200-1 Kavišekhaia, 116 Kavitūrahasya, 168 Kant, iii Kapıla, 43, 203-4 Kāvya, 98 Kapphanābhyudaya, 85 Kāvyādar sa, 105, 105n, 136, 142, Karanakutūhala, 189 162 $K\bar{u}nandavy\bar{u}ha$ , 69  $K\bar{a}vyakalpataru$ , 168 Kārīkā, Isvaiakisna, 203n Kūvyūlankūra Bhāmaha, 161 Kārīkūvalī, 201 Kūvyālamkāra, Rudiata, 162 Karmasataka, 73 Kūvyūlankūrasūtra, 163 Karmasiddhiprakai ana, 228  $L \bar{a}vyam \bar{i}m \bar{a}m s \bar{a}, 165$  $Karnabh\bar{u}ra$ , 102 Kāvyaprak $ar{u}$ sa, 163, 167

١

Kavyanusasana Hemacandra 167 Kavyanusasana Vighhata 168 Kedarabhatta 179 Keith AB 99 114 143 1 200 208 m

Keliraivataka 98 Kennedy 60n Kern H 58 9 69 Kesavak ismirin 220 Kesavamisra rhetorician 169 Kesavamisra Naiy iyika 201 Khandadeva 211 Khandakhaduaka 188

Khan lanal handahhadya 217 Kharostha 8 Kharosthi 6 7 9

Kielhorn F 122n iv Kıranatalı 201 Kiratarjuniya court epic 81 ii

Kıratarjuniya drama 115 Kırtıkaumudı 132 Kırtılatu 182

hokkola 182

Konow S 60n 62u 106 109n ıv

korur theory 50n Kramadısvara 1.7 Kridurasatala 98 Krsnakarnımıta 127 Krsnamisra 113 Kranananda Agamayagisa 198

Krana yajurteda 134 176 Arsna yajvan 211 Aşemarıja 46

Kşemendra 74n 84 86

127 142 166 172 182 Aşemendra grammarıan 157 Ksemisvara 113

Asirasyamin 173 Kulacudamanı 47 Kulurnara 47

Kulluka 177 Kum irad isa 82 Kum irajiya 225

Kumaralahdha 224 Kum iralita 65n 224 Kumarasambhara 78 Kum irilabhatta 34 38 209 10

212 231 Kundakunda 230 1 Kundamala 115

Kuntaka kuntala 166 7 Kuntesvaradautya 84 Kürmapurana 42 Kusilara 99

Kuttanımata 126 Kutalayananda 169

Laghu Arhanniti 181 Laghucandrilu 218

Laghujutaka 190 Laghusabdendu ekhara 154

Laghusiddhantalaumudi 154 lah ans 164 Laksanarah 201

Lakemanabhatta 147 Laksmidhara 177

Lalitamadhara 116 Lalitavistara u2 569 63

Lanluvatara 70 226 Lanman C R IV

Lassen C 29 120 11 Lisva 88

Latal amelal a 11. Laug iksibh iskara 201 211

Levi S 7 66 109n 125 184 996 JV

Lalisuka 127 Lilavati 189 Lingunususana 1 10 Lingapurana 42 Locana 61,

Locanarocani 169 Lok manda 114

Mahāsānghika, 53-4 Lokatattvannnaya 232 Mahāvagga, 1 Lokayata, 233 Mahūvam(a, 53-4 Lokeśvaratantra, 123n Mahāvirācārya, 189 Lokottaravādin, 52, 54-5, 153 Mahīvīracarīta, 86, 110 Lolimbarāja, 86, 186 Mahārībhāsā 223 Lolfata, 64, 163 Mahāvīrastotra, 127 Luders, 11, iv Mahāyāna 51-2, 56, 66, 68, 72 Ludwig, A, 111 4 120, 123n. Mahūyūnası addhot pīdasūtra,, Macdonell, A, 66, 227 125, m*Mahūyūnasūtra* 68, 70, 223-4 Machiavelli 180 Madūlasācampū, 146 Mahāyūnasūtrūlankūra, 228 Madanapūrijīta, 178 Mahūyūnavimsaka, 70, 225 Madhava, 158, 178, 204, 211, Mahendiaviki amayaiman, 216, 234, 236 109 Mādharasādhana 117 Madhva, 213n, 218, 220 Maheśvara-upapusāna, 43 Mahesvara, lexicographer, 174 Mādhva school, 221 Mādhavakara, 185 Mahimabhatta, 166-7 Mahimnahstotiu, 127 Madhyamavyūyoga, 97 102 Madhyamika, 71, 71n, 72, 223-6 Marthelikalyūna, 116 Maitiāyanīya, 176  $M\bar{a}dhyamıkak\bar{a}nık\bar{a}$ , 70, 225 Mādhyamīkasūtia, 225 Maitreyanatha, 71, 226 226n Maitreyaraksita, 158 Madhyāntavibhāga, 228 Madhyasıdahüntakaumudi, 154 Martreyasamıtı, 68 Madhusiidana Sarasvati, 218, Martreyavyākarana, 68 236  $M\bar{a}lin\bar{i}vijaya, 46$ Māgha, 83, 85, 152 Mūlinīvijayottaravārttika 46 Mahūbhūrata, 26-7, 27n Mūlatīmadhava, 97, 110 36n, 37, 45, 75n, 81, 83, 85,  $M\bar{a}lavik\bar{a}, 97$ Mālavikāgnimitia, 78n 107 109 99, 101-2, 108, 112, 134, 143, Mallıkümüruta, 116 185, 202, 205-6, 208, 213n Mallinātha, 78, 152, 168, 228 233, 11 Mallisena, 232 Mahābhāsya, 99, 135, 152 206n, Mammata, 163, 167, 169 207nMahādeva, 117  $M\bar{u}nameyodaya, 211$ Manatunga, 125-6 Mahūnūtaka, 113 Mānavadharmasāstra, 175-6 Mahānīrvāna, 47 Mandana, 210-11, 211n, 217 Mahāvastu, 52, 55-6, 63 Mān lūkyakānkā, 204n Mahūpi asthūna, 75n Mānīkyacandīa, 167 Mahūpurāna, 85 Mānikyanandin, 231 Mahūrūja-Kanikalekha, 68

226,

97

79n

Mānikyasuri Sti Manikba 86 Manu 176 7 187 202 Manusmṛti 135 175 7 233 Manusmṛti 135 175 7 233 Maskari Goʻila 234 Maria Stuari 122 Ma tot upapurāna 43 Mārhan leya 42 Murshall V H 60n 62n 78u

Matanga 46
Matangahlā 192
Matrect 67 8
Matreputs 84
Mat yapnāna 41 193
Mātharavrtt 903
Mat Maturilā a 97 109
Max Mullei F 48 53

80n 149 215 m Māyākapīklā 98 Mayamata 192 May Pole 91 Mayākhamātiki 212

Mayūra 123 Māyurīja 114 Mazumdar R C 61n Medhātithi 135 177

Medinikīra 174 Meghadūta 79n 85 120 125

12 '8

Meghaprab' icūiyi 114

Menakāhita 98

Merut ngi 133

Mimīja āla st bha 211

Mi iā nāni ramam 211

Mimī nsānyāvap al 1 a 211

Mi iā nāni 135

Miāl arī 177

Mitramisra 179

Moham ig ra 126

Noharājapar jaya 116

Urchakatika 104 5 Urgāraticaritta 87 Urga dra 48 Urgāraticaritta 87 Urgāraticaritta 87 Urgāratica dra 111 Vudsitakimudacandra 115 Uugāhabadha 157 mukka 95 Uusābabatta 162n 160

Muku'abhatta 162n 160 Murin poet 112 Murin philosophei 209

Nagananda 109 Vikurjuna 59 70 On 71 71u 183 185 224 6

Nige abhatta 153 154n 159 206

Naisadhacarita Nai adhiya cariti 76n 83 VaiskarmyasidJhi 217 Vaistā a 46

Nakula 192 Valacampā 146 Na apāka 193 Nāmalingānu asanu 173

NamisTdhu 16' Vandana 177

Nandikesvira authority dancing 193

Na idikestara upapurāna 43 Vāradapurīna 42 Na as mhapurīna 43

N irada meti 177 Nā ada upapui ina 43 N u īvanv Svēkēsudkēkara

campā 147

Nīrīsana commentator on Masusmits 177

Nārīyana Va angalīlī 19 Nārīyan ibhatta Vānameyo

daya 211

Nārāyanabhatta, Vittaratnā-	Nyūyakusumūñjali, 197
hara, 172	Nyāyalīlāvatī 201
Narayanapandita Navaratna-	<i>N</i> ทูนีที่ลmaกี่
$parīks\bar{a}, 193$	$Ny\bar{a}y\bar{a}mita$ , 218
Nalayanapandita, Hitopadesa,	Nyūyaparīsista, 197
443	Nyūyapi aveša, 72, 228, 232
Naliman, G K, 58	Nyāyarah sāmanı, 218
Nartananırıaya, 194	Nyāyar atnākara, 210
Nātaka, 95, 97 99, 102-4, 107-15	
Nātahalahsanaratnakośa, 168	Nyāyasucīnībandha, 197
Nathamun, 219	Nyāyasudhā, 210
Natika, 98, 109, 113-4	Nyāyasūtia, 135 195-6, 196n,
Nātyadarpana, 168	200 208
Nātyatāsaka, 98	
	$Nyar{a}yasar{u}travetti, 199$
Nātyaśūstra, 17, 78n, 88, 160,	$Ny ar{u}y as ar{u}t$ r od $dhar{u}_I a$ , $197$
165, 168, 171, 193	$Nyar{a}yatar{a}tparyatar{i}kar{a},196$
Navaratnaparīksā, 193	$Nyar{a}yar{a}\iota atar{a}\iota a$ , $230$
Navasāhasānhacarīta, 130	Nyāyavınıścaya, 231
Nayavıveka, 209	$N\eta ar{a}ya \iota ar{a}\iota t \iota \iota h a$ , $196$ -7
Nemminiāna, 87	$Nyar{a}yavar{a}rttıkatar{a}tparyaparı$ -
netr, 75	Suddhi, $197$
Netra, 46	Oldenberg, H, 93, 146, 36n, 61n
Nidāna, 185	Padamañjarī, 152
Nighantu, 173	Padānkadūtu, 121n -
Nīlakantha, $T\bar{a}_{J}ih\bar{a}$ , 191	Padār thadhar masamgraha, 200
Nīlakantha, Śrīkanthabhāsya,	Padārthakhandana, 198
235	Padmanābha, 157
Nīlamatapurāna, 131	Padmapāda, 216
Nimbāika, 220-1	Padmapurāna, court-epic, 85
Nırbhayabhīmavyūyoga, 115	Padmapurāna, 42, 108
Nn nayasındhu. 179	Padyacūdāmanı, 85
$N \bar{\imath} t i i a t n \bar{a} k a i a$ , 181	Paksadharamisra, 198
$N$ ītis $ar{a}$ i $a$ , $122$	$P\bar{u}lak\bar{u}pya,192$
Nītrvā kyāmrta, 181	Pañcadasi, 217
nn vahana, 95	Paūcanana Tarkaratna, 117, 237
Nityagopāla Kaviiatna, 117	Pañcasūyaka, 182
$Ny\bar{a}sa$ , 83, 152	$Pa\tilde{n}casidhantika$ , 187-8
Nyāyabhāsya, 196	Pañcasikha, 203
Nyāyabındu, 196-7, 229	Pañcaskandhaprakarana, 227
Nyāyakandalī, 201	Pañcarūtra, 103
Nyāyakanıkā, 209, 28	Pañcatantra, 21, 143
Nyūyakumudacandrodaya, 231	Pāndavacanta, 87

Pandavapurana 87 Punto 2 17 19 20 1 34 57 84 99 149 149n 150 215n Paolino F 1 Paramalaghumaii1a3a 154n Paramartha 203n Paramurthasantati 71 227 Paramarthasura 46 Paramasamhita 46 Pari ara 43 Parasaramadhara 178 Parasarasmrti 178 Paribhusendusekhara 154 Paribhususutras 100 Paribha\uvrtti 158 Pariksamukhasutra 231 Parimala 130 Parimala 216 parinima 214 Parisistaparian 86 132 Parsiabhyudaya 85 Parsvanathaca ita 87 Parthaparakiama 115 Parthasarathimisra 200 1 Pariatiparinaya 116 Pasakakevali 191n · Pasupati 178 Patulavijaya 84 Patafijali grammarını 167 20 34 135 148 149n 150 2 207n Patanjah 1 ogasutra 200 207n Pathaka 150 Pathetic 110 Patraparth & 231 Pausl arasamhitu 46 Paranaauta 120 Peterson P 78n 79n Phuyau king 58 Pingala 171 Pischel R JI 89n 91 92n 93 105n 108 125 m

Pitrdayita 178 Prabaudhacıntamanı 133 Prabandhakosa 133 Prabhacandra 132 231 Prabbakara 209 212 Prabharakacarita 132 Prahodhacındrodaya 113 233 Prabu ldharauhineva 115 Pradipa 152 154 Pradvumnasuri 132 Prahasana 97 109 114 7 Prahladanadera 115 Pranudanda 71 Prafilan tramitus 70 224 Prakarana 97 104 106 110 a 11<sub>0</sub> 6 Pralaranapañerka 209 Prakaraniki 98 Praki inanda 218 Prakı itman 216 Prakmakunda 81 Prakrta varnaala 171 Prakriyakaumudi 153 Praminamimumsa 232 Pramananirnaya 231 Pramanaparil a 231 Pramanapravesa 228 Pramanasamuecaya 72 228 Prameyal amalamartan la 231 Prameyaratnavalı 222 Prarato un 47 Prapañicasara 47 Prasada 153 Prasamakan la 81 Prasannapad v 225 Prasannarughara 115 Prasth ma 89 Pratuparudravasobhu ana 164 Pratiharenduraja 162n Pratiznayangandharayana 199 Pratim<sub>1</sub> 102 pratimul ha 95

Pratinayaka, 89 Raghunatha, 198 Pratītyasamutpūdonidaya, 70. Raghunātha Snomam, 198 225, 228 Raghuramia, 78n, 80 Pratyabhijna, 16 Raivatamadanikā, 98 Pratyabhıjüähidaya 46 Rajamīrian la, 206,-207n Pratvabhijīiākīnikā, 46 Rāja-okhara, 82, 92 81-5, Pratyabhı jüävimar>ini, 46 98 100, 112-3 Pratyaktattvapradīpikā, 217 Rajašekhara, 14th contury, Prau lhaman nam7, 153, 159 133, 165 Prau thamanoramāt ucamardīni 59 Rajayoga, 207 Rajataranginī, 106 110, 129, Pravarasena, 137 Prūyascittavivaranu 177 131, 137 Rajen Irakarnapīīra, 132 Prenkhanaka, 98 Rāmabh, dramum, 115. Priyadar Sikā, 109 Priyamvadā, 128 Rāmadiksīta, 116-7 Pithuyasas, 190 Kaumudīmītrā-Rämacandia, nanda, 115 Pithvidhaia, 156 Rāmacandia. Nu bhayabhīma-Pithrīrājavijaya, 132 vyūyoga, 115 Punyaraja, 157 Rāmacandra, Bhaktisataka, 128. Purāna Kāšyapa, 234 Rāmacandra, Prakriyākaumudī,  $P \overline{u} r vamegha, 121$ 153 $Par{u}$ i i amīm $ar{x}_{III}$ sā $sar{u}$ tra, 206, 214 Rāmacarīta, 86,  $P\bar{u}_1$  vapīthīkā, 138Puru aparīksā, 145 Rāmācārya, 219 Rāmakisnī, 218 Purusārsh isiddhyupāya 232 Purusottama, 174 Rāmakisnibhatta, 211 Purusottamadeva, 158 Rama arahasya, 191n Rāmāmuja, 33, 213n, 219, 220, Puspadanta, 127 ,235Puspamitia, Pusyamitia, 73, 78n. 150 Rim pilacanta, 131 Quietistic, 95, 113 Rāma Tarkavāgīsa, 157 Rabindianath, 121 Rāmatiitha, 219 Radhakushnan, S 5, 196n, 210,  $R\bar{a}m\bar{i}yana$ , 21, 23-6, 27n, 28, 216 2Sn, 29, 30-1, 35-7, 43, 82, Rīgavībo  $lha,\ 193$ 85, 99, 101 2, 110, 113, Rīghavana sa biiya, 87 115, n Rāghavānanda, 177 Rām $ar{u}$ yertaca $mpar{u},\,147$ Rīghavapīn lavīya, 85 Rāmīran mañ arī, 86  $R ar{\iota} g$ havap $ar{\iota} n fa \iota ar{\imath} y$ ana $\iota$  sadh $ar{\imath} y$ a, Rapson, E J, 61n 87 Rasa, 75, 161-4, 166 9 Raghunandana, 43n, 178  $Rasa_{J}ang Idhara, 170$ 

Risaka 98 Rasamanjarı 168 Rasaratnakara 185 Rasatarangını 168 Rasaratt 157 Rasıkanıana 87 Ra trapila 70 Ratimaiijari 183 Ratirahasya 182 Ratisastia 183 Ratnikara 85 Ratnalarandasraralacara 231 Rainaparil a 193 Ratnakuta 70 Ratnaradanamala 74 Ratnaprabha 218 Ratnarali 97 109 109n 114 raudra 7an Ravameriuniya 85 Ravanavadha 81 82 Ravisena 85 Raychaudhuri H 62n Roveda 29 88 92 107 114 187 111 Ruvimala 209 Rtusamhara 122 1 Ridgeway 91 Riti 88 161 3 Roer II II Rosen F 111 Roth R 111 Roy S 78n Rozer A 1 Rucaka 167 Ruckert F in Rudrad man 48 Rudrata 162 Rudrabhatta 144 Rudravamala 46 Rul mimharana 97 115 Rupagosvamin 58 116 121n

Rupaka 97 Ruyy ika 86 167 Subarabha ya 2089 Sabarasumma 135 203 208 9 Šabdakalpadruma 13p 174 Šabdakaustubha 1.3 Šabdanusasana Hemacandra Sabdanusasana Sikatiyana loo Sablanusasanabrhadirtti 136 Sabdaratna 1.9 Šab larnavacandrska 100 Šabdasal tiprakasika 191 Sad manda 218 Sadisisa 199 Saddharmapun lanka 69 Saldarsanasamuccaya 232 Sadhana 206 Saduktikarnamrta 127 Sigaranandin 168 Sahityadarpana 97 116 160 Sakalakırtı 87 232 Sikatiyana 149 155 ALt: 161 Laluntala 78n 79n 90 107 8 1 Salihotra 192 Silikanitha 209 Samadhi 206 Samadhiraia 70 Samantabhadra 230 I Samaranganasütradhara 193 Samavak ira 97 103 115 Samareda 88 Samayamatrka 127 5 mba 43 Sambbu 127 132

Samhitā, 44-6 Samjñātantra, 191 Samksepaśūrīraka, 217 Samksiptasāra, 157 Samlāpaka, 98 Sammatitai kasūtia, 230 Samskarapaddhatı, 177 Sanskut Grammar, 11 Samudramanthana, 97, 115 Samudratilaka, 191n Samvāda hymn, 92-3, 107 Samyaktvamuktūvalī, 145 Sanatkumūra, 43 Sandarbhas, 221 sandhi, 76, 95 Sandhyākaranandin, 131 Sangitadarpana, 193 Sangitamakaranda, 193 Sangītar atnūkara, 193 Sangitasudar sana, 195 Sanjaya, 234 Šankar acetovilā sacampā, 147 Sankara, 38, 126-7, 135, 210, 213n, 214-5, 217-9, 221, 237 Sankara, Upaskāra, 201 Śankhadhara Kavnāja, 115 Sānkhya, 41, 71 Sānkhyakārīkā, 203-4Sānkhyapı avacanabhūsya, 204  $S\bar{a}nkhyapı avacanas \bar{u}tia, 204$  $Sar{a}nkhyasar{a}$ ra, 204Sūnkhyasūtravitti, 204 Sünkhyatattvakaumudī, 204 Sānkhyāyanaśrautasūtra, 33 Samukhakalpa, 193 śānta, 75n Śāntaraksīta, 229 Śāntideva, 72n, 225 Śāntipaivan, 233 Śāntiśataka, 128

Saptapadārthī, 201 Šatapancūsatikanāmastotia, 67 Saptasatī, 42 Sāradātanaya, 167 Šūradūtrlaka, 47 aranadeva, 158 Sār asvatapi aki iyā, 156-57 Sarasvatīkanthābharana, 166 sarga, 76 Sārīputraprakarana, 66, 100 Śūrīrakabhāsya, 215-16 Sarmıstlı iyayatı, 97 Śārngadatta, 192 Śāingadeva, 193. Śāingadhaia, 128 Sūr ngadhar apaddhati, 128 Sarvadar sanasamgraha, 204, 234, iv Saivajñamitia, 126 Saivajñātmamuni, 217. Sai vānanda, 133, 173 Sarvasamı ādınī, 222 Sarvasıddhantasanıgraha, 237 Sarvāstīvādīn, 52, 56-7, 67, 71 Saivavaiman, 156 Sasthītantra, 203 Śāsiradarpana, 216 Ś $\bar{a}$ strad $\bar{i}$ pik $\bar{a}$ , 211 Sāstiin, Haiapiasad, 62, 65, 79n, 91 Sastun, Pashupatmath, 212n Sastun, T Ganapatı, 100 Šūśvata, 174 Šatadūsanī, 220 , Śataka, 122 Śatapathabrāhmana, 187, 111

Satānanda 86 199 Satasāhasril aprajūūpāramitā 224 Sītavīl ana 120 Sath iry av ida Satruīnayamāhutmya 86 Sattaka 98 113 Sattasai 120 127 Sättratasamhitä 46 Sauddhodani 169 Saugandhil äharana 116 Saumilla 105 Saundarananda 65 77 Saundaryalahan 126 Sauraseni 91 Sautrantika 223 4 227 Sayana 158 m Schiller 122 m Sohlegel F 27n 11 Sohlegel A W von u Schopenhauer in Schroeder L von 93 122n 125 Senaka 149 Separt F of n Segakrena 116 Sesia amimāmsū 220 Setubandha 137 Sevyaserakopadesa 127 Shadow Sit 7 92 Siddha 145 Siddhantal aumudi 153 54 Siddhantalesasangraha 218 Sıddhantamuktavalı 218 Sıddhantapañcasıka 232

Siddhantasiromani 189 Siddhasena Divikara 126 230

Šiksasamuccaya 225

Silabhadra 228 29

Silībhattīnkī 128

Śil hāmani 218

Silhana 198 Silpaka 98 Silparatna 193 Sımhāsanadı atrımsıka 144 Sıradeva 158 Siri Pulumāyi 49 Sisupālaradha 83 Signadhurddhitantra 189 Sit Then a 89 Sivadāsa 144 14a Sivadatta 106 Suadharma 43 Sivaditya 201 Sivadrsti 46 Snapurāna 42 Suārkamanidipikā 235 SmasyTonn 85 Skandagupta 77 Skandapurāna 38 42 108 Slokasamgraha 142 Slokavarttika 210 Smth V A 5 6 41 43 59 60n 62n av Smrtikalpataru 177 Smrtiratnākara 178 Soddhala 147 Somadeva, 142 146 155 181 Somananda 46 Somapītha 193 211 Somaprabha 128 Somesvarabhatta 210 Somesvaradatta 139 Sphotasiddhi 211n Sphotāyana 149 Sragdharāstotra 126

Srautas itras 175

Šribhāsva 219 220

Śrīcartanya, 198. Śrīdhara, 127, 167, 201 Śrīdharasena, 82 Śrīdharasvāmin, 235 Śrīgadīta, 98 Śrīharsa, 83, 98, 217, 228 Śrīhastamuktūvalī, 194 Šrīkantha, 235 Śrikanthabhāsua, 235 Śrīkanthacarıta, 86 Śrīkarabhāsya, 235 Šrīki snacaitanya, 221 Śrikumāra, 193 Śrimvāsācārya, 220 Silpati Pandita, 235 Śrīśankuka, 163 Śrivara, 128. 144 Šrīvatsa, 201 singūra, 75n Śi ngūrapiakūśa, 166 Śingāraśataka 122 Šrngūratīlaka, 98, 125 Śrngāravarrāgyataranginī, 128 Śrutabodha, 171 Śrutaprakūsikā, 219 Šrutasūgara, 233 Stein, M, iv Sthāpaka, 92 Sthnamati, 72, 288 St Petersberg Dictionary, in Strauss, 0, iv, iii Skalı, 169n Subandhu, 34, 39, 46, 138 Śubhacandia, 87, 231

Subhāsītamuktāvalī, 128 Subhūsītaratnasandoha, 127 Subhāsītāvalī, 128. Subodhini, 235 Sucantamisia, 210 Subhata, 116 Sudarsana, 193, 220 Suddhādvaita, 236 Suddhādvaitavāda, 231 Śīīdi aka, 105, 105n, 10 Suhi llekha, 71n Sukasaptati, 141 Sukhāvatīvyūha, 69 Śuhraniti, 181 Suki tasankir tana; 133 S'ūlapāni 178 Sungas, 107, 176 S'unya 196n Śūnyatū, 225 Śūnyatāsaptati, 70, 225 Supadma, 157 Supadmapa $\tilde{n}_{II}$ k $\tilde{u}$ , 157 Suparnādhyāya, 93n Suprabhātastotra, 126 Smathotsara, 132 Sureśvara, 211, 217 Sūryasataka, 123, 123n  $S\bar{u}_1 ya$ -upapur $\bar{u}_1 ua$ , 43Susi uta, 135, 184-5 Siitiadhaia, 92 Sūtrālankāra, 65, 68 Suvar naprabhūsa, 70 Suvi ttatilaha, 172 Svacchanda, 46 Sv $\bar{a}$ h $\bar{a}$ sudh $\bar{a}$ karacam $p\bar{n}$ , 147 Svapnadašānana, 114  $Svapnavar{a}savadattar{a}, 103-4.$ Svāyambhuva, 46

Svetamvara 229 30 232 Taittiriyāranyaka 187 Taittiriyasanılıta 148n 187 Taittiriyonani adbhasya varttika 217 Tanka 191 Takakusu 71 203n Tamil Gath is 219 Tandava 88 Tautatıtamatatılaka 177 210 Tantra 44 5 190 Tantralol a 46 Tantrakhyayska 143 Tantraraja 47 Tantrasāra 467 198 Tantraiarttika 260 Tāpasavatsarujacarīta 114 Tarala 168 Tirinitha 67 Tarinātha Vacaspatya 174 Tarangim 219 Tarkabhāsū 201 Tarkamrta 201 Tarlakaumudi 201 Tarkasamaraha 201 Tärkikaral su 201 Tattrabodhini 153 Tattı acıntamanı 198 Tatti acıntamanıı yakhya 198 Tattıadınıkā 233 Tattvapiakāsikā 220 Tattv irthadhigamasātra 220 21 Tattearthadipala 202 Tattvarthadıcıla 233 Tattiartharajaiurttika 231 Tattvarthasura 232 Tatti arthaslokavarttika 231 Tattiasamaraha 229 Tattı asamgrahapañeil i 229 Tattvatika 220 Tattvaiaisaradi 206 Tattravyakhyu 199

Taylor I 8 Thera 54 Thibaut G iv Thomas F W 60n 61n 68n ıv Tılakamafiyarı 147 Tmantalānda 81 Tipitala 3 28 34 67 67n 222 Tisata 186 Tethetattra 179 Trikan lase a 174 Trimsikā 227 28 Tripurad tha 97 110 Tri a (isalukapurusacarita 86 Trisati 189 Trivikramabhatta 146 Trotaka 98 107 Tscherbatsky T iv Tucci G 1 Tuphka 210 Ucchusmabhairaia 46 Udattarāghara 114 Udānaragga 224 Udayana 197 98 201 Udayasındarı kathū 147 Udbhata 161 162 162n 167 Uddandin 116 Uddyota 154 Uddyotakara 138 196 97 200 Udrahatattra 178 Umalandamanı 169 Ullipya 98 Uluka 203 Umāsyīmin 230 Um isviti 230 Umbeka 210 Unadisūtras 155 Unmattaraghava 117 Upakramaparāhrama 211

Vakiokti, 166 Upamītībhavapī apaīcā-kathā, Vakroktījīvīta, 166 Vākyapadīya, 123n. 151, 155. 145Upamıtıbhavapı apañcū-kathū-Valabhi, 82 sāroddhāra 232 Vālu adha, 98 Vallabha, Nyāyalīlāratī, 201 Upanısad, 135 Vallabha, Suddhādvaita, 213n, Upai lipaka, 97 Upaskāra, 201 220, 236 Upavarsa, 208, 215n Vallee Poussin, 57 iv Vālmīki, 23, 26, 28, 36, 81 Unubhanga 102 Vāmana, rhetorician, 82, 105n, Usanas-upapurāna, 180 111, 123, 164 Utpala, 46 Vamana, grammarian, 151-2 utsāha, 112 Vāmana-purāna, 12 Utsistikānka 97 Vāmana Bhatta Bāna, 116  $Uttarapın \bar{a}na, 85$ Uttararāmacarīta, 90, 92, 111 Vandārurīttī, 232 Vācaspatī, 179, 197, 201 Varadarāja, grammarian, 151 209, 211, 213n 216, 231 Varadarāja, Tārkikaraksā, 201 Varāhamihna, 187, 190 Vācaspatya, 174 Vādnāja, 86 Vaı  $ar{a}$ lıa-pur $ar{a}$ na, 42Vāgbhata, epic-poet 87 Vānan neakā vya, 81 Vagbhata, thetorician, 168 Vararuci, 171 Vaidhamāna, Kātantia-se'.ool, Vāgbhata, thetorician 168 Vagbhata, medical authority 185 156 Ganaratnamaho-Vāgbhatālankāra, 168 Vaidhamāna Vaibhāsika, 223-4, 227 dadhi, 158Yogamañjan, 192. Vaidaibha, 49, 110, 138 Vaidhamana Vardhamāna Naiyāyika, 198. Vaidyajivana, 186 Vaidhamāna, Jina, 229 Varjayantī, 174  ${\it Vaikhar u}$ nasadharmasar utra 175 Varivasyūrahasya, 47  $Vaipulyasar{u}tia,\,56-7$ Varsa, 215n Vanāgya\$ataka 123 Vārsaganya, 203, 203n Varšesīkasātra, 200, 201 Varsatantra, 191 Varmavadharmasītra, 175 Vārttīkābharana 210 Var snavato smī, 221, Vārttikakāra, 150 Vary $ar{u}$ kar anabh $ar{u}$ sana, 159 Vārttikas, 150, 155 Varyūkar anabhūsanasār a, 159 Varuna-upapurāna, 43. Varyūkar anasrddhāntama ${f n}$ y ${ar u}$ s ${f q}$ ,  $Var{u}savadattar{a},\,138-9$ 154Varyāsīkanyāyamīlā, 218 Vasistha 1 175 Vajradatta, 123n vastu, 75 Vaji asūcī, 67  $V\bar{a}stuvidy\bar{a}$ , 192 Vākpatı, 110, 130

Vasubandhu Asanga 71 203n 221 237 8 Vāsudeva Balamanoramā 153 Vīsudova Survabhauma 198 Vasumitra og 223 Vitsarāja 97 115 Latsy iyana hamasatra 21130 182 Vitsyiyana philosopher 190 6 200 Vutsyayanabhasya 200 Vayupurana 38 41 Vayu upapurana 13 Vellintade iki 121n Vedantakaustubha 220 Vedentaparıbbuse 218 Ledantaparifatasaurabha 220 Vedania ara 218 Vedantaslitra 212 218 Vedas 11 Vedic Concordance in Vedic Grammar in Vedic Index in Vedic Mythology in Vedic Studies in Venis \ iv Ventsamhāra 111 2 Venkatadik ita 210 Venkatan'itha Vedinta dı ika 220 Vetalapañearimsati 111 Vibhayi 223 Vibhramaviieka 211 Vibhati 206 Vicitral arnil avad ina 71 Vidagdhamadhara 74 Viddha ālabhanjiki 113 Vidhirasayana 211 Vidhirineka 211 Vidusaka 83 Vidyībhū ana S C 19Jn

Vidyacakravartin 167

Vidy idhart 168 Lidyamidhariya 190 91 Vidy manda 231 Vidy mathr 169 Vidy ipate 133 115 178 181 Vidy trans v 216 7 Vidyas indara 121 Vigrahatyarartani 70 22) Vmrk7 128 Vijîkina 196n 22b Vijuanabhairai i 16 Nijū in iblink u. 201-206-237 Luftanomplabla yr 137 Vijo me vara 177 Visitiptimitratinilly 72 227 Vikatimitamba 123 Vikrun editya Candrigupta 138 Vikramidity's Pitron of Nino buns 141 173 Vikrum iditya authority on archery 192 Pikramanhaderaciriti 131 Viframort | 1/1 34 107 Librintakauria 116 Vilisariti 94 Vilisiki Ja 11*mar -*2 9) Vim atil i 227 8 Violatitin in 217 Vinayapilala 1 222 Vindley is a tin 203n Vipralambh i gugara 90 ura 7 m Viramitroday i 179 Visakhadatta 111 Visuladov i Vigraliarija 110 Vinilikas 180 11 aya 216 Visi (Tdvnita 219 Visi fasis Idvaita 230 Vi nudharmottara 193 4 Vienugupta 180

Vyāsarāma, 219  $V_{i}$ snupurāna 41-2, 134, Vyāsatīrtha, 218, Vyavahārucintāmanı, 179 233, 236 Visnusaiman, 143 Vyāyoga, 97, 102, 115-6 Visnusvāmin, 236 Vyomašekhara, 201 Visvakarmaprakāsa, 193 Vyomašivācāi ya, 201 Visvanātha, ihetorician, 97, 116 Vyomavati, 201 Weber, A A, 8, 28, 28n, 29, 167, 169 Viśvanātha, philosophei, 199,201 89n, 109n, 149, 187 Visvapi akūša, 174 Whitney, W D iii Viśvai ūpa, 211 Wilkins, C 1 Viśveśvara, 178 Wilson, H H, iii Vītarāgastotra, 127 Winternitz, M., 26-8, 31, 36, Vithi, 97 42, 36n 65n 66n 109n, iv Vitthalācāi ya, 153 Vivādacintāmani, 179 Windisch, E, 89 Vivādar atnākara, 178 Wogiliaia, 71 Vivādar navasetu 1 Wonderful, 110 Vivarana, 216 Yadaya, 174 Vivaranapi ameyasanigi aha, 216 Yādavodaya, 98 Vivaiana school, 216 Yājūavalkya, 178 Yūjūaralkyasmi ti, 177-78 vivarta, 214 V<sub>t</sub>v1 t1, 202 Yajurveda, 33, 88 Void, 225 Yamakas, 122 Vopadeva, 157-8 Yāmunācārya, 219  ${\it Vrat}$ āvadānamālā, 74Yasahpāla, 116 Vi ddhagar gasamhitā, 190 Yasascandia, 115 Vi ddhavāsi sthasamhītā, 191Yasastılaka, 147, 181 Vrndāvanastutr, 127 Yāska, 19, 20, 173. Vittaratnākara, Kedāra, 172 Yasodhara, 182 Vi ttai atnākai a, Nārāyana, 172 Yasodharacarıtra, 86, Vitti, Kāsikā 152 Yasodharacarita, 86 Vi tti, Durgasımha, 156 Yasomitia, 72n, 224, 227 Vitti, quoted by Sabara, 208 Υαζονιງαγα, 233Vittikāra, 208, 215 Vyākar anamahābhāsya, 148, 151 Yātıā, 125, 125n Yavanajūtaka, 190  $Vy\bar{a}khy\bar{a}yuktı$ , 227  $Yavanık\bar{a}$ , 89, 90 Vyaktıvıveka, 166 Yoga, 41, 154 vyañjanā, 164 Yogabındu, 232Vyūptīpaīicaka, 199 Yogācāra, 71, 223, 225-7 Vyasa, 38, 206, 208, 219n Yogācārabhānnsāstra, 71, 226,  $Vyar{a}$ sabh $ar{a}$ sya, 206 226nVyāsarāja, 218

( uerr )

1 ogadṛslisam iccaya 232 Yogamañjari 192 Yogasara 197 Yogasara 197 Yogasara 197 1 ogasitra 200 6 206n 207n 209 logatartitka 206. luan Chwang 221 229 luktidipiki 204 luktikalpataru 181 193 luktisa fiki 70 220 • luktisanchaprapirani 211 luktyanusisana 231